



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

STRENGTHENING OF T-JOINTS COMPOSED OF CHS USING THROUGH BOLTS

By

Mohamed Abdel Hamid Abdel Wahab Mohamed

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for the Requirements of the Degree
of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural)

Under the supervision of

Dr. Amr Abdel Salam Shaat
Associate Professor
Structural Engineering department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Ezzeldin Yazeed Sayed-Ahmed
Professor
Structural Engineering department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Cairo - 2014

Examiners committee:

Prof. Sherif Mourad ()
Professor and Dean
Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University

Prof. Emam Soliman ()
Professor
Structural Engineering department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Ezzeldin Yazeed ()
Professor
Structural Engineering department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

Thesis submitted by: Mohamed Abdel Hamid Abdel Wahab
Mohamed.

Thesis title: Strengthening of T-joints composed of CHS using
Through Bolts.

Supervisors:

Dr. Amr Abdel Salam Shaat ()
Associate Professor
Structural Engineering department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Ezzeldin Yazeed Sayed-Ahmed ()
Professor
Structural Engineering department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Registration date:

March-2012

Examination date:

October-2014

ABSTRACT

Increasing the joint capacity of tubular steel structural members has always been a challenge for designers because of their closed nature. The closed nature of these circular hollow sections does not allow conventional stiffeners welding. As such, the present numerical research investigates the efficiency of a new technique for strengthening the circular chord members of T-joints against outside deformation (ovalization) when subject to brace member's compression. The strengthening technique is based on bracing the chord member by through bolts in the radial direction which are arranged in different patterns. A parametric study is carried-out in order to investigate the effects of the number (n) and spacing (s) of through bolts, the chord diameter and the brace-to-chord diameter ratio (β) on the strength gained by the joint using through bolts strengthening technique. Two groups of specimens are chosen; the first group has a chord member of diameter (d_0) of 356 mm, and brace members diameters (d_1) of 324 mm, 273 mm and 219 mm, while the second group has a chord member of diameter (d_0) of 610 mm, and brace members diameters (d_1) of 559 mm, 508 mm and 406 mm. The study mainly revealed that the percentage gain in both strength and stiffness is directly proportional to the number of through bolts. The study indicates also that the efficiency of this strengthening technique increases with small chord diameters and large β -ratios: e.g. a gain in strength of 47% is achieved for β of 0.91 strengthened with five bolts spaced at 75 mm. The study also revealed that for large bolts' spacing, an odd number of bolts with a central one is more efficient in strengthening the CHS T-joints.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude and appreciation to his supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Amr Abdel Salam Shaat, for his priceless supervision, support and encouragement which is an essential role in bringing this research to an end.

The author would also like to send his deepest regards to his supervisor, Prof. Ezzeldin Yazeed Sayed-Ahmed for his guidance and valuable assistance throughout all the stages of the research.

The author would like to thank his family for their relentless support and encouragement to finish this research. He would like to show his gratitude to his late uncle for his continuous encouragement.

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering).

The work included in this dissertation has been carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Ain Shams University, from March 2012 to October 2014.

No part of this dissertation has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Date: October 2014.

Signature:

Name: Mohamed Abdel Hamid Abdel Wahab Mohamed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-------|
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | v |
| STATEMENT | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | x |
| LIST OF TABLES | xviii |
| NOTATIONS | xix |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Types of HSS joints | 2 |
| 1.2 Strengthening techniques of HSS joints | 4 |
| 1.2.1 Can method | 4 |
| 1.2.2 Ring stiffeners..... | 5 |
| 1.2.3 Concrete grouting | 5 |
| 1.2.4 Doubler plates | 7 |
| 1.2.5 Collar plates | 8 |
| 1.2.6 Through bolts..... | 8 |
| 1.3 Chord ovalization..... | 9 |
| 1.4 Thesis objectives..... | 10 |
| 1.5 Thesis contents..... | 10 |
| CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW..... | 12 |
| 2.1 Introduction..... | 12 |
| 2.2 Unstiffened joints..... | 12 |
| 2.2.1 Experimental studies..... | 12 |
| 2.2.2 Numerical studies | 18 |
| 2.3 Stiffened joints | 27 |
| 2.3.1 Experimental studies..... | 27 |
| 2.3.2 Numerical studies | 36 |
| CHAPTER 3: FINITE ELEMENT MODELING AND VERIFICATION | 40 |
| 3.1 Introduction..... | 40 |
| 3.2 Element types..... | 40 |

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| 3.2.1 | Shell elements..... | 40 |
| 3.2.2 | Link elements..... | 41 |
| 3.3 | Material modeling..... | 42 |
| 3.4 | Model verification | 42 |
| 3.4.1 | Zhao and Hancock (1991) | 45 |
| 3.4.2 | Choo et al. (2005) | 46 |
| 3.4.3 | Aguilera et al. (2012)..... | 49 |
| 3.5 | Verification results..... | 51 |
| CHAPTER 4: | PARAMETRIC INVESTIGATIONS | 52 |
| 4.1 | Introduction..... | 52 |
| 4.2 | Model description | 52 |
| 4.2.1 | Shell size and yield strength | 53 |
| 4.2.2 | Through bolts..... | 54 |
| 4.2.3 | Weld modeling..... | 54 |
| 4.2.4 | Specimens description | 54 |
| 4.2.5 | Load application | 54 |
| 4.2.6 | Brace member length (L_1) | 55 |
| 4.2.7 | Classification of chord and brace members..... | 55 |
| 4.2.7.1 | Chord members | 55 |
| 4.2.7.2 | Brace diameters | 56 |
| 4.2.8 | Radius-to-thickness ratio (γ)..... | 56 |
| 4.2.9 | Chord length-to-radius ratio (α)..... | 56 |
| 4.2.10 | Brace-to-chord diameter ratio (β) | 57 |
| 4.3 | Parametric study | 57 |
| 4.3.1 | Group 1 specimens | 57 |
| 4.3.2 | Group 2 specimens | 57 |
| 4.3.3 | Number of through bolts (n)..... | 58 |
| 4.3.4 | Spacing between through bolts (s)..... | 58 |
| 4.4 | Specimens designation system | 58 |
| CHAPTER 5: | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | 62 |
| 5.1 | Behavior of strengthened joints | 63 |
| 5.2 | Effect of number of through bolts | 77 |
| 5.2.1 | Group 1 Specimens..... | 77 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----|
| 5.2.2 | Group 2 specimens | 77 |
| 5.3 | Effect of arrangement of through bolts..... | 81 |
| 5.3.1 | Effect of spacing between through bolts | 82 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Group 1 ($\beta = 0.91$) | 82 |
| 5.3.1.2 | Group 1 ($\beta = 0.77$) | 83 |
| 5.3.1.3 | Group 1 ($\beta = 0.62$) | 84 |
| 5.3.1.4 | Group 2 ($\beta = 0.92$) | 85 |
| 5.3.1.5 | Group 2 ($\beta = 0.83$) | 86 |
| 5.3.1.6 | Group 2 ($\beta = 0.67$) | 87 |
| 5.3.2 | Effect of central bolt | 89 |
| 5.4 | Effect of chord diameter | 90 |
| 5.4.1 | Small β -ratios ($\beta = 0.62$)..... | 92 |
| 5.4.2 | Large β -ratios ($\beta = 0.92$)..... | 96 |
| 5.5 | Effect of β -ratio..... | 97 |
| 5.6 | Failure modes..... | 99 |
| 5.6.1 | Unstiffened joints..... | 99 |
| 5.6.2 | Strengthened joints | 103 |
| 5.6.2.1 | Group 1 specimens | 103 |
| 5.6.2.2 | Group 2 specimens | 110 |
| CHAPTER 6: | CONCLUSIONS | 115 |
| 6.1 | Overview..... | 115 |
| 6.2 | Conclusions..... | 115 |
| 6.3 | Recommendation for future work..... | 118 |
| LIST OF REFERENCES | | 119 |
| APPENDIX A | | 131 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1-1: Different HSS joint types. | 3 |
| Figure 1-2: Can method..... | 5 |
| Figure 1-3: Ring stiffeners. | 6 |
| Figure 1-4: Concrete grouting. | 7 |
| Figure 1-5: Doubler plate. | 7 |
| Figure 1-6: Collar plate. | 8 |
| Figure 1-7: Through bolts. | 9 |
| Figure 1-8: Chord ovalization. | 9 |
| Figure 3-1: Shell element geometry. | 42 |
| Figure 3-2: Bar element geometry. | 42 |
| Figure 3-3: Stress-strain curves for test specimens adopted from Aguilera et al. (2012). | 44 |
| Figure 3-4: Stress-strain curves for steel materials. | 44 |
| Figure 3-5: Verification model for Zhao and Hancock (1991). | 45 |
| Figure 3-6: Comparison between numerical and experimental failure shape of specimen Ex-01 (Choo et al. 2005)..... | 47 |
| Figure 3-7: Comparison between numerical and experimental failure shape of specimen Ex-10 (Choo et al. 2005)..... | 47 |
| Figure 3-8: Comparison between numerical and experimental load ovalization curves of specimen Ex-01 (Choo et al. 2005)..... | 48 |
| Figure 3-9: Comparison between numerical and experimental load ovalization curves of specimen Ex-10 (Choo et al. 2005)..... | 48 |
| Figure 3-10: Comparison between failure modes of the FEM and the experiments for specimen T1 (Aguilera et al. 2012)..... | 50 |
| Figure 3-11: Comparison between failure modes of the FEM and the experiments for specimen T2 (Aguilera et al. 2012)..... | 50 |
| Figure 4-1: Geometry and finite element modeling specimens..... | 52 |
| Figure 4-2: Schematic drawing. | 53 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 4-3: Number and configuration of through bolts. | 59 |
| Figure 5-1: Studied parameters. | 62 |
| Figure 5-2: Load ovalization curves for Group 1 specimens with | 71 |
| Figure 5-3: Load ovalization curves for Group 1 specimens with | 71 |
| Figure 5-4: Load ovalization curves for Group 1 specimens with | 72 |
| Figure 5-5: Load ovalization curves for Group 2 specimens with | 72 |
| Figure 5-6: Load ovalization curves for Group 2 specimens with | 73 |
| Figure 5-7: Load ovalization curves for Group 2 specimens with | 73 |
| Figure 5-8: Percentage gain in stiffness for Group 1 specimens with β - ratio = 0.91. | 74 |
| Figure 5-9: Percentage gain in stiffness for Group 1 specimens with β - ratio = 0.77. | 74 |
| Figure 5-10: Percentage gain in stiffness for Group 1 specimens with β - ratio = 0.62. | 75 |
| Figure 5-11: Percentage gain in stiffness for Group 2 specimens with β - ratio = 0.92. | 75 |
| Figure 5-12: Percentage gain in stiffness for Group 2 specimens with β - ratio = 0.83. | 76 |
| Figure 5-13: Percentage gain in stiffness for Group 2 specimens with β - ratio = 0.67. | 76 |
| Figure 5-14: Effect of number of through bolts for Group 1 specimens (β = 0.91). | 78 |
| Figure 5-15: Effect of number of through bolts for Group 1 specimens (β = 0.77). | 79 |
| Figure 5-16: Effect of number of through bolts for Group 1 specimens (β = 0.62). | 79 |
| Figure 5-17: Effect of number of through bolts for Group 2 specimens (β = 0.92). | 80 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 5-18: Effect of number of through bolts for Group 2 specimens ($\beta = 0.83$). | 80 |
| Figure 5-19: Effect of number of through bolts for Group 2 specimens ($\beta = 0.67$). | 81 |
| Figure 5-20: Effect of spacing between bolts for Group 1 with..... | 83 |
| Figure 5-21: Effect of spacing between bolts for Group 1 with..... | 84 |
| Figure 5-22: Effect of spacing between bolts for Group 1 with..... | 85 |
| Figure 5-23: Effect of spacing between bolts for Group 2 with..... | 86 |
| Figure 5-24: Effect of spacing between bolts for Group 2 with..... | 87 |
| Figure 5-25: Effect of spacing between bolts for Group 2 with..... | 88 |
| Figure 5-26: Effect of removing the central bolt in Group 1 with | 90 |
| Figure 5-27: Comparison between percentage gain in strength and stiffness for Group 2 specimens $\beta = 0.92$ (75 mm). | 91 |
| Figure 5-28: Comparison between percentage gain in strength and stiffness for Group 2 specimens $\beta = 0.92$ (100 mm). | 91 |
| Figure 5-29: Comparison between percentage gain in strength and stiffness for Group 2 specimens $\beta = 0.92$ (150 mm). | 92 |
| Figure 5-30: Comparison between percentage gain in strength for different chord sizes ($\beta = 0.62$ and $s = 25$ mm). | 93 |
| Figure 5-31: Comparison between percentage gain in strength for different chord sizes ($\beta = 0.62$ and $s = 50$ mm). | 93 |
| Figure 5-32: Comparison between percentage gain in strength for different chord sizes ($\beta = 0.62$ and $s = 75$ mm). | 94 |
| Figure 5-33: Comparison between percentage gain in strength for different chord sizes ($\beta = 0.62$ and $s = 100$ mm). | 94 |
| Figure 5-34: Comparison between percentage gain in strength for different chord sizes ($\beta = 0.62$ and $s = 150$ mm). | 95 |
| Figure 5-35: Comparison between percentage gain in strength for different chord sizes ($\beta = 0.62$ and $s = 200$ mm). | 95 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 5-36: Comparison between percentage gain in for different chord sizes ($\beta = 0.92$ and $s = 75$ mm). | 96 |
| Figure 5-37: Comparison between percentage gain in stiffness for different chord sizes ($\beta = 0.92$ and $s = 75$ mm)..... | 97 |
| Figure 5-38: Effect of β -ratios on the gain in strength for different bolts configuration spaced at 75 mm. | 98 |
| Figure 5-39: Effect of β -ratios on the gain in stiffness for different bolts configuration spaced at 75 mm. | 99 |
| Figure 5-40: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-324-0-000. | 100 |
| Figure 5-41: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-273-0-000. | 100 |
| Figure 5-42: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-219-0-000. | 101 |
| Figure 5-43: Von Misses stresses for specimen 610-559-0-000. | 101 |
| Figure 5-44: Von Misses stresses for specimen 610-508-0-000. | 102 |
| Figure 5-45: Von Misses stresses for specimen 610-406-0-000. | 102 |
| Figure 5-46: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-219-1-000. | 103 |
| Figure 5-47: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-273-1-000. | 104 |
| Figure 5-48: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-324-1-000. | 104 |
| Figure 5-49: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-219-2-075. | 105 |
| Figure 5-50: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-273-2-075. | 105 |
| Figure 5-51: Von Misses stresses for specimen 356-324-2-075. | 106 |
| Figure 5-52: Failure mode for specimen 356-219-3-200. | 107 |
| Figure 5-53: Failure mode for specimen 356-273-3-200. | 107 |
| Figure 5-54: Failure mode for specimen 356-324-3-200. | 108 |
| Figure 5-55: Failure mode for specimen 356-219-4-050. | 108 |
| Figure 5-56: Failure mode for specimen 356-273-4-050. | 109 |
| Figure 5-57: Failure mode for specimen 356-324-4-050. | 109 |
| Figure 5-58: Von Misses stresses for specimen 610-406-1-000. | 110 |
| Figure 5-59: Von Misses stresses for specimen 610-508-1-000. | 111 |
| Figure 5-60: Von Misses stresses for specimen 610-559-1-000. | 111 |