



THYRISTOR CONTROLLED REACTOR (TCR) BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER FOR POWER FACTOR IMPROVEMENT

By

Eng. Fathy Hamed Hussein Awad

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements of the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in

Electrical Power and Machines Engineering

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016**

THYRISTOR CONTROLLED REACTOR (TCR) BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER FOR POWER FACTOR IMPROVEMENT

By

Eng. Fathy Hamed Hussein Awad

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements of the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in

Electrical Power and Machines Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Essam E. M. Aboul Zahab

Ass. Pro. Dr. Ahmed A. Mansour

Electric Power and Machines Department
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

Power Electronics and Energy
Conversion Department
Electronics Research Institute

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016**

THYRISTOR CONTROLLED REACTOR (TCR) BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER FOR POWER FACTOR IMPROVEMENT

By

Eng. Fathy Hamed Hussein Awad

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements of the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Electrical Power and Machines Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. Essam E. M. Aboul Zahab	Thesis Main Advisor
Electric Power and Machines Department	
Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University	

Prof. Dr. Osama A. Mahgoub	Internal Examiner
Head of Electric Power and Machines Department	
Faculty of Engineering – Cairo University	

Prof. Dr. Aziza M. Zaki	External Examiner
Power Electronics and Energy Conversion	
Department – Electronics Research Institute	

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016**

Engineer: Fathy Hamed Hussein Awad
Date of Birth : 06 / 09 /1975
Nationality : Egyptian
E-mail : fathy_hamed_2007@yahoo.com
Phone. : 01066256432
Address : Shebin el kanater- Qalyubia -Egypt
Registration Date : 1 /10 / 2010
Awarding Date : / / 2016
Degree : Master of science



Department : Electrical Power and Machines Engineering
Supervisors : Prof. Dr. Essam E.M. Abou El-Zahab
Ass. Pro. Dr. Ahmed A. Mansour (Electronics Institute)

Examiners : Prof. Dr. Essam E. M. Aboul Zahab
Prof. Dr. Osama A. Mahgoub
Prof. Dr. Aziza M. Zaki (Electronics Research Institute)

Title of Thesis:

**THYRISTOR CONTROLLED REACTOR (TCR)
BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER FOR
POWER FACTOR IMPROVEMENT**

Key Words: Power factor, Harmonics, TCR, FLC, Hybrid

Summary:

This thesis introduces the Thyristor Controlled Reactor (TCR) as a reactive power compensator. The design of TCR is introduced to compensate the varying load from the full inductive to the full capacitive. The techniques of the reduction harmonics are introduced. Also, the comparative study for the three types (i.e. star, delta, and hybrid) is introduced. The reactive power calculation is based on the d-q frame to be fed as an input to the controller.

The Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC) is designed to control the TCR system.

The simulation of three topologies of the TCR systems is presented. The simulation results cover the open loop and the closed loop (FLC). The results of different loads which are controlled by FLC are given.

The FLC controller proved a very good behaviour in both transient and steady state for controlling the TCR system. Finally, the hybrid TCR system proved a better solution for the THD in the most controlling range.

Acknowledgments

Most of all thanks to the God who gives me the strength while doing this work.

I would like to express my sincere thanks for the main advisor Professor Essam El-Den M. Aboul Zahab Professor of Electrical Power and Machines Dept., Cairo University. Thanks are necessary for his extremely valuable guidance especially for his comments, corrections, and suggestions throughout this thesis. Finally I wish my God Allah to blessing your health.

Also, I would like to express my special thanks to dr Ahmed Aly Mansour, the assistant professor in Power Electronics & Energy Conversion department- Electronics Research Institute (ERI). He is more than generous with his expertise and precious time. Thanks go to him for his continuity effort, continuous advice, technical assistance, removing the obstacles, and finally for his patience to complete this thesis.

Also thanks go to Electronics Research Institute (ERI) for its financial support. Thanks go to the member's staff of Power Electronics & Energy Conversion department and close friends for their continued support. Thanks go to dr Osama Arafa, dr Khaled Nagdy, and dr Mohamed Ibrahim for technical support.

My thanks to everyone stands beside me even with a word encouragement.

Eng. Fathy Hamed Hussein Awad

Dedication

TO

*My parents, my brothers, my sister, my wife for their encouragement, and
finally to my sons: Tasnim, Zeiad.*

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
DEDICATION	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VII
LIST OF SYMBOLS.....	XI
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	XIII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2. POWER QUALITY (PQ)	1
1.2.1. Importance of power quality.....	1
1.2.2. Power quality standards	1
1.2.3. Types of power quality disturbances	2
1.3. POWER FACTOR (PF).....	3
1.3.1. Benefits of power factor improvement	3
1.3.1.1. Benefits for utility	3
1.3.1.2. Benefits for the customers	4
1.4. HARMONICS.....	6
1.4.1. Problems arising from harmonics	7
1.5. POWER FACTOR CORRECTION (PFC) TECHNIQUES	7
1.5.1. Static Var Compensators (SVC).....	9
1.5.2.1. Thyristor Switched Capacitor (TSC).....	9
1.5.2.2. Thyristor Controlled Reactor (TCR)	10
1.5.2.3. STATCOM.....	10
THESIS LAYOUT	12
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1. HISTORICAL REVIEW FOR REACTIVE POWER SOLUTIONS.....	13
2.2. THE PUBLICATIONS AND THE IMPLEMENTED PROJECTS.....	14
2.3. TCR BASED SVC	15
2.4. MICROPROCESSOR – BASED SVCS.....	17
CONCLUSIONS.....	18
CHAPTER 3: THYRISTOR CONTROLLED REACTOR TCR CONFIGURATIONS, ANALYSIS, AND MODELLING.....	19
3.1. INTRODUCTION.....	19
3.2. PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION OF THE TCR SYSTEM.....	19
3.3. TCR CONFIGURATIONS	21
3.4. TCR SYSTEM INTEGRATED WITH OTHER TECHNIQUES	21
3.5. HARMONICS OF TCR SYSTEMS.....	21
3.5.1. Regular current harmonics of TCR.....	21
3.5.2. Irregular TCR Harmonics based on unbalance conditions	23
3.5.3. TCR Harmonics reduction	24

3.6. TCR APPLICATIONS.....	26
3.7. MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF TCR	26
3.8. DESIGN OF TCR SYSTEM (± 3 KVAR)	29
3.8.1. Star TCR design.....	29
3.8.2. Delta TCR design.....	30
3.8.3. Hybrid TCR design.....	30
3.8.4. Fixed Capacitor (FC) design.....	31
3.9. DQ TRANSFORMATION	31
3.9.1. Reactive power calculation based on the DQ frame.....	33
CHAPTER 4: FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER DESIGN FOR TCR SYSTEM.	37
4.1. INTRODUCTION.....	37
4.2. FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER	37
4.2.1. Introduction.....	37
4.2.2. Fuzzy logic process.....	38
4.2.3. Types of the fuzzy controller	39
4.2.3.1. Simple fuzzy controller	40
4.2.3.2. Hierarchical fuzzy control	40
4.2.3.3. Fuzzy logic controller like PID	41
4.3. TCR SYSTEM BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER	42
4.3.1. FLC design.....	42
4.3.2. Design of fuzzy logic controller for the TCR system.....	44
CONCLUSIONS.....	48
CHAPTER 5: SIMULATION RESULTS OF DIFFERENT TOPOLOGIES BASED ON TCR SYSTEM.....	49
5.1. INTRODUCTION.....	49
5.2. PARAMETERS OF THE DIFFERENT TOPOLOGIES OF THE TCR SYSTEM	49
5.3. SIMULATION RESULTS OF THE TCR SYSTEM	50
5.3.1. Star connected TCR system versus the firing angle for RL load (3kwatt, 3Kvar)	51
5.3.1.2. Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) based star connected TCR system....	55
5.3.2. Delta connected TCR system versus the firing angle for RL load (3kwatt, 3Kvar)	56
5.3.2.1. Simulation results at TCR, SVC, and the Source points	57
5.3.2.2. Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) based on Delta connected TCR system	59
5.3.3.1. Simulation results at TCR, SVC, and the Source points	61
5.3.3.2. Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) – Hybrid TCR system	65
5.4. COMPARISON BETWEEN (STAR, DELTA, AND HYBRID) (Q, THD).....	66
5.5. SIMULATION RESULTS BASED ON DQ FRAME	70
5.5.1. Star TCR system	70
5.5.1.1. Simulation results at the load side	71
5.5.1.3. Simulation results at capacitor.....	74
5.5.1.4. Simulation results at the SVC.....	75
5.5.1.4. Simulation results at the source	77
5.5.2. Star connected TCR system in stationary / rotating frame RC load (2kwatt, - 2kvar).....	81
5.5.2.1. DQ stationary frame, rotating frame at the load.....	81
5.5.2.2. DQ stationary frame, rotating frame at TCR.....	82

5.5.2.3. DQ stationary frame, rotating frame at SVC.....	84
5.5.2.5. DQ stationary frame, rotating frame at the source	86
5.5.3. Verification Of the Active power, Reactive power for the DQ frame between equations and the Simulink block.....	88
5.5.3.2. Verification based on star connection of TCR for RL load (2kwatt, 2Kvar).....	88
5.5.3.2. Verification based on delta connection of TCR for RL load (2kwatt, 2Kvar).....	91
5.5.3.3. Verification based on hybrid connection of TCR for RL load (2kwatt, 2Kvar).....	93
5.6. SIMULATION OF CLOSED LOOP TCR SYSTEM BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER FLC.....	94
5.6.1. Simulation results based on TCR (Star, Delta, and Hybrid) for R-L load .95	
5.6.1.2. Star connection of TCR system.....	95
5.6.1.2. Delta connection of TCR system.....	97
5.6.1.3. Hybrid connection of TCR system.....	99
5.6.2. Simulation results of TCR (Star, Delta, and Hybrid) for RC load (2kwatt, - 2kvar) by applied fuzzy control system.....	102
5.6.2.1. Star connection of TCR system.....	102
5.6.2.2. Delta connection of TCR system.....	104
5.6.2.3. Hybrid connection of TCR system.....	106
5.6.3. Three TCR topologies are controlled by FLC under load sudden change from full lagging to full leading	109
5.6.3.1. Star connection of TCR system.....	109
5.6.3.2. Delta connection of TCR system.....	112
5.6.3.3. Hybrid connection of TCR system.....	114
Conclusions.....	116
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK.....	117
REFERENCES	118
APPENDIX A:	125

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Different aspects of electrical power quality.....	2
Table 1.2: Penalties and bonus based on Egyptian tariff structure.....	5
Table 1.3: IEEE Voltage Distortion Limits.....	7
Table 1.4: IEEE current distortion limits for general distribution systems.....	7
Table 1.5: Comparison of basic types of compensators.....	11
Table 2.1: Numbers of installed FACTS-devices with total installed power all over the world (1972-2006).....	15
Table 3.1: Maximum amplitudes of harmonic currents in TCR	23
Table 3.2: Comparison between the 6-pulse and 12-pulse techniques for TCR current harmonics versus each harmonic order	25
Table 4.1: Fuzzy like PID rule base matrix.....	42
Table 5.1: TCR System Parameters based on Load rating (3kwatt, $\pm 3\text{kvar}$) at different topologies	49
Table 5.2: Comparison between the TCR Topologies for RL load.....	102
Table 5.3: Comparison between the TCR Topologies for changing from RL load to RC load	116

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Power factor correction versus the released system capacity [14]	4
Figure 1.2: Power factor correction benefits for consumer	6
Figure 1.3: Power factor correction techniques - Block diagram	8
Figure 1.4: Power factor correction techniques- Circuits.....	8
Figure 2.1: The publication for basic types of FACTS controllers.....	14
Figure 3.1: Simple configuration of single phase TCR.....	20
Figure 3.2: The ranges for controlled firing angle (α)	20
Figure 3.3: Current and voltages for different firing angles at the TCR, thyrisors, coil.....	20
Figure 3.4: TCR Combination with FC and TSC.....	21
Figure 3.5 (a): Harmonics in a TCR current for the delta connection (b): The fundamental and I_h versus firing angle.....	22
Figure 3.6: Six pulse TCR delta connected.	24
Figure 3.7: Complete configuration of 12 pulse of TCR combined with capacitor bank	25
Figure 3.8: Total Susceptance model of TCR	26
Figure 3.9: Variation of the fundamental TCR current with the delay angle	28
Figure 3.10: Block diagram of FC-TCR (Star connection)	29
Figure 3.11: TCR hybrid topology.....	31
Figure 3.13: Transformation of DQ frame	35
Figure 4.1: Fuzzy logic control system diagram	38
Figure 4.2: Schematic for simple fuzzy controller using Q reactive power as an input.....	40
Figure 4.3: Schematic for hierarchical fuzzy controller	40
Figure 4.4: Fuzzy like PID controller.....	41
Figure 4.5: Constant Power Factor reference based on the actual active power.....	43
Figure 4.6: Block diagram for generating Q reference	44
Figure 4.7: Block diagram for Q reference as an input for the FLC controller	44
Figure 4.8: Simple fuzzy system with two inputs (error and change of error for the reactive power) and one output firing angle	45
Figure 4.9: Five membership functions for the input - reactive power error.....	45
Figure 4.10: Five member functions for the input changeFigure 4.11: Nine membership functions (unsymmetrical) for output- firing angle	46
Figure 4. 12: The relation of the reactive power and the firing angle	47
Figure 4.13: Surface viewer summarize the rules between the inputs and the output ... of error– reactive power	48
Figure 5.1: Matlab model for RL load (3kwatt, 3Kvar)-Star connection of TCR.....	50
Figure 5.2: Star connection of TCR	51
Figure 5.3: TCR Reactive power versus firing angle	52
Figure 5.4: Line currents at the FC, the TCR, and the SVC system	53
Figure 5.5: Reactive power of the SVC versus firing angle	53
Figure 5.6: Line currents at the source, the SVC, and the load for TCR star connection	54
Figure 5.7: Reactive power at the source versus firing angle for star TCR	54
Figure 5.8: Power factor at the source versus firing angle	55
Figure 5.9 (a): THD at the TCR (b): THD at the SVC (c): THD source versus the firing angle.....	56
Figure 5.10: TCR delta connection system	56
Figure 5.11: Reactive power versus firing angle at the TCR.....	57

Figure 5.12: Line current at the SVC, the FC, and TCR based on TCR delta connection	57
Figure 5.13: Reactive power at the SVC versus firing angle	58
Figure 5.14: Line current at the source, the SVC, and the load for TCR delta connection	58
Figure 5.15: Reactive power at the SVC versus firing angle	59
Figure 5.16: power factor at the source versus firing angle	59
Figure 5.17(a): THD at the TCR (b): THD at the SVC (c): THD source versus the firing angle	60
Figure 5.18: TCR hybrid connection.....	61
Figure 5.19: Line current versus firing angle at TCR (star branch, delta branch, and hybrid)	61
Figure 5.20: Reactive power versus firing angle at TCR (star branch, delta branch, and hybrid)	62
Figure 5.21: Line current versus firing angle at the SVC, the FC, and the hybrid connection of TCR	63
Figure 5.22: Reactive power versus firing angle at the SVC - hybrid connection of TCR	63
Figure 5.23: Line current versus firing angle at the Source, the SVC, and the Load - hybrid connection of TCR	64
Figure 5.24: Reactive power at the source -hybrid connection of TCR	64
Figure 5.25: Power factor versus firing angle at the source -hybrid connection of TCR	65
Figure 5.26: THD versus firing angle at TCR / TCR star branch / TCR delta branch for TCR - hybrid connection of TCR	65
Figure 5.27(a): THD at the TCR (b): THD at the SVC (c): THD at the source versus firing angle - hybrid connection of TCR.....	66
Figure 5.28: (a) Line current versus firing angle at TCR for TCR hybrid / TCR star/TCR delta (b): Reactive power versus firing angle at TCR for TCR hybrid / TCR star /TCR delta	67
Figure 5.29: THD of TCR hybrid/TCR star/TCR delta versus firing angle at TCR	68
Figure 5.30: THD of the TCR star, delta, and hybrid versus firing angle at the source	69
Figure 5.31: Power factor at the source of the TCR star, delta, and hybrid versus firing angle (RL load-3kwatt, 3kvar)	69
Figure 5.32: Star connection of TCR	70
Figure 5.33: The load current in the stationary DQ frame.....	71
Figure 5.34: The load current in XY plan	71
Figure 5.35: The load current in the rotating DQ frame	72
Figure 5.36: The TCR current in the stationary DQ frame	72
Figure 5.37: The TCR current in XY plan	73
Figure 5.38: The TCR current in the rotating DQ frame.....	73
Figure 5.39: The capacitor current in the stationary DQ frame.....	74
Figure 5.40: The capacitor current in XY plan	74
Figure 5.41: The capacitor current in the rotating DQ frame.....	75
Figure 5.42: The SVC current in the stationary DQ frame	75
Figure 5.43: The SVC current in XY plan	76
Figure 5.44: The SVC current in the rotating DQ frame.....	77
Figure 5.45: The source voltage in the stationary DQ frame	78
Figure 5.46: The source current in the stationary DQ frame	78
Figure 5.47: The source voltage in XY plan	79

Figure 5.48: The source current in XY plan	79
Figure 5.49: The source voltage in the rotating DQ frame	80
Figure 5.50: The source current in the rotating DQ frame	80
Figure 5.51: The load current in the stationary DQ frame.....	81
Figure 5.52: The load current in XY plan	82
Figure 5.53: The load current in the rotating DQ frame	82
Figure 5.54: The TCR current in the stationary DQ frame.....	83
Figure 5.55: The TCR current in XY plan	83
Figure 5.56: The TCR current in the rotating DQ frame.....	84
Figure 5.57: The SVC current in the stationary DQ frame.....	84
Figure 5.58: The SVC current in XY plan	85
Figure 5.59: The SVC current in the rotating DQ frame.....	86
Figure 5.60: The source current in the stationary DQ frame	86
Figure 5.61: The source current in XY plan	87
Figure 5.62: The source current in the rotating DQ frame	87
Figure 5.63: Active power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source	88
Figure 5.64: Reactive power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source.....	89
Figure 5.65: Power factor by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source	89
Figure 5.66: Reactive power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the SVC.....	90
Figure 5.67: Reactive power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at TCR star	90
Figure 5.68: Active power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the load.....	91
Figure 5.69: Reactive power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the load.....	91
Figure 5.70: Active power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source	92
Figure 5.71: Reactive power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source.....	92
Figure 5.72: Reactive power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source.....	93
Figure 5.73: Active power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source	93
Figure 5.74: Reactive power by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source.....	94
Figure 5.75: Power factor by DQ frame, Simulink measurement at the source	94
Figure 5.76: Load inductive reactive power	95
Figure 5.77: The sampled reactive power input to the FLC	96
Figure 5.78: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	96
Figure 5.79: Actual reactive power at the source	97
Figure 5.80: Power factor at the source	97
Figure 5.81: Sampled reactive power at the source.....	98
Figure 5.82: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	98
Figure 5.83: Actual reactive power at the source.....	99
Figure 5.84: Power factor at the source	99
Figure 5.85: Sampled reactive power at the source.....	100
Figure 5.86: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	100
Figure 5.87: Actual reactive power at the source	101
Figure 5.88: The power factor at the source	101
Figure 5.89: Load capacitive reactive power.....	102
Figure 5.90: Sampled reactive power at the source.....	103
Figure 5.91: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	103
Figure 5.92: Actual reactive power at the source.....	104
Figure 5.93: Power factor at the source	104
Figure 5.94: Sampled reactive power at the source.....	105
Figure 5.95: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	105
Figure 5.96: Actual reactive power at the source.....	106
Figure 5.97: Power factor at the source	106

Figure 5.98: Sampled reactive power at the source	107
Figure 5.99: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	107
Figure 5.100: Actual reactive power at the source	108
Figure 5.101: Power factor at the source	108
Figure 5.102: Load changing from fully inductive to fully capacitive	109
Figure 5.103: Sampled reactive power at the source	110
Figure 5.104: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	110
Figure 5.105: Actual reactive power at the source	111
Figure 5.106: Power factor at the source	111
Figure 5.107: Sampled reactive power at the source	112
Figure 5.108: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	112
Figure 5.109: Actual reactive power at the source	113
Figure 5.110: Power factor at the source	113
Figure 5.111: Sampled reactive power at the source	114
Figure 5.112: Firing angle output from the FLC versus time	114
Figure 5.113: Actual reactive power at the source	115
Figure 5.114: Power factor at the source	115

List of Symbols

$PF1 = \cos\phi_1$	Initial power factor
$PF2 = \cos\phi_2$	Target power factor
V_s	System voltage
T1	Thyristor of the positive cycle
T2	Thyristor of the positive cycle
$\beta_{TCR(\alpha)}$	Susceptance of TCR
β_c	Susceptance of the Capacitor
X_{SVC}	Reactance of SVC
X_C	Reactance of Capacitance
X_{TCR}	Reactance of TCR
L	Inductance of the reactor
S	Apparent Power
P	Active Power
Q_x	Reactive power at point x
C	Capacitance of the capacitor
KVA	The unit of Apparent Power
KV	The unit of the Voltage
Hz	The unit of the frequency (f)
PW	The unit of power
KWH	The unit of electrical energy
Kvar, Mvar	The unit of the reactive power
R,S,T	Three phases
HP	The unit of power = 0.764 Watt
N	Binary steps
I_L	Maximum demand load current
$i_{th1,2}$	Current through the thyristor1,2
$V_{th1,2}$	Voltage through the thyristor1,2
$Q_{TCR(\alpha)}$	Total reactive power of the TCR
$I_{TCR(\alpha)}$	Total current through the TCR branch at a firing angle α .
$V_{a,b,c}$	Phase voltage for phase a,b,c
$I_{a,b,c}$	Phase current for phase a,b,c
i_{ds}	Direct current for stationary frame
i_{dr}	Direct current for rotating frame
i_{qs}	Quadrature current for stationary frame
i_{qr}	Quadrature current for rotating frame
V_{ds}	Direct voltage for stationary frame
V_{dr}	Direct voltage for rotating frame
V_{qs}	Quadrature voltage for stationary frame
V_{qr}	Quadrature voltage for rotating frame
V_d (Average)	Average Direct voltage
V_q (Average)	Average Quadrature voltage
i_d (Average)	Average Direct current
i_q (Average)	Average Quadrature current