



Cairo University

ASSESSING SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF MID-RISE BUILDINGS USING FRAGILITY CURVE

By

Ahmed Mohamed Ali Mohamed Ali Naiel

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017



Cairo University

ASSESSING SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF MID-RISE BUILDINGS USING FRAGILITY CURVE

By

Ahmed Mohamed Ali Mohamed Ali Naiel

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

**ASSESSING SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF MID-RISE
BUILDINGS USING FRAGILITY CURVE**

By
Ahmed Mohamed Ali Mohamed Ali Naiel

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Adel Y. Akl

Prof. Dr. Nayer El-Esnawy

.....
Professor of Structural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Professor of Structural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Mostafa M. ElSayed

.....
Assistant Professor
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

ASSESSING SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF MID-RISE BUILDINGS USING FRAGILITY CURVE

By
Ahmed Mohamed Ali Mohamed Ali Naiel

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

_____ (Thesis Main Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Adel Y. Akl,

_____ (Thesis Main Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Nayer El-Esnawy,

_____ (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Walid Abdel-latif

_____ (External Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Osman Shaalan

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

Engineer's Name: Ahmed Mohamed Ali Mohamed Ali Naiel
Date of Birth: 03/12/1988
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Ahmed_naiel@hotmail.com
Phone: 01150066607
Address: 17B Degla El-Maadi, str.198, El-Maadi, Cairo.
Registration Date: 10 / 2014
Awarding Date:
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Department



Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Adel Y. Akl
Prof. Dr. Nayer El-Esnawy
Dr. Mostafa M. ElSayed

Examiners:
Prof. Dr. Walid Abdel-Latif (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Osman Shaalan (External Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Adel Y. Akl (Thesis Main Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Nayer El-Esnawy (Thesis Main Advisor)

Title of Thesis:

ASSESSING SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF MID-RISE BUILDINGS USING FRAGILITY CURVE

Key Words:

Structure analysis, Seismic analysis, non-linear analysis, time history analysis, Reinforced concrete structures, Earthquake resistant structure, Resilience, seismic effect, concrete, reliability, fragility, mid-rise.

Summary:

In conventional design approaches, structural safety is assessed by utilizing the damage that could occur to main components, such as columns and shear walls, and consequently, their failure that may lead to progressive (or partial) collapse of structures under extreme dynamic loads. Even when extreme conditions are taken into account, structural safety is not probabilistically assessed and controlled, thus uncertainties in loads and system capacity are neglected. In this thesis, the behavior of mid-rise reinforced concrete (RC) buildings, which are designed in accordance with the Egyptian standards, are assessed analytically under the action of seismic loads. In addition, resilience evaluation for such buildings under the action of seismic loads is determined. As such, non-linear time-history analyses (NLTHA), in addition to pushover analyses, were carried out on nine RC frames with reinforcement ratios used in columns varying from 1% to 3% and a number of stories varying from six to ten floors. In addition, fragility curves are developed in order to illustrate the probability of exceeding structural damage due to earthquakes as a function of ground motion indices. The lateral response of previously

mentioned nine RC frames are evaluated by utilizing more than five hundred NLTHA considering ten different earthquake records with six peak ground acceleration in addition to the Egyptian response spectrum analysis. The output of this research includes roof drift and base shear histories, which are converted to damage in beams and columns in accordance with FEMA-356 guidelines. Based on statistics and probability distribution functions for obtained results, fragility curves are then developed, which may be used as an effective tool for planning and implementing retrofit schemes, modifications to codified seismic provisions, seismic mitigation strategies, and for insurance-related applications. Fragility curves showed that reinforcement ratio of 2% in columns is the optimal reinforcement ratio and it is recommended to utilize for columns designed to withstand lateral loads.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my principal supervisors, Prof. Dr. Adel Akl, Prof. Dr. Nayer El-Esnawy, and Dr. Moustafa El-Sayed for their technical and moral support. Their patience, important suggestions, and constant encouragement were imperative for overcoming research difficulties throughout the duration of this work. From them, I have grasped valuable experience, on various scales, that would undoubtedly be to my advantage in any future interaction or achievement.

My infinite love and deepest gratitude to my parents, to whom this thesis is dedicated, for their support in every aspect of my life. Without their affection and numerous sacrifices, I certainly would not have achieved so much.

