

# **The Role of Multidetector CT in the Diagnosis and Staging of Blunt Abdomino-Pelvic Trauma in Initially Stable Patients**

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of MD  
in Radio-Diagnosis

By

**Eman Abdel Razek Mohamed**

M.B.B.Ch – Ain Shams University  
MSc. Radio-Diagnosis- Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Hanan Mohamed Hanfy**

Professor of Radio-Diagnosis  
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

**Dr. Enas Ahmed Azab**

Assistant Professor of Radio-Diagnosis  
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

**Dr. Noha Mohamed Osman**

Lecturer of Radio-Diagnosis  
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University  
2014**

## Acknowledgement

First and foremost, thanks to **ALLAH** the most merciful for granting me the ability to accomplish this work.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Hanan Mohamed Hanfy**, professor of radiodiagnosis, Ain-shams University for her patience, constructive advices and valuable support.

My deep thanks go to **Dr. Enas Ahmed Azab**, Assistant professor of Radiodiagnosis Ain-shams University for her sincere guidance and continuous cooperation.

I also deeply thank **Dr. Noha Mohamed Osman**, Lecturer of Radio-diagnosis Ain shams University for her encouragement and meticulous supervision to help bring this work to reality.

At last, but definitely not least, I would like to thank my mother and husband for their support and encouragement. No dedication can match theirs.

# Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations .....	I
List of Tables .....	II
List of Figures .....	III
<b>Introduction and Aim of Work .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Review of Literature .....</b>	<b>.....</b>
- Anatomy .....	5
* A- Gross anatomy of the abdomen and pelvis.....	5
* B- CT anatomy of the abdomen and pelvis.....	17
- Technical aspects of MDCT .....	26
- Stating and grading of abdominal and pelvic injuries.....	30
<b>Patients and Methods .....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Results.....</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Illustrative Cases.....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Summary and Conclusion .....</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>References.....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Arabic Summary.....</b>	<b>—</b>

## List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Meaning
<b>3D</b>	Three Dimensional
<b>AAST</b>	The American association for the surgery of trauma
<b>BDR</b>	Blunt diaphragmatic rupture
<b>CT</b>	Computed tomography
<b>D.R</b>	Diaphragmatic rupture
<b>FAT Sat</b>	Fat suppression
<b>FFH</b>	Fall from height
<b>FIESTA</b>	Fast imaging employing steady state acquisition
<b>GRE</b>	Gradient echo sequence
<b>IVC</b>	Inferior vena cava
<b>L.S</b>	Lumbar spine
<b>MDCT</b>	Multidetector computed tomography
<b>MIP</b>	Maximum intensity projection
<b>MPD</b>	Main pancreatic duct
<b>MPR</b>	Multiplanar reformat
<b>MRCP</b>	Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography
<b>MRI</b>	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
<b>RTA</b>	Road traffic accident
<b>US</b>	Ultrasonographic scans

## **List of Tables**

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>1</b>	Classification, description, and appellations of CT signs of diaphragmatic rupture	31
<b>2</b>	Liver injuries classification according to American association for the surgery of trauma (AAST)	35
<b>3</b>	Splenic injury according to American association for the surgery of trauma (AAST)	39
<b>4</b>	Staging of the pancreatic injuries according to America association for the Surgery of trauma (AAST)	42
<b>5</b>	Renal injury according to American association for the surgery of trauma (AAST)	45
<b>6</b>	Classification of urinary bladder injuries by the societe international D urologie	47
<b>7</b>	CT findings in bowel injury	53

## List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
1	Branches of abdominal aorta	14
2	Hepatic segmentation	18
3	CT scan: level of body of pancreas	19
4	Fascial planes and spaces of the retroperitoneal spaces	20
5	CT Scan: level of gastro-oesophageal junction (T10)	22
6	CT scan: level of pancreatic head	23
7	CT scans of pelvis: axial section through male bladder	24
8	CT scan through L4 vertebral body	25
9	Discontinuity of diaphragmatic profile	32
10	Diaphragmatic trauma Collar sign	32
11	Hump sign and band sign	33
12	Diaphragm thickening	33
13	Grade I liver injury	36
14	Grade II liver injury	36
15	Grade III hepatic injury	36
16	Grade IV liver injury in a 23 year-old man	36
17	Grade V hepatic injury	37
18	Hepatic venous injury	37
19	Grade I spleen injury	40
20	Grade II splenic injury	40

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>21</b>	Grade III splenic injury	40
<b>22</b>	Grade IV splenic injury	40
<b>23</b>	Grade V splenic injury	41
<b>24</b>	Grade I pancreatic injury	43
<b>25</b>	Grade II pancreatic injury	43
<b>26</b>	Grade III pancreatic injury	44
<b>27</b>	Grade IV pancreatic injury	44
<b>28</b>	Grade I renal injury	46
<b>29</b>	Grade II renal injury	46
<b>30</b>	Grade III renal injury	46
<b>31</b>	Grade 4 renal injury with segmental renal inj	46
<b>32</b>	Grade 4 injury with urine extravastion	46
<b>33</b>	Grade 5 renal injury, shattered kidney	46
<b>34</b>	Intraperitoneal urinary bladder rupture	48
<b>35</b>	Extraperitoneal urinary bladder rupture	48
<b>36</b>	Traumatic adrenal haemorrhage	50
<b>37</b>	Traumatic infrarenal aortic injury	52
<b>38</b>	IVC injuries	52
<b>39</b>	Perforation of the dudeodenal loop	54
<b>40</b>	Proximal ileum perforation and mesenteric hematoma	54
<b>41</b>	A 10 cm-long jujenal laceration	54
<b>42</b>	Vertebral anterior wedging compression fracture	56
<b>43</b>	Unstable lumber fracture	57

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>44</b>	3 D MIP reconstruction from the pelvis	58
<b>45</b>	CT of the pelvis show right iliac crescent fracture	58
<b>46</b>	CT demonstrates transverse fracture of left superior pubic ramus	59
<b>47</b>	Computed tomography (CT) scan of T-shaped acetabular fracture	59
<b>48</b>	Posterior projection from a 3D volume rendered sacrum	60
<b>49</b>	CT scan left sided Denis 2 sacral fracture	60



## **Introduction**

Blunt abdominal trauma is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality among all age groups. It is one of the most challenging conditions emergency department physicians encounter because of varied presentations. An abdominal blunt injury which does not seem serious on initial presentation and on clinical examination can actually be a serious intra-abdominal organ injury (**Bodhit et al., 2011**).

The care of the trauma patient is demanding and requires speed and efficiency. Evaluating patients who have sustained blunt abdominal trauma (BAT) remains one of the most challenging and resource-intensive aspects of acute trauma care.

Blunt abdominal trauma usually results from motor vehicle collisions, recreational accidents, or falls. Men tend to be affected slightly more often than women. The most commonly injured organs are the spleen, liver, retroperitoneum, small bowel, kidneys, bladder, colorectum, diaphragm, and pancreas (**Udeani et al., 2011**).

The management of blunt abdominal injury has changed considerably, diagnostic peritoneal lavage (DPL) first described in 1965, resulted in a decrease in mortality and morbidity, following abdominal trauma the procedure of choice for the quick diagnosis of a hemoperitoneum. A focused assessment with sonography (FAST) examination has replaