Recent Modalities in Management of Locally Recurrent Rectal Carcinoma

An Essay Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in General Surgery

Presented by: Ahmed Mohamed Fawzy

M.B.B.Ch Faculty of Medicine Mansoura University

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. / Aser Mustafa El-Afifi

Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. / Tarek Youssef Ahmed

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. / Ahmed Aly Khalil

Lecturer of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2017



First thanks to **ALLAH** to whom I relate any success in achieving any work in my life.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. / Aser Mustafa El-Afifi,**Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams
University for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance,
valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. / Tarek Youssef Ahmed,** Assistant Professor of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his sincere
efforts, fruitful encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr.** / **Ahmed Aly Khalil**, Lecturer of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his great help, outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

Ahmed Mohamed Fawzy



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures	6
List of Abbreviations	9
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Anatomy	6
Epidemiology and management of cancer re	ectum 30
Management of Locally Recurrent Rectal Ca	arcinoma77
Summary	117
References	120
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No	. Title Page No	٥.
Table (1):	Screening Recommendations Based on Patient	46
Table (2):	Risk Staging of Rectal Cancer	
	Anatomic stage/prognostic groups	

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
		_
Figure (1):	Coronal section through rectum and anal c	
Figure (2):	(posterior view) Rectum construction (sagittal view)	
Figure (2):	Male pelvis (Paramedian sagittal section)	
Figure (4):	Female pelvis (Paramedian sagittal section)	
Figure (5):	Endopelvic Fascia	
Figure (6):	Rectosacral fascia.	
Figure (7):	Diagram of the mesorectum	
Figure (8):	Perirectal spaces (lateral view)	
Figure (9):	Arterial supply to the rectum (posterior view)	
• • •	Venous drainage of the colon and rectum	
	Lymphatic drainage of the rectum	
	Sympathetic innervation of the rectum	
Figure (13):	Sympathetic and parasympathetic innervation	n of
	the rectum	
Figure (14):	Muscles of the true pelvis (three-quarter view)) 26
• ,	Normal endorectal ultrasonography	
Figure (16):	Axial MRI depicts the mesorectal fascia (arro	
	as a low signal layer enveloping the mesore	
	fat	29
Figure (17):	Adenoma-carcinoma sequence in sporadic	
	hereditary colorectal cancer	
Figure (18):	Kudo Classification of Submucosal Invasion	
F: (10)	Malignant Polyps of the Colon and Rectum	
Figure (19):	Haggitt Classification of Malignant Polyps of	
Eigung (20).	Colon and Rectum	
Figure (20):	Anatomic landmarks of pedunculated and seadenomas	
Figure (21).		
Figure (21):	FAPClinical Criteria for HNPCC	45 1/1
	Preoperative evaluation	
• ,	ERUS shows tumour is confined by submuce	
1 1gui C (24).	(right arrow) anddoes not reach the muscu	
	propria (T1 stage)	

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (25):	A superficial primary rectal cancer (T1N0) or distal valve of Houston in an 84-year-old n	
Figure (26):	managed conservatively by a snare resection. An ulcerated friable distal primary rectal ca (T2N0) in a 62-year-old female who proceed directly to an abdominoperineal resection	51 ncer eded
Figure (27):	Endorectal MRI of a T3 lesion	
	Double-contrast barium enema show	
	adenocarcinoma of the lower rectum (arrow).	•
Figure (29):	Rectal cancer treatment based on location rela	ative
	to anal verge and stage	
Figure (30):	Advised stoma sites in rectal cancer patr	
	based on the expected type of surgery	
Figure (31):	Algorithm of management of colorectal ca	
(00)	and synchronous liver metastasis	
Figure (32):	Algorithm of management of rectal cancer	
E' (22)	resectable synchronous metastasis	
Figure (33):	Algorithm of management of rectal cancer	
	irresectable synchronous metastasis or inoper patient	
Figure (34):	A diagram of risk factors for local recurrence.	
	A diagram of resection margins of rectal ca	
rigure (cc).	and their relationships with mesorectal microf	
Figure (36):	(MRI) show Intramural anastomotic recurrence	
g ().	rectal cancer confirmed with endoscopy	
Figure (37):	Anterior anastomotic recurrence in a 55-year	
	woman 18 months after rectal cancer surgery.	93
Figure (38):	A 57-year-old man with a history	of
	abdominoperineal resection (APR) for re-	
	cancer presented with symptoms of pelvic	
	and underwent a restaging FDG PET / CT	
Figure (39):	A 48-year-old woman with rectal cancer tre	
	with APR and radiation therapy underwer	
	restaging FDG PET / CT for suspe	
	recurrence	97

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (40):	Posterior anastomotic recurrence in a 56-ye	ear-old
8 - (-)	man 22 months after LAR for rectal cancer	
Figure (41):	Pelvic recurrence in a 62-year-old woman 25	months
	after LAR for rectal cancer.	107

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
5FU	5 Fluorouracil
	Attenuated form of FAP
	Adenomatous polyposis coli
	Abdominoperineal resection
	Anastomotic site
	Arcus tendentious levator ani
	Coloanal anastomosis
CEA	Carcino-Embryonic Antigen
<i>CRC</i>	Colorectal Carcinoma
<i>CRM</i>	Circumferential Resection Margin
<i>CRT</i>	Chemoradiotherapy
<i>DCC</i>	Deleted Colorectal Carcinoma
<i>DMM</i>	Distal Mesorectal Margin
<i>DRE</i>	Digital Rectal Examination
<i>DRM</i>	Distal Resection Margin
EGFR	Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor
	Endorectal Ultrasound
	Familial Adenomatous Polyposis
	Fluorodeoxy-D- $glucose$
	Hand-Assisted Laparoscopy
	High dose rate
	Hereditary Non-Polyposis Colorectal Cancer
	External Beam Radiotherapy
	Inferior hypogastric plexus
	Intraoperative Electron beam Radiotherapy
	Intraoperative Radiation Therapy
	Intersphincteric Resection
	Intersphincteric Resection
	Juvenile polyposis syndrome
	Low anterior resection
	Lymph nodes
	Loss of heterozygosity
<i>LPLD</i>	Lateral pelvic lymph node dissection

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
IDIN	I stand a duic lamat a de
	Lateral pelvic lymph node
	Local Recurrence
	Locally Recurrent Rectal Cancer
<i>LVI</i>	Lymphovascular invasion
<i>mAb</i>	monoclonal Antibody
<i>MDACC</i>	MD Anderson cancer center
<i>MDT</i>	Multidisciplinary Team
<i>MMF</i>	Mesorectal microfoci
<i>MSI</i>	Microsatellite instability
nCRT	neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy
<i>NF1</i>	Neurofibromatosis type 1
<i>PET</i>	Positron emission tomography
<i>PHTS</i>	PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome
PTFE graft	Polytetrafluoroethylene graft
<i>RT</i>	Radiotherapy
<i>SEMSs</i>	Self-Expanding Metal Stents
<i>TEM</i>	Transanal Endoscopic Microsurgery
<i>TME</i>	Total mesorectal excision
<i>UC</i>	Ulcerative colitis
<i>VRAM</i>	Vertical rectus abdominis muscle

ABSTRACT

Surgery for LRRC is complex and often extensive, which may result in a significant degree of postoperative mortality and complications. However, 30-day mortality is reported to be low and is mainly caused by bleeding, sepsis and thromboembolic complications. Pelvic collections, perineal wound breakdown and wound infections accounted for more than half of all complications.

Patients with unresectable lesions or unfit for operations are treated with chemotherapy with or without radiation according to their ability to tolerate therapy. Debulking that results in gross residual cancer is not recommended.

Median survival for metastatic colorectal cancer without systemic chemotherapy ranges from 6 to 9 months. The addition of 5-FU-based regimens improves survival to 10 to 12 months. The addition of irinotecan or oxaliplatin to 5-FU further improves survival to 14 to 17 months. The addition of the monoclonal antibodies have improved median survival to greater than 20 months.

Keywords: Lymphovascular invasion- Multidisciplinary Team-Mesorectal microfoci- Microsatellite instability

Introduction

Colorectal carcinoma is the most common malignancy of the gastrointestinal tract and the third most lethal cancer; the incidence is similar in men and women, with incidence rising steadily after age 50 years. Approximately 80% of colorectal cancers occur sporadically, while 20% arise in patients with a known family history of colorectal cancer. It is now well accepted that the majority of colorectal carcinomas evolve from adenomatous polyps (Kelli et al., 2015).

More than 40% of patients who undergo curative surgery for colorectal cancer have tumor recurrence. 85% of them occur during the first 2.5 years after surgery. The remaining 15% occur during the subsequent 2.5 years. Most of these patients will present with distant metastases, Liver involvement occurs in approximately 50% of patients, whereas lung, brain and bone involvement occurs in 10%, 5% and less than 5%. Isolated local recurrence to the anastomosis (intramural) is rare and indicate inadequate surgical resection. Recurrence of rectal cancer can be considerably more difficult to manage because of the proximity of other pelvic structures. Ideally, the aim of operation should be to resect all of the tumor with negative margins. However, if the ability to achieve a negative margin is in question, the addition of intraoperative radiation therapy (usually brachytherapy) can help improve local control (Eric et al., 2013).

______ 1 _____

Inadequate surgical resection is the most important factor for local recurrence as optimal treatment of all malignancies an adequate margin of resection. requires Adequate lymphadenectomy should be performed for accurate staging and local control. Spread from primary tumor occurs along the mesorectum, the mesorectal margin should be at least 4 - 5 cm distal to the inferior aspect of the tumor or to the end of the mesorectum at the pelvic floor, so the technique of total mesorectal excision (TME) provides adequate lymphadenectomy for most rectal cancers and reduce positive radial margin rate. Full rectal mobilization allows for a negative distal margin and adequate mesorectal excision (NCCN guidelines, 2016).

Neoadjuvant / adjuvant therapy for stage II (T3-4, nodenegative disease with tumor penetration through the muscle wall) or stage III (node-positive disease without distant metastasis) rectal cancer often includes locoregional treatment due to high risk of locoregional recurrence. This risk is associated with the close proximity of the rectum to pelvic structures and organs, the absence of serosa surrounding the rectum, and technical difficulties associated with obtaining wide surgical margin at resection. In contrast, adjuvant treatment of colon cancer is more focused on preventing distant metastases. Although radiotherapy has been associated with decreased rates of local recurrence of rectal cancer, it is associated with increased toxicity (radiation induced injury, hematologic toxicities) relative to surgery alone, so patient with

disease at low risk of local recurrence (e.g. proximal rectal cancer T3, N0, M0, with clear margins and favorable prognostic features) may be adequate treated with surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy (NCCN guidelines, 2016).

Local recurrence following rectal cancer have been categorized according to their anatomical location to; central (confined to pelvic organs without bony involvement), sidewall (involving pelvic sidewall structures), sacral (abutting onto or involving the sacrum), or composite (involving sacral and sidewall structures) (Messiou et al., 2014).

Local recurrence can be discovered and staged by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the pelvis which is useful for identifying tumor, serial surveillance of biochemical markers (e.g. CEA), positron emission tomography (PET), PET/CT scans or CT colonography which is a modern application of the conventional CT scan that can provide information on the entire colon (Ken Campbell, 2015).

Management of locally recurrent cancer rectum depends on the pattern of local disease recurrence, the presence of metastatic disease, previous oncological treatment including radiotherapy and overall fitness of the patient. Surgery intends to achieve R0 resection margin, unless the recurrent tumor is irresectable, sacropelvic resection at or below the level of S3 is accepted, pelvic reconstruction is required when the S1 sacral involved and amputated. Pelvic segment is

involvement is a poor prognostic marker due to the technical difficulties to obtain negative resection margin. If extend through the greater sciatic notch, it is difficult to manage and establish functional reconstruction. Vascular encasement of aortoiliac axis is contraindication to surgical resection (Dozois el al., 2014).