



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Study of Parameters Affecting the Skinning Process of Palm Midribs

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Design and Production Engineering)

by

Mohamed Wagih Naim Mohamed

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Design and Production Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2012

Supervised By

Prof. Hamed Ibrahim El-Mously

Prof. Assoc. Mostafa Rostom

Prof. Assoc. Ola Abdelbar

Cairo - (2018)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Study of Parameters Affecting the Skinning Process of Palm Midribs

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Design and Production Engineering)

by

Mohamed Wagih Naim Mohamed

Examiners Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Alaa Soliman El-Hakim

.....

**Design and Production Engineering dept., Cairo
University**

Prof. Sherif Bahi Mosharaf

.....

**Design and Production Engineering dept., Al-
Azhar University**

Prof. Hamed Ibrahim El-Mously

.....

**Design and Production Engineering dept., Ain
Shams University**

Date: 4 / 1 / 2018



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Study of Parameters Affecting the Skinning Process of Palm Midribs

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Design and Production Engineering)

by

Mohamed Wagih Naim Mohamed

Supervision Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Hamed Ibrahim El-Mously

.....

**Design and Production Engineering dept., Faculty
of Engineering, Ain Shams University**

Prof. Assoc. Mostafa Rostom

.....

**Mechanical Engineering dept., Arab academy for
science and technology and maritime transport**

Prof. Assoc. Ola Abdelbar

.....

**Agriculture Botany dept., Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University**

Date: 4/ 1 / 2018

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Signature

.....

Mohamed Wagih Naim Mohamed

Date: 1/2018

Researcher Data

Name : Mohamed Wagih Naim Mohamed

Date of birth : 21/11/1989

Place of birth : Cairo, Egypt

Last academic degree : Bachelor Degree

Field of specialization : Mechanical Engineering

University issued the degree : Ain Shams University

Date of issued degree : July, 2012

Current job : Teaching Assistant, Design and Production
Engineering Department, Faculty of
Engineering, Ain Shams University,
Cairo, Egypt.

Summary

The efficient use of residues of the date palms, especially the midribs as local alternative resources for wood, will have a great impact on the economy of Egypt. The skinning of date palm leaves' midribs has been proved to be an appropriate technology for their processing for Egypt economically and socially. The skinning process is defined as the removal of the outer layers of the midrib, using several cutting tools or multi-edge cutting tool with pre-determined conditions for tool, workpiece, and process.

A test rig machine has been designed and executed to investigate the skinning mechanism, the effects of the clearance angle, tool angle, inclination angle, the skinning speed, and the layer thickness, on the specific skinning force (skinning force per unit width) and the surface roughness. Preliminary experiments have been carried out to eliminate the unsuitable values of tool material and specimen orientation.

The experiments have been planned to be carried out on levels, First, the skinning speed and the layer thickness have been studied together using the minimum wedge angle and large clearance angle, and 0° inclination angle conditions, to investigate the skinning mechanism changes and effect of these factors on the specific skinning force. Thereafter, effect of the clearance angle has been introduced in study of these two variables. Appropriate value of the clearance angle, layer thickness, and skinning speed have been selected to study effect of the tool angle on the specific skinning force. At the end, effect of the inclination angle has been investigated with selected values of layer thickness, skinning speed, clearance angle, and tool angle. Three repetitions of the same condition have been carried out, in which the same condition has been performed for different specimens (the same condition has been repeated three times for the same specimen for the preliminary experiments) to consider the variations between the specimens to represent the skinning correctly.

The skinning is the process of the removal of a continuous rigid layer by splitting from the specimen. The skinning mechanism, split-type layer formation, is similar to that of wood cutting. Skinning starts with the formation of initial crack by the skinning tool. The crack propagates as the tool moves to accomplish skinning of the whole specimen. The crack length varies within the specimen, indicating the variation of the material strength within the specimen. The crack length increases by the increasing the layer thickness. The crack length during the skinning of palm midribs is longer than that during the skinning of wood due to

the rigidity of the produced continuous layer. Defects present in the specimen decrease the quality of the produced surface or cause local failure of the skin. Failure of the skinning occurs due to bending of the skinned fiber bundles. The produced surface, is damaged, and layer thickness is increased. Failure occurs at large layer thickness, i.e. more than 2 mm, and large inclination angle, i.e. more than 20°, hence these conditions are not suitable for skinning without adding a pressure roller.

Due to the low toughness of the non-treated medium carbon steel tool, it is not suitable for skinning due to the presence of an impact at the start of the process and the presence of hard contaminations in the specimen. ` steel tool is suitable for skinning. The orientation of the specimen in which the smaller cross section is at the start of the skinning, is more suitable than the other orientation. The skinning force is affected by the different skinning conditions, in addition to the width of cut. The increase of the layer thickness from 0.5 mm to 2 mm, increases the specific skinning force by a ratio, which depends on the skinning speed. The specific skinning force decreases by increasing the layer thickness from 1 mm to 2mm for the speeds 14.6 m/min and 15.6 m/min. The minimum suitable clearance angle for the tool in skinning, is 6°. The skinning speed has low effect on the specific skinning force. The tool angle 30° is the optimum tool angle which produced a satisfactory skinned surface. The inclination angle decreases the specific skinning force. The surface roughness depends on the specimen material more than any other factor. The failure type of the high carbon tool, during the skinning process, is the microfracture of the tool edge.

Table of Contents

List of Figures.....	xii
List of Tables	xx
Nomenclature.....	xxii
Symbols.....	xxii
Abbreviations	xxii
Introduction	1
1. Scientific background of the present research	2
1.1. Significance of the renewable materials from the perspective of the sustainable development	2
1.2. The necessity of using the agricultural residues as alternatives of the imported wood in Egypt.....	2
1.3. The palm residues as a type of the agricultural residues in Egypt and the Arab world.....	3
1.3.1. Agricultural residues in Egypt	3
1.3.2. The utilization of the date palm and its residues.....	3
1.3.3. The date palms of Egypt and their residues' economic benefits	5
1.4. State of the art of the applied researches and projects associated with the use of the palm midribs as substitutes for imported wood.....	7
1.4.1. Date palm midrib-core blockboard	7
1.4.2. Lumber-like product from DPLM	8
1.4.3. Composites using super strong material from DPLM	8
1.4.4. Particleboard, MDF and space trusses from DPLM	8
1.5. Skinning operation as an appropriate technology for conversion of the palm midribs to a wood substitute.....	9
1.5.1. Stripping of the date palm midrib	9
1.5.2. Skinning process and sustainable development.....	11
1.6. The date palm: general morphology, anatomy, and properties	12
1.6.1. Classification	12

1.6.2. Parts of the date palm.....	12
1.6.3. The plant cells and tissues.....	15
1.6.4. The different tissue systems	16
1.6.5. The dicotyledons and the monocotyledons	17
1.6.6. The anatomy of date palm leaves' midrib.....	21
1.6.7. Effect of the microstructure of DPLM on the physical and mechanical properties of the palm midrib.....	25
1.6.8. Effect of the anatomy of the palm midrib on its suitability for the skinning process	26
2. Survey of literature.....	27
2.1. Efficiency of utilization of palm midrib.....	27
2.2. The techniques of sawing and skinning of the palm midrib.....	28
2.2.1. Techniques involved in sawing of the palm midrib	28
2.2.2. Techniques involved in skinning of the palm midrib	29
2.3. Definitions of variables and parameters of the skinning of midrib and the cutting of wood.....	33
2.4. Cutting of wood and its relationship with skinning of palm midrib	34
2.5. Slicing of veneers	35
2.6. Mechanics of wood cutting	36
2.6.1. Other wood cutting mechanisms proposed by Franz and Atkins which require reviewing.....	37
2.6.2. The pre-splitting phenomenon	38
2.7. Dependent variables of the midrib skinning process and the wood cutting process	39
2.7.1. Skinning/cutting forces	40
2.7.2. Surface roughness	50
2.7.3. Tool failure.....	54
3. Experimental work.....	59
3.1. Problem definition.....	59
3.2. Research Methodology.....	59

3.3. The skinning process description	59
3.4. The dependent variables of skinning process.....	60
3.4.1. Skinning mechanism.....	60
3.4.2. Skinning force and specific skinning force.....	61
3.4.3. Surface roughness	61
3.4.4. Cutting tool failure	61
3.5. Selection of the independent factors affecting skinning force and surface roughness.....	61
3.5.1. Cutting tool	61
3.5.2. Workpiece	63
3.5.3. Process conditions.....	63
3.5.4. The number of independent factors	64
3.6. Measuring devices and instruments	65
3.6.1. Photographing of the skinning process	66
3.6.2. Skinning force measuring system	66
3.6.3. Surface roughness measuring device	70
3.6.4. Tool failure measuring device	71
3.6.5. Moisture content measuring device	71
3.6.6. Skinned layer thickness and distance measuring instruments	71
3.6.7. Angles measuring protractor.....	72
3.7. Calibration of the measuring devices	72
3.7.1. Calibration of the cutting force measuring system	72
3.7.2. Calibration of the surface roughness measuring device	75
3.7.3. Calibration of the protimeter.....	75
3.8. Design of the test rig machine.....	77
3.8.1. Design constraints.....	77
3.8.2. Design of parts and subassemblies of the test rig machine.....	80
3.8.3. Stroke limit switches.....	87
3.9. Execution and assembly of the test rig machine	88

3.9.1. Assembly of the test rig machine, the motor and its gearbox, the limit switches, and the electrical switches	88
3.9.2. Tool fixation subassembly	91
3.9.3. Midrib fixation subassembly.....	93
3.9.4. The power transmission and the frame	96
3.9.5. Parts which required special or additional preparations	96
3.9.6. Assembly difficulties and design modifications	97
3.10. Preparations and operation of the test rig	98
3.10.1 The first-time preparations.....	98
3.10.2. Control of the skinning conditions.....	100
3.10.3. Procedure of carrying out an experiment of known conditions .	102
3.11. Calibration of the inverter	104
3.12. Coding of the experiments	106
3.13. The preliminary experiments.....	106
4. Results and discussion	109
4.1. Results of the preliminary experiments.....	109
4.1.1. The skinning mechanism	109
4.1.2. Results of the preliminary experiments: suitability of the medium carbon steel tool material for skinning, and effect of the specimen orientation on the skinning process.....	121
4.1.3. The skinning force curve.....	123
4.1.4. The specific skinning force	127
4.1.5. The average specific skinning force.....	135
4.2. Results of the main experiments: skinning force and specific skinning force	136
4.2.1. The skinning mechanism and the skinning failure.....	136
4.2.2. The skinning force curve and the specific skinning force	136
4.2.3. Redesigning of the experiments.....	137

4.2.4. Effect of layer thickness and skinning speed on the skinning mechanism and the specific skinning force for $\alpha=16^\circ$, $\theta=36^\circ$, and $\lambda=0^\circ$ tool angles	137
4.2.5. Effect of clearance angle, layer thickness, and skinning speed on the specific skinning force for $\theta=32^\circ$ and $\lambda=0^\circ$ tool angles.....	144
4.2.6. Effect of tool angle on the specific skinning force for 0.5-mm and 1-mm layer thickness, 6° clearance angle, 0° inclination angle, and 12.8 m/min skinning speed	150
4.2.7. Effect of the inclination angle on the specific skinning force for 0.5-mm and 1-mm layer thicknesses, 26° tool angle, 6° clearance angle, and 12.8 m/min skinning speed	151
4.3. Results of the main experiments: Surface roughness.....	152
4.4. Results of the main experiments: Tool failure	154
Conclusions	154
Recommendations for future work	156
References	157
Appendices	161
A1. Specifications of load cell: SEWHA SS300 (S Beam load cell) .	161
A2. Specifications of readout unit: SEWHA SI4000.....	162
A3. Specifications of data acquisition device: NI USB-6008.....	163
A4. Specifications of the portable surface roughness tester TR200	164
A5. Specification of the AC 3ph-induction motor: SITI FC90LXX-4.	165
A6. Specifications of the gearbox: MNHL 25/2	166
A7. Specifications of LS SV-iC5 inverter.....	167
A8. Assembly drawings for the test rig and subassemblies	169
A9. Working drawings of the parts of the test rig.....	176
A10. Results of the experiments: specific skinning force-stroke time curves	

List of Figures

- Fig. 1.1. The date palm [Al-Qassim, KSA]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.2. The Traditional products from the date palm residues [9]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.3. Distribution of the productive palms in the governorates of Egypt in 2012/2013 [10]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.4. The constituents of the blockboard [15]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.5. The palm midrib-core blockboard. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.6. The palm midrib block used a substitute of solid wood. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.7. Typical cross section of the date palm midrib, the white rectangle represents the strip which can be extracted from the midrib. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.8. Schematic drawing for the stripping process of the midrib by sawing. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.9. Schematic drawing for the stripping process of the midrib by skinning. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.10. Schematic diagram of the date palm [8]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.11. Parts of the date palm leaf [17]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.12. Illustrative diagram showing the three tissue systems in the vascular plant [16]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.13. Cross section of the dicot stem with vascular bundles forming a ring around the pith [16]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.14. Cross section of the monocot stem with scattered vascular bundles embedded in the ground tissue [16]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.15. The primary and the secondary growth in a two-year old woody stem [16]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.16. The cross section of a three-year -old Tilia (linden) stem (LM) [16]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.17. The different layers of a typical dicot stem [16]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Fig.1.18. Transverse section of the date palm midrib showing its general structure (vascular bundles embedded in ground parenchyma). **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.1.19. Transverse section of the date palm leaves' midrib showing the peripheral (1) and the transitional (2) zones of the midrib.**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.1.20. Photomicrograph of the peripheral and the transitional zones of the top zone along the date palm midrib showing the radially elongated cells of cortex [20]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.1.21. Photomicrograph of a complete vascular bundle showing its main constitutes. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.1.22. Diagrammatic illustration of the transverse section of the palm midrib showing the periphery (1), transition (2) and central (3) zones [20]......**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.1. Nomenclature of the different regions of the palm midrib.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.2. Schematic drawing of the duplex midrib sawing machine [22].....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.3. The simplex midrib sawing machine [22].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.4. Drawings of the collet-form tool [22]. .. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.5. Schematic drawing for the pull-type skinning machine [22]. 1: midrib base, 2: guiding pulley, 3: set of skinning tools, 4: vise, 5: longitudinal motion sleeves, 6: longitudinal guide, 7: Pulling chain, 8: geared motor..... 30

Fig.2.6. Schematic drawing of the push-type skinning machine [22].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.7. Schematic drawing of the lever-type skinning machine [22]......**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.8. The cutting tools assembly used in the lever-type skinning machine [22]. 32

Fig.2.9. The universal skinning machine [22].... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.10. Schematic drawing for the skinning/cutting process of the upper layer of a midrib/wood specimen illustrating the skinning/cutting variables and parameters..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.11. Schematic drawing of the peeling (rotary cutting) process [23]...**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.12. Schematic drawing of the slicing process [23].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.13. Split-type layer formation of the wood cutting [24].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.14. Sample of the cutting force-travelled distance curve for the split-type layer formation in wood cutting process [24]..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.15. Csanády and Magoss' wood cutting model [27].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.16. Franz's analysis for the wood cutting process [24].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.17. Williams analysis for the wood cutting process [25].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.18. Illustration of the angle between the cutting speed direction and the grain direction. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.19. Effect of the clearance angle on the required power for the planing process of *Pseudotsuga taxifolia* heart wood [32].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.20. Effect of the tool angle on the cutting force (the factor $\tan(\theta)/f(\mu, \theta)$ is the main factor representing the cutting force) [27].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.21. Effect of the tool angle on the skinning force during the skinning of Siwi circular strip by El-Mously [22]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.22. Effect of the tool angle on the skinning force during the skinning of Siwi circular strip by A. S. A. Mohamed and El-Mously [38].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.23. Cutting directions of wood **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.24. Schematic drawing for the test rig used by Porankiewicz et al. [29]. 1: cutting tool, 2: workpiece, 3: 3D piezoelectric load cells, 4: workpiece holder, 5: 0.5m rotating arm, 6: motor, 7: transmission belt, 8: balance weight, 9: servomotor, 10: feed screw mechanism, 11: tool support.**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.25. Effect of the wedge angle (knife bevel) on the surface roughness of sliced veneer [40]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.26. Effect of the veneer thickness on the surface roughness of sliced veneer [40]. 52

Fig.2.27. Effect of the cutting speed on the surface roughness of sliced veneer [40]. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.28. Illustration of the arithmetic mean surface roughness (R_a) [27]. .**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.29. Effect of the tool material on the clearance face wear of the routing bits [46]. Left wear-time curve is for the high speed steel tool material, while the right one is for the carbide tool material. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.30. Effect of workpiece material on tool wear [49].**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Fig.2.31. Tool wear measurement. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**