

REVIEW OF THE RECENT ADVANCES IN PHAKIC INTRAOCULAR LENSES TO CORRECT HIGH ERRORS OF REFRACTION

Essay

**submitted for partial fulfillment for the requirements
of Master Degree in Ophthalmology**

By

Mohammed Ezzat Taha Mowad

M.B.B. Ch.

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Nadia Mohammed ElMowafy

Professor of Ophthalmology

Faculty of Medicine - Ain-Shams University

Dr. Hazem Mohamed Omar Mohamed Rashed

Lecturer of Ophthalmology

Faculty of Medicine - Ain-Shams University

FACULTY OF MEDICINE

AIN-SHAMS UNIVERSITY

2009

Contents

List of figures	I
------------------------------	----------

List of abbreviations	V
------------------------------------	----------

Chapter 1.....	1
-----------------------	----------

Demographics of Refractive Surgery

Chapter 2.....	14
-----------------------	-----------

Anterior Chamber Phakic Intraocular Lenses. (Angle-supported)

Chapter 3.....	64
-----------------------	-----------

Anterior Chamber Phakic Intraocular Lenses. (Iris-fixated)

Chapter 4.....	84
-----------------------	-----------

Posterior Chamber Phakic Intraocular Lenses.

Chapter 5.....	119
-----------------------	------------

Special issues

References	189
-------------------------	------------

List of Abbreviations

AC	Anterior chamber
AC PIOL	Anterior chamber phakic intraocular lens
CLE	Clear lens extraction
ICL	Implantable contact lens
IOL	Intraocular lens
IOP	Intraocular pressure
LASIK	Laser insitu keratomileusis
PC	Posterior chamber
PC PIOL	Posterior chamber phakic intraocular lens
PIOL	Phakic intraocular lens
PDS	Pigment dispersion syndrome
PMMA	Polymethyl methacrylate
PRK	Photorefractive keratectomy
PRL	Phakic refractive lens
LRIs	Limbal relaxing incisions

List of figures

Figure 1.Strampelli lens	15
Figure 2. The Baïkoff first generation lens	17
Figure 3.First-generation ZB implant	18
Figure 4.First-generation ZB implant	19
Figure 5. ZB 5M implant.	19
Figure 6.ZSAL-4 phakic intraocular lens	22
Figure 7.GBR/Vivarte implant.	23
Figure 8.GBR/Vivarte implant in place	23
Figure 9. I-CARE phakic IOL features a 5.75-mm optic and haptics with 0.9 mm “feet,” which minimize angle occlusion but provide good stability	24
Figure 10.I-CARE phakic IOL demonstrating minimal angle touch of haptic foot	24
Figure 11. Kelman Duet implant features an asymmetric, tripod-shaped PMMA haptic and a separate 5.5-mm silicone optic	25
Figure 12. Measure of the anterior chamber diameter with the plastic sizer.	29
Figure 13.Evaluation of white-to-white diameter measured with topography.	31
Figure 14.Evaluation of internal diameter of the anterior chamber with the IOLTech LED sizer.	32
Figure 15.Profile of a presbyopic implant on a phakic eye (UBM Artemis Ultralink)	33
Figure 16. Profile of anterior segment measured with the AC OCT ...	34

Figure 17.A .3-mm corneal incision. B. Injection of viscous substance in the anterior chamber. C. Insertion of a soft implant in the anterior chamber. D. End of surgery aspect.	38
Figure 18.GBR/Vivarte implant in place	41
Figure 19.Intraocular placement of the Phakic 6H2 lens.	46
Figure 20.Safety distances from the endothelium	49
Figure 21.Study of 10 diopters of accommodation in a young adult. ..	50
Figure 22.The “free zone” is the space in the anterior chamber where the optic of an anterior chamber IOL (angle supported or iris fixated) must be situated.	52
Figure 23.Pupil ovalization following AC phakic IOL implantation. Moderate ovalization.	53
Figure 24.Pupil ovalization following AC phakic IOL implantation. Severe “cat pupil”-like ovalization.	55
Figure 25.First generation iris-claw lens (Worst-Fechner), 11 years after implantation (61-year-old female).	56
Figure 26.The 6-mm optic Artisan lens	65
Figure 27.The 6-mm optic Artisan lens insitu	66
Figure 28. Artiflex flexible phakic IOL.....	68
Figure 29.Illustration of the vaulted design of the Artisan phakic IOL.	69
Figure 30.The 5-mm optic Artisan lens	70
Figure 31.The 6-mm optic Artisan lens	70
Figure 32.The Artisan enclavation needle	75
Figure 33.The Artisan toric lens	80

Figure 34.The Staar Visian Toric ICL in situ.	84
Figure 35.A V4 myopic Visian ICL in situ	84
Figure 36.Photograph of the CIBA Vision PRL.	86
Figure 37.A diamond knife is used to create two paracentesis incisions.	93
Figure 38.A self-sealing 3 mm by 2 mm clear cornea incision is made from a temporal approach.	94
Figure 39.The injector cartridge is placed just inside the wound. 95	
Figure 40.The ICL is slowly injected into the anterior chamber using an advance-and-pause tapping motion.	95
Figure 41.Once the ICL is inside the anterior chamber, viscoelastic is used to refill the chamber and push the implant posterior.	96
Figure 42 Slit lamp photograph of the PRL properly positioned. 100	
Figure 43.Nuclear cataract in an eye with an AC phakic IOL ...	106
Figure 44.Cataract formation after implantation of PC phakic IOL.	110
Figure 45.Inflammatory reaction after iris-claw lens implantation. 115	
Figure 46-1.The broad hash marks of the fixation ring/gauge are centered over the 75-degree meridian, using the 6:00 limbal mark for orientation.	120
Figure 46-2.The single footplate diamond blade is inserted perpendicular to the corneal surface and at the peripheral most extent of clear corneal tissue.	120
Figure 46-3.The incision is completed 22.5 degrees to the opposite side.	120
Figure 46-4.In this left eye, the steep meridian is at the 120- degree axis and has been delineated by opposing limbal marks.	120

Figure 46-5. The incision is begun 20 degrees to one side of the centering mark.	121
Figure 46-6. The incision is completed.	121
Figure 46-7. Total arc length equals 40 degrees.	121
Figure 46-8. The starting point of the opposing incision is determined.	121
Figure 46-9. The opposing incision is begun.	122
Figure 46-10. The incision is completed.	122
Figure 46-11 The temporal single-plane clear corneal incision is placed independent of the LRIs.	122
Figure 46-12 In this case, the steep meridian is at 90 degrees. The fixation ring/gauge is therefore centered with the 6:00 limbal mark.	122
Figure 46-13 The nomogram calls for arcuate incisions of between 45 and 50 degrees.	123
Figure 46-14 The blade is inserted.	123
Figure 46-15 The incision is completed an equal distance past the centering mark, visually extrapolating to the 10-degree marks on the surface of the ring.	123
Figure 46-16 The blade is held above the starting point of the opposite incision.	123
Figure 46-17 The incision is completed. Note a small amount of blood within the incision.	124
Figure 47. Bioptics is the combination of an intraocular lens procedure and a corneal refractive procedure, such as LASIK.	127

CHAPTER 1

Demographics of Refractive Surgery

MYOPIA

Definitions

While there is some variability in terminology found in the literature, the following definitions will be used to stratify levels of myopia: low (less than -5.00 diopters D), moderate (-5.00 to -10.00 D), and high (greater than -10.00 D). Visually significant myopia is considered to be -1.00 D or greater. These specific stratifications are chosen because they are most representative of those used in the literature. In addition, the efficacy of different refractive techniques varies with attempted correction. In general, photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) provides effective results for patients with low myopia while laser in-situ keratomileusis (LASIK) is effective for low and moderate myopia. Phakic IOLs will likely address high myopia (Hamilton et al., 2004) .

Prevalence

The distribution of levels of myopia is highly variable among different races and ethnic groups. In general, the prevalence of myopia tends to be higher among more developed populations. The prevalence of myopia is estimated to be 70% to 90% in Asia, 30% to 40% in Europe and the Americas, and 10% to 20% in Africa (Saw et al., 1996).

Myopia is more prevalent in younger patients. More than three-fourths of those individuals aged 18 to 24 years that wear corrective lenses have low myopia. Of patients presenting for myopic refractive surgery, however, the numbers are skewed toward higher levels of correction. McCarty et al compared the stratified prevalence of myopia in the general population of Melbourne, Australia with that of individuals presenting for refractive surgery. The study found that while only 2% and 0.4% of the general population had moderate and high myopia, respectively, 42% and 13% of those patients presenting for refractive surgery had moderate and high myopia, respectively. In other words, moderate myopes were ten times more likely to present for refractive surgery than low myopes, and high myopes were sixteen times more likely to present for refractive surgery than low myopes. A similar result reported by Ucakhan et al found that 17% of patients presenting for refractive surgery at a center in the United States had myopia of -6.00 D or greater compared to an estimated 2% prevalence in the general population (Ucakhan et al., 2000).

HYPEROPIA

The following definitions will be used to stratify levels of hyperopia: low (less than +3.00 D) and high (greater than +3.00 D). Again, these stratifications are chosen because they are most representative of those used in the literature. In addition, the efficacy of different refractive techniques varies with attempted correction. In general PRK, LASIK, and conductive keratoplasty (CK) are effective for low hyperopia. Phakic IOLs will likely address high hyperopia (Hamilton et al., 2004).

Prevalence

In the adult population, low hyperopia remains largely silent clinically until the fifth decade due to the accommodative ability of the crystalline lens (Friedman et al., 2003).

REFRACTIVE SURGICAL PROCEDURES FOR MYOPIA:

Refractive surgical procedures available to treat myopia include LASIK, PRK, and its variants (laser subepithelial keratectomy, otherwise known as LASEK or Epi-LASEK), intracorneal ring segments, clear lens extraction, and phakic IOL implantation.

1. Laser In-Situ Keratomileusis

There is little disagreement that LASIK affords the highest level of comfort, quickest recovery, and most stable, predictable outcome for low and moderate myopia (El-Maghraby et al., 1999).

Efficacy and predictability decrease, however, when treating high myopia with LASIK (El-Dansoury et al., 1997).

The incidence of decreased contrast sensitivity and increased glare and halos appears to be significantly higher with large myopic corrections (Holladay 1995).

This decrease in visual function is likely related to alteration of the corneal asphericity following myopic laser ablation in which the natural prolate shape (ie, steep in the center, flat in the periphery) of the anterior surface is converted to an oblate shape (ie, flat in the center, steeper in the periphery) (Holladay et al., 1999).

Oshika et al demonstrate a positive correlation between amounts of induced coma-like and spherical-like aberrations and increasing attempted correction (Oshika et al., 2002).

The study also shows a positive relation between eyes losing two or more lines of best corrected acuity and level of induced coma-like and spherical-like aberrations. Several studies report losses of two or

more lines of best-corrected visual acuity in 3% to 5% of highly myopic eyes treated with LASIK. (Hersh et al., 1998).

At 6 months, 58% gained one or two lines of best-corrected visual acuity. This is likely attributable to the relative magnification achieved by elimination of spectacle correction in high myopia and the preservation of natural corneal asphericity (Applegate and Howland 1993).

Corneal thickness becomes a limiting factor with increasing correction, as there appears to be a correlation between risk of keratectasia and decreasing residual bed thickness following LASIK (Ou et al., 2002).

While the etiology of keratectasia is not fully understood, it is generally accepted that ablating below a minimum residual bed thickness increases risk. The value of 250µm has been proposed as a threshold beyond which ablation should not proceed (Seiler et al., 1998). Unfortunately, even this number may not be sufficient in every case, as evidenced by reports of keratectasia following shallow ablations with thicker residual beds (Amoils et al., 2000). In some of these cases, forme fruste keratoconus as seen on topography may contribute to the development of keratectasia (Seiler and Quirke 1998).

In a recent study by Hori-Komai et al examining the reasons why patients presenting for refractive surgery did not undergo LASIK or PRK, 25% of 2784 consecutive patients did not undergo either procedure. Nearly 30% of the patients that did not have surgery had either high myopia (>-12.00 D) or had insufficient corneal thickness (Hori-Komai et al., 2002).

The concern over adequate residual bed thickness, coupled with the lower predictability of LASIK refractive outcomes at higher corrections, leads to the additional issue of potential “nonenhanceability.” The high myope is more likely to require an enhancement than the low or moderate myope but also may not have enough tissue remaining to safely perform additional ablations. In these situations, a procedure that does not remove corneal tissue and does not alter the natural prolate shape of the anterior corneal surface, such as phakic IOL implantation, offers an attractive solution (Hamilton et al., 2004).

2. Photorefractive Keratectomy

PRK, which has been performed for more than a decade, has proven extremely effective in treating low myopia, demonstrating high levels of safety, efficacy, stability, and predictability (Stevens et al., 2002).

PRK holds particular appeal for patients with corneas too thin for LASIK due to inadequate residual bed thickness. The absence of a flap typically adds 100 to 150 μ m to the treatable stromal bed in PRK. While LASIK became dominant in the late 1990s due to its faster recovery and improved patient comfort (El Danasoury et al., 1999).

PRK has experienced a resurgence of interest recently due to the introduction of wavefront-guided laser treatments and the notion that the microkeratome pass and flap healing in LASIK may introduce additional optical aberrations (Pallikaris et al., 2002).

PRK, even more so than LASIK, however, performs less impressively when treating moderate to high myopia (Krueger et al., 1995).

The likelihood of significant regression of treatment effect increases significantly with higher corrections, possibly due to epithelial hyperplasia (Lohmann et al., 1999).

In addition, stromal wound healing can lead to subepithelial haze formation, with more severe haze developing with higher corrections (Tang and Liao 1997).

The haze, which can decrease visual acuity directly in its more advanced manifestation, is thought to closely relate to refractive regression (Siganos et al., 1999).

These two factors— regression and subepithelial haze— dramatically reduce PRK efficacy in treating high myopia. One study found efficacy of only 30% for achieving 20/40 or better and 4% for 20/20 or better uncorrected acuity at 6 months in the high myope (Carson and Taylor 1994).

Issues describing decreased visual function resulting from induced higher-order aberrations, which were discussed in the LASIK section, apply to high myopia PRK treatments as well. However, with the lack of a flap, PRK may introduce fewer aberrations if the healing process can be adequately controlled when treating large corrections.

In particular, the use of mitomycin C (MMC) may be advantageous to reduce subepithelial haze formation in moderate and high myopic treatments using PRK (Majmudar et al., 2000).

A recent prospective, randomized study compared the 6-month uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) between eyes with moderate myopia treated with and without MMC. A statistically significant difference was found in UCVA at 6 months at both the 20/20 or better level (60% of the MMC group vs 30% of the control group) and the 20/40 or better level (100% of the MMC group vs 83% of the control group) (Carones et al., 2002).

LASEK or Epi-LASEK, which is a variant of PRK, creates an “epithelial flap” that is then repositioned after laser ablation in an