## Role of Zinc in Catch-up Growth of Low-Birth Weight Neonates

THESIS SUBMITTED AS PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF MD DEGREE IN PEDIATRICS

By

#### Khadija Mohammed Ibrahim Alian

Researcher Assistant in Biological Anthropology Department National Research Centre M.B.B.Ch – Ain Shams University – 2003 Master of Pediatrics – Ain Shams University – 2008

**Under Supervision of** 

#### Prof. Mohammed Ashraf Abdel-Wahed

Professor of Pediatrics Ain Shams University

#### Prof. Nayera El-Morsi Hassan

Professor of Biological Anthropology National Research Centre

#### Prof. Safaa Shafik Emam

Professor of Pediatrics Ain Shams University

#### Assist. Prof. Ola Galal Badr El-Deen

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics Ain Shams University



Ain Shams University Cairo – Egypt 2014

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم "وَ هَا أُوتِيتُهُ مِنَ العالِمِ إِلَّا فَلِيلًا" حدق الله العظيم

## **Acknowledgements**

Thanks are all to ALLAH, The compassionate and the Merciful, for helping me finish this work, and for blessing me throughout my life by HIS Compassion and Generosity.

My profound gratitude is to **Professor Mohamed Ashraf Abdel-Wahed,** Professor of Pediatrics, Ain Shams University who supported me throughout the thesis process.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to **Professor Nayera El-Morsi Hassan**, Professor and Head of Biological Anthropology Department, National Research Centre, for her valuable instructions, inspiring guidance and support throughout this work.

My utmost respect and gratitude to **Professor Safaa Shafik Emam**, Professor of Pediatrics, Ain Shams University. I was honored by her continuous support and appreciable supervision on this work.

Words fail to express my great indebtedness to **Assistant Professor Ola Galal Badr El-Din**, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Ain Shams University, whose continuous supervision, advice and fruitful criticism have been of great help in performing this work.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my mother, my husband and my whole family who always support me throughout my life.

Khadija M.I. Alian Cairo, Egypt January 2014 To my great mother and my children Omar, Laila and Yasmine

# **List of Contents**

Lists of Tables	ii
Lists of Figures	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of the Literature	5
<ol> <li>The Low Birth Weight Infant</li> <li>Growth</li> <li>Evaluation of Growth</li> <li>Zinc</li> </ol>	5 37 60 78
Subjects and Methods	101
Results	114
Discussion	164
Summary and Conclusion	181
References	188
Addenda	226
Arabic Summary	1

# **List of Tables**

Table-1Causes of preterm delivery	9
Table-2 Neonatal problems associated with preterm infants	21
Table-3 Suggested feeding regimens for preterm infants	23
Table-4 Management of NEC based on the Bell classification system	25
Table-5 Key maternal and fetal factors contributing to fetal and infant	26
growth outcomes and development	
Table-6 Some causes of intrauterine growth restriction	29
Table-7 Problems of IUGR infants	31
Table-8 Examples of mutations causing isolated or multiple pituitary	47
hormone deficiencies	
Table-9 Factors influencing normal growth hormone secretion	52
Table-10 Formulas for Approximate Average Weight of Normal Infants	67
and Children	
Table-11 Formulas for Approximate Average Height of Normal Infants	69
and Children	
Table-12 Zinc concentration in different body fluids and tissues:	79
Table-13 Criteria for categorizing diets according to the potential	92
availability of their zinc	
Table-14 Average individual normative requirements for zinc from	93
diets differing in zinc bio-availability	
Table-15 Recommended nutrient intakes (RNIs) for dietary zinc to	96
meet the normative storage requirements from diets differing in zinc	
bio-availability	
Table-16 Etiology of zinc deficiency in human population	100
Table-17 Study schedule	103
Table-18 Demographic Data of Included Neonates	115
Table-19 Initial Anthropometric Measurements in Included Neonates	118
Table-20 Categorization of Initial Anthropometric Measurements in	119
Included Neonates	
Table-21 Initial Serum Zinc Concentration in Included Neonates	120
Table-22 Dropped-out Cases among the Recruited Neonates	122
Table-23 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Demographic	123
Data	
Table-24 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Initial	124
Anthropometric Measurements	
Table-25 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Categorization	125
of Initial Anthropometric Measurements	

- Table-26 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Initial Serum	125
Zinc Concentration	
- Table-27 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Anthropometric	127
Measurements at 3-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Table-28 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Categorization	131
of Anthropometric Measurements at 3-month-old Follow-up Visit	400
- Table-29 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Anthropometric Measurements at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	133
- Table-30 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Categorization	142
of Anthropometric Measurements at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Table-31 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Anthropometric	144
Measurements at 12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Table-32 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Categorization	151
of Anthropometric Measurements at 12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Table-33 Comparison between Both AGA and SGA Infants in Both	155
Study Groups regarding Anthropometric Measurements at 12-month-	
old Follow-up Visit	
- Table-34 Comparison between AGA and SGA Infants in Both Study	156
Groups regarding Categorization of Anthropometric Measurements at	
12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Table-35 Comparison between Term and Preterm Infants in Both	157
Groups regarding Anthropometric Measurements at 12-month-old	
Follow-up Visit	
- Table-36 Comparison between Term and Preterm Infants in Both	158
Groups regarding Categorization of Anthropometric Measurements at	
12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Table-37 Comparison between Male and Female Infants in Both	159
Groups regarding Anthropometric Measurements at 12-month-old	
Follow-up Visit	
- Table-38 Comparison between Male and Female Infants in Both	160
Groups regarding Categorization of Anthropometric Measurements at	
12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Table-39 Comparison between Both Groups regarding Serum IGF-1	161
- Table-40 comparison between AGA and SGA Infants in Both study	162
groups regarding serum IGF-1 at 6 months follow up visit	
- Table-(41) comparison between male and female Infants in Both study	162
groups regarding serum IGF-1 at 6 months follow up visit	
- Table-(42) Correlation between Serum IGF-1 and Measured Variables	163
in Both Groups	

# List of Figures

- Figure-1 Fetal-infant growth chart for preterm infants	11
- Figure-2 New Ballard score	12
- Figure-3 Placental adaptive responses and fetal programming	28
- Figure-4 Schematic illustration of the sensitive or critical prenatal	39
growth	
- Figure-5 Non-pathological influencing growth	45
- Figure-6 Hormonal influence of the hypothalamo-pituitary axis on	48
the growth plate	
- Figure-7 Schematic representation of multiple sites of GH action	51
- Figure-8 Acrodermatitis Enteropathica	98
- Figure-9 Weight scale	105
- Figure-10 Infantometer	106
- Figure-11 Head circumference measurement	107
- Figure-12 MAC measurement	108
- Figure-13 The original measuring tape	109
- Figure-14 Holtain Tanner/Whitehouse Skinfold Caliper	111
- Figure-15 Pie-Chart showing Gender Distribution in Included	115
Neonates	
- Figure-16 Pie-Chart showing Maturity Distribution in Included	116
Neonates	
- Figure-17 Bar-Chart showing Distribution of Gestational Age at	116
Delivery in Included Neonates	
- Figure-18 Bar-Chart showing Birth Weight Distribution in Included	117
Neonates	
- Figure-19 Pie-Chart showing Weight-for-Age Distribution in Included	117
Neonates	
- Figure-20 Bar-Chart showing Categorization of Initial	119
Anthropometric Measurements in Included Neonates	
- Figure-21 Flow-Diagram showing Study Course	121
- Figure-22 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	126
regarding Initial Serum Zinc Concentration	
- Figure-23 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	128
regarding Weight at 3-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-24 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	129
regarding Length at 3-month-old Follow-up Visit	0
- Figure-25 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	130
regarding HC at 3-month-old Follow-up Visit	100

- Figure-26 Bar-Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	132
regarding Categorization of Anthropometric Measurements at 3-	
month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-27 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	134
regarding Weight at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-28 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	135
regarding Length at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-29 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	136
regarding HC at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-30 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	137
regarding Abdominal Girth at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-31 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	138
regarding Chest Circumference at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-32 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	139
regarding MAC at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-33 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	140
regarding Triceps SFT at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-34 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	141
regarding Subscapular SFT at 6-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-35 Bar-Chart showing Difference between Both Groups	143
regarding Categorization of Anthropometric Measurements at 6-	
month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-36 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	145
regarding Weight at 12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-37Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	146
regarding Length at 12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-38 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	147
regarding HC at 12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-39 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	148
regarding Abdominal Girth at 12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-40 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	149
regarding Chest Circumference at 12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-41 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	150
regarding MAC at 12-month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-42Bar-Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	152
regarding Categorization of Anthropometric Measurements at 12-	
month-old Follow-up Visit	
- Figure-43 Curve showing Percentage of Infants who were ≥ 10 <sup>th</sup>	153
Percentile for Weight	_ 3
- Figure-44 Curve showing Comparison between Both Groups	154
regarding Percentage of Infants who were ≥ 10 <sup>th</sup> Percentile for	

Length	
- Figure-45 Curve showing Comparison between Both Groups	154
regarding Percentage of Infants who were ≥ 10th Percentile for HC	
- Figure-46 Box-Plot Chart showing Comparison between Both Groups	161
regarding Serum IGF-1	

# Introduction

### Introduction

Low birth weight (LBW) is a challenging public health problem. Its high priority stems from the fact that it is a major predictor of infant mortality and that it contributes substantially to the overall burden of childhood handicap (Singh et al., 2013). The prevalence of LBW deliveries is higher in developing countries than developed countries and is associated with increased risks of poor health outcomes (UNICEF, 2009). The World Health Organization (WHO) defines LBW as the weight of live born infants less than 2,500 grams. The condition can be due to premature birth or intrauterine growth restriction or both (Stoll and Chapman, 2008). Low birth weight is an important predictor of infant death within 28 days of birth. It has been shown that LBW infants are much more likely to die than heavier babies (Yasmin et al., 2001).

Maternal low socioeconomic status, poor nutrition, and preterm birth are key mediating factors that need to be considered to improve birth weight of infants (*Kader and Tripathi, 2013*).

Providing extra support to LBW infants regarding nutrition and growth monitoring has great potential to reduce neonatal mortality rate and promote survival with good quality of life *(Edmond et al., 2008)*.

Growth and nutritional deficits are almost universal in LBW babies. Many other sequel of LBW may be attributed to these

growth and nutritional deficits, making them a main target for early and effective interference (Kennedy et al., 1999).

Aggressive nutritional management of preterm infants has been proposed by the American Association of Pediatrics with the goals of achieving postnatal weight gain that approximates fetal growth rates, achieving fetal body composition, and minimizing later developmental delays (American Association of Pediatrics, 1998).

Micronutrient deficiency, including zinc, contributes greatly to impaired growth, health, and development of children in less-developed countries (*Black, 1998*).

Zinc is a trace element that represents an integral part of more than 100 enzyme systems in human body, and is essential for normal growth and development. Zinc supplementation reduces morbidity especially in low birth weight infants with reduced stores of hepatic zinc (*Hess et al., 2009*).

The importance of zinc is reflected by the pathological effects that its deficiency state causes. Zinc deficiency is a serious nutritional problem among children of developing countries; with the consequences of retarded growth and development (Walker, 2009), and acrodermatitis enteropathica, a known potentially fatal condition of severe zinc deficiency (Jeejeebhoy, 2009).

Zinc can promote growth through changes in protein synthesis and cell replication, contributing to accumulation of lean tissue. This action is strongly assumed to be due to its effect on insulin like growth factor-1(IGF-1) (Hoque et al., 2009).

Results of studies regarding the role of zinc supplementation in improving growth in LBW neonates are conflicting. In some studies, zinc supplementation enhanced more weight gain and reduced the incidence of low birth weight related problems (*Hoque et al., 2009*).

Other studies showed no added benefit of zinc supplementation to enhance growth or significantly reduce morbidity in LBW infants (*Taneja et al., 2009*).

As zinc status is difficult to identify due to its tight homeostatic mechanisms, zinc supplementation trials have become the best source of information about zinc nurture around the world and especially in risk groups such as children (*Salgueiro et al., 2002*).

# Aim of the Work