TABLE OF CONETENT

Acknowledgments.....	
Table of conetent.....	
Abstract.....	
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Objectives.....	1
1.3 Scope and Methodology.....	1
1.4 Thesis Outline.....	2
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	3
2.1 Earthquake risk assessment.....	3
2.1.1 Applications.....	3
2.1.2 Historical background.....	4
2.2 Potential seismic hazards.....	4
2.2.1 Ground shaking.....	4
2.2.1.1 Engineering ground motion parameters.....	5
2.2.1.2 Macroseismic ground motion parameters.....	6
2.2.1.3 Ground shaking hazard assessment.....	6
2.2.2 Collateral hazards.....	6
1) Liquefaction.....	7
2.3 Vulnerability assessment.....	8
2.3.1 Assessment of seismic performance.....	8
2.3.1.1 Standard Pushover Analysis.....	8
2.3.1.2 Lateral load patterns.....	8
2.3.1.3 Pushover Curves.....	9
2.3.1.4 Performance State.....	10
2.3.2 Fragility curves.....	11
2.3.2.1 Empirical method.....	11
2.3.2.2 Expert opinion method.....	12
2.3.2.3 Analytical fragility functions.....	12
2.3.3 Resilience:.....	12
2.4 Earthquake losses.....	14
2.4.1 Direct economic losses.....	14
2.4.2 Indirect economic losses.....	14
2.4.3 Direct social losses.....	14

2.5	Structural performance levels and damage	15
CHAPTER 3: Numerical modeling.....		23
3.1	Non-Linear Constitutive Models.....	23
3.1.1	Concrete	23
3.1.2	Reinforcement bars	23
3.1.3	Design of reinforced concrete building frames.....	23
3.1.4	Lateral loads description	24
3.1.5	Dimensioning and reinforcement.....	25
3.1.6	Elastic Vibration Properties	26
3.2	Verification Model	26
3.2.1	Problem discretion	27
3.2.2	SeismoStruct analysis program.....	27
3.2.3	Comparison of the modal results:	28
3.2.3.1	Mode shapes and Periods.....	28
3.2.3.2	Roof Displacement and Top Story Drift.	28
3.2.4	Standard Pushover Curves	28
3.3	Earthquake records and response spectrum	30
3.4	Damage state identification.....	30
CHAPTER 4 Test Matrix results		67
4.1	Non-linear dynamic analysis	67
4.2	Six-story reinforced concrete moment resisting frames (Model (A)):.....	68
4.2.1	Roof displacement history	68
4.2.2	Base shear history	68
4.3	Six-story reinforced concrete moment resisting frames (Model (B)):	69
4.3.1	Roof displacement history	69
4.3.2	Base shear history	70
4.4	Six-story reinforced concrete moment resisting frames (Model (C)):	70
4.4.1	Roof displacement history	70
4.4.2	Base shear history	71
4.5	Values of roof displacement for the nine reinforcement concrete frames	71
4.5.1	Six-story reinforced concrete building (Model A):	72
4.5.1.1	Roof drift	72
4.5.1.2	Base shear	73
4.5.2	Six-story reinforced concrete building (Model B):.....	73
4.5.2.1	Roof drift	73

4.5.2.2	Base shear	74
4.5.3	Six-story reinforced concrete building (Model C):.....	74
4.5.3.1	Roof drift	75
4.5.3.2	Base shear	75
4.5.4	Eight-story reinforced concrete building (Model A):	76
4.5.4.1	Roof drift	76
4.5.4.2	Base shear	77
4.5.5	Eight-story reinforced concrete building (Model B):	77
4.5.5.1	Roof drift	77
4.5.5.2	Base shear	78
4.5.6	Eight-story reinforced concrete building (Model C):	78
4.5.6.1	Roof drift	79
4.5.6.2	Base shear	79
4.5.7	Ten-story reinforced concrete building (Model A):.....	80
4.5.7.1	Roof drift	80
4.5.7.2	Base shear	80
4.5.8	Ten-story reinforced concrete building (Model B):.....	81
4.5.8.1	Roof drift	81
4.5.8.2	Base shear	82
4.5.9	Ten-story reinforced concrete building (Model C):.....	82
4.5.9.1	Roof drift	83
4.5.9.2	Base shear	83
4.6	pushover analysis	84
CHAPTER 5: Damage assessment		133
5.1	Structure performance levels and damage	133
5.2	Six-story reinforced concrete moment resisting frames (Model (A)):.....	134
5.2.1	Roof displacement ratio	134
5.2.2	Base shear ratio	134
5.3	Six-story reinforced concrete moment resisting frames (Model (B)):	135
5.3.1	Roof displacement ratio	135
5.3.2	Base shear ratios	136
5.4	Six-story reinforced concrete moment resisting frames (Model (C)):	136
5.4.1	Roof displacement ratios.....	136
5.4.2	Base shear history	137
5.5	Ratios of roof displacement and base shear for the nine reinforcement concrete frames	
	137	