

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-Call 6000





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعيدا عن الغيار













بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



# Transient Hepatic Echogenicity Difference on Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Essay

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
In Radio-Diagnosis

Presented by

#### Shimaa Wefky Elsaba

M.B.B, CH Cairo University

Supervised by

#### Dr. Eshrak Emam Hassanein

Assistant Professor of Radio –Diagnosis Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Lobna Abd Elmoneim Habeb

Lecturer of Radio -Diagnosis Faculty of Medicine Ain shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

I cannot give a word to fulfill my deepest love and thanks to ALLAH for lighting me the way not only throughout this piece of work but also throughout my whole life and for all the countless gifts I have been offered .Of these gifts my mother who was assigned to give me a precious hand so as to be able to fulfill this essay.

Acknowledgment

I wish to acknowledge with sincere appreciation and gratitude Prof. Dr. Eshrak Emam Hassanein professor of Radiodiagnosis Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams university. The few words written here can never express the feelings of gratitude and kind regards I have for her supervision. I would like to thank her for spending much of her precious time in fulfillment of this work. Without her meticulous guidance, unlimited support and valuable advice, this work would never have been completed.

I will remain grateful to Dr. Lobna Abd

Elmoneim Habeb lecturer of Radio-diagnosis

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University for her

continuous encouragement, guidance and close

follow up of this work and for the time and effort

she gave throughout this work. I am definitely

grateful to her more than I can express.

Shimaa Elsaba

### **CONTENT**

- 1. Introduction.
- 2. Aim of work.
- 3. Review of literature:
- -Sonographic anatomy.
- -Pathology of hepatic focal lesions.
- -Technique of of contrast enhanced hepatic U S examination.
- Contrast enhanced ultrasonography in hepatic focal lesions.
- Transient hepatic echogenicity difference on contrastenhanced ultrasonography
- 4. Summary &conclusion
- 5. References
- 6. Arabic summary

#### LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

List	Tables and Figures	page
Table:1	Visualization post-injection time of	63
	ultrasound contrast agent	
Fig:1	Anatomy of segmental portal veins	18
Fig:2	Branches of left portal vein	19
Fig:3	Branches of right portal vein	22
Fig:4	Anatomy of hepatic veins	24
Fig:5	CEUS in Amebic liver abscess	69
Fig:6	CEUS in Benign liver cyst	70
Fig:7	CEUS in Hemangioma	73
Fig:8	CEUS in Focal nodular hyperplasia	75
Fig:9	CEUS in Hepatocellular adenoma	77
Fig:10	CEUS in Dysplastic nodule	78
Fig:11	CEUS in small hepatocellular carcinoma	80
Fig:12	CEUS in small hepatocellular carcinoma	82
Fig:13	CEUS in large hepatocellular carcinoma	83
Fig:14	CEUS in liver metastasis	85
Fig:15	CEUS in liver metastasis	86
Fig:16	CEUS in liver metastasis	88
Fig:17	Segmental THED due to portal vein thrombosis of eighth liver segment	99
Fig:18	Perifocal THED around liver abscess	100
Fig:19	Perifocal THED around hepatocellular carcinoma	102

Fig:20	Perifocal THED around hepatocellular	104
	carcinoma treated with RF ablation	
Fig:21	Subsegmental THED secondary to	106
	arterio-portal fistula	
Fig:22	Subsegmental THED secondary to acute	107
	cholecystitis	

### Table of abbreviations

AFP	Alpha Foto Protein
СТ	Computerized tomography
CEUS	Contrast Enhanced ultrasonography
EHE	Epithelial Hemangio Endothelioma
FNH	Focal Nodular Hyperplasia
FLL	Focal Liver Lesion
HCC	Hepatocellular Carcinoma
MI	Mechanical index
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
THAD	Transient Hepatic Attenuation Difference
THED	Transient Hepatic Echogenicity
	Difference
THID	Transient Hepatic Intensity Difference
UCA	Ultrasound Contrast Agent
US	Ultrasonography

#### Introduction

The liver is the organ most frequently involved by metastasis from other sites in addition to many benign lesions such as hemangiomas and focal nodular hyperplasia. Several imaging modalities and diagnostic protocols have been used in attempts to optimize detection of focal liver lesions (*Liovet et al*, 2003).

The liver has a dual blood supply (70% portal vein,30%hepatic artery). The arterial and portal venous supplies to the liver are not independent systems. There are several communications between the vessels ,including transsinusoidal, transvasal &transplexal routes (*Kim et al*, 1998).

When vascular compromise occurs, there are often changes in the volume of blood flow in individual vessels and even in the direction of blood flow .There is compensatory relationship between the two arterial and portal in flow i.e. arterial flow increases when

portal flow decreases .These perfusion disorders can be detected with helical C T and are generally seen as an area of high attenuation on hepatic arterial phase images that returns to normal on portal venous phase images; and are hence known as Transient hepatic attenuation differences (*Quiroga et al, 2001*).

Recently contrast enhanced ultrasonography has been used to report and analyze transient hepatic echogenicity difference due to perfusion changes which are equivalent to transient hepatic attenuation difference seen on CT (*Catalano et al*, 2007).

Knowledge of this Transient Hepatic
Echogenicity Difference phenomenon may be
relevant for avoiding incorrect image interpretation
or incorrect tumor size measurement (*Catalano et al,2007*).

### Aim of work

To show the role of contrast
enhanced ultrasonography in
different hepatic focal lesions and to
Illustrate Transient Hepatic
Echogenicity Difference On Contrast
Enhanced Ultrasonography.