شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات

يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٢٥ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٤٠%

To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of 15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%

بعض الوثائـــــــق الاصليـــــة تالفـــة

بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالاصل

AN APPARATUS FOR TESTING THE PERFORMANCE OF SPRAYERS UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITIONS

By

Samir Khader Abd El-Aty

B. Sc. in Ag. Mech., Tanta Univ. 1976.

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

In

AGRICULTURAL MECHANIZATION

Agricultural Mechanization Department
Faculty of Agriculture,
Kafr El-Sheikh
Tanta University

1998

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. **Mamdouh A. Helmy**
Professor of Agric. Eng.,
Agric. Mech. Dept., Faculty of Agric.,
Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

Dr. **Fathey I. Hindey**
Assistant professor in Agric. Eng.,
Agric. Mech. Dept., Faculty of Agric.,
Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

Dr. **Osama M. Kamel**
Researcher, Agric. Eng. Res. Inst., ARC.
Director Rice Mech. Center Meat El-Dyba,
Kafr El- Sheikh

APPROVAL SHEET

AN APPARATUS FOR TESTING THE PERFORMANCE OF SPRAYERS UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITIONS

By

Samir Khader Abd El-Aty

Thesis for M.Sc. Degree in

AGRICULTURAL MECHANIZATION

has been approved by :

Prof. Dr. Metwalli M. Mohamed

Professor of Agric. Eng. and Head of Agric Mechanization Dept., Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

Prof. Dr Azmy M. El-Berry

Professor of Agric. Eng., Agric. Eng. Dept., Faculty of Agric., Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mamdouh A. Helmy

Professor of Agric. Eng., Agric. Mechanization Dept., Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

DEDICATION

To my parents
to my wife
and my sons
(Rasha,Ahamed,Zahra)

(Samir k. el-aty)

CONTENTS

	Page
1- INTRODUCTION.	1
2- REVIEW OF LITERATURE.	3
2.1. Spraying Equipment (Sprayers):	3
2.2. Type of atomizers (nozzles)..	5
2.3. Factors affecting droplet size of hydraulic nozzles	8
2.4. Factors affecting droplet size of rotary atomizers. .	9
2.5. Factors affecting the spray deposition, control insects control and drift.	12
2-5-1 Droplet size	12
2-5-2 Height and position of nozzle to the plant	15
2-5-3 The spray angle	16
2.5.4. Pressure of spraying:	16
2.5.5. The forward speed of the sprayer:	18
2.5.6. Other factors	19
3- MATERIALS AND METHODS	20
3-1 Materials	20
3.1.1. Knapsack sprayer (shoulder sprayer)	20
3.1.2. Nozzle	22
3.1.3.An apparatus for testing the sprayers	22
3.1.4. Anemometer	30
3.1.6. Collecting glasses	30
3.1.7. Stop watch.	30
3.1.8 Graduated cylinder.	30

	Page
3.2. Methods	30
3.2.1. Measurement the rates of nozzle discharge.....	30
3.2.2. Measurement of distribution pattern.....	32
3.2.3.Determination of swath width.....	32
3.2.4 Spray deposit efficiency.....	32
3.2.5. Spray deposit uniformity (U).....	33
3.2.6. Coefficient of symmetry:.	33
3.2.7. Minimum and maximum point in the spray pattern.....	33
3.2.8. Statistical analysis.....	34
4- RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	35
4.1. Effect of spray height, spray pressure and orifice diameter on the following indicators.....	35
4.1.1 Coefficient of variation (CV).....	35
4.1.2. Percentage of minimum point	37
4.1.3. Percentage of maximum point	39
4.1.4. Coefficient of symmetry	41
4.1.5. Coefficient of uniformity.....	44
4.1.6 distribution characteristics.....	46
4.1.7. Time deposit rate.....	48
4-1-8- Deposit efficiency.....	50
4.2. Effect of spacing nozzle on the following features.....	52
4.2.1. Coefficient of variation.....	52
4.2.2. Percentage of minimum point of the mean.....	54
4.2.3. Percentage of maximum point of the mean.....	57

	Page
4.2.4. Coefficient of symmetry.....	57
4.2.5. Coefficient of uniformity.....	59
4.2.6. Distribution characteristics.....	63
4.2.7 Time deposit rate.....	63
4-3 Effect of forward speed on the area deposit rate.....	64
4-4- Effect of orifice diameter, spray height and pressure on the spray angle.....	67
4-5- The distribution pattern tests of the knapsack sprayer.....	68
5- SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	77
5-1. Effect of spray height, spray pressure and orifice diameter on the following indicators.....	77
5-2- Effect of nozzle space on the following indictors.....	79
5-3- Effect of forward speed of sprayer on the areal deposit rate.....	80
5-4- Effect of orifice diameter, spray height and pressure on the spray	80
5-5- Evaluation of the distribution pattern of the knapsack sprayer.....	80
6- REFERENCES.....	82
8- Appendix	89
9- Arabic Summary	

LIST OF Figure

		Page
Fig. 1	Knapsack power sprayer.....	21
Fig. 2	Exploded view of the flat type nozzle.....	23
Fig. 3	Elevation, plan and side view of the apparatus for testing the sprayers.....	24
Fig. 4	Overall feature of the apparatus used for testing the sprayer performance.	25
Fig. 5	Elevation, plan and side view of carrier unit for the apparatus.	26
Fig. 6	Elevation, plan and side view of nozzle carrier for the apparatus	27
Fig. 7	Elevation, plan and side view of magnetic clutch for the apparatus	28
Fig. 8	Elevation, plan and side view of electric circuit for the apparatus	29
Fig. 9	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % in distribution pattern.....	36
Fig. 10	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the percentage of min. point, % in distribution pattern.....	38
Fig. 11	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the percentage of max. point, % in distribution pattern.....	40
Fig. 12	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of symmetry, % in distribution pattern.....	42
Fig. 13	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of uniformity, % in distribution pattern.....	45
Fig. 14	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the distribution characteristic, % in distribution pattern.....	47
Fig. 15	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the time deposit L/min., % in distribution pattern.....	49
Fig. 16	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the deposit efficiency, % of distribution pattern.....	51
Fig. 17	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on the coefficient of variation, % in distribution pattern.....	53
Fig. 18	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on the percentage of min. point, % in distribution pattern.....	55
Fig. 19	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on the percentage of max. point, % in distribution pattern.....	56

		Page
Fig.20	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on the coefficient of symmetry, % in distribution pattern	58
Fig. 21	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on the coefficient of uniformity, % in distribution pattern	60
Fig. 22	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on the distribution characteristics, % in distribution pattern	61
Fig. 23	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on the time deposit rate, % in distribution pattern	63
Fig. 24	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on areal deposit rate, % in distribution pattern	65
Fig. 25	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and nozzle space (S), on the spray angle rad, % in distribution pattern	66
Fig. 26	Distribution pattern of flat type nozzle for different spray pressure (P), at orifice diameter (O) at spray height of about 200mm	69
Fig. 27	Distribution pattern of flat type nozzle for different spray pressure (P), at orifice diameter (O) at spray height of about 300mm	70
Fig. 28	Distribution pattern of flat type nozzle for different spray pressure (P), at orifice diameter (O) at spray height of about 400mm	71
Fig. 29	Distribution pattern of flat type nozzle for different spray pressure (P), at orifice diameter (O) at spray height of about 500mm	72
Fig. 30	Distribution pattern of flat type nozzle for different spray pressure (P), and spray height(H) at nozzle spacing(S) about 300mm	73
Fig. 31	Distribution pattern of flat type nozzle for different spray pressure (P), and spray height(H) at nozzle spacing(S) about 400mm	74
Fig. 32	Distribution pattern of flat type nozzle for different spray pressure (P), and spray height(H) at nozzle spacing(S) about 500mm	75
Fig. 33	Distribution pattern of flat type nozzle for different spray pressure (P), and spray height(H) at nozzle spacing(S) about 600mm	76

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1	Technical and functional data of the Knapsack sprayer.	20
Table 2	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	89
Table 3	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	89
Table 4	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	89
Table 5	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	90
Table 6	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	90
Table 7	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	90
Table 8	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	91
Table 9	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	91
Table 10	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	91
Table 11	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	92
Table 12	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	92
Table 13	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	92
Table 14	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	93
Table 15	Effect of spray height (H), spray pressure (P), and orifice diameter (O), on the coefficient of variation, % of distribution pattern	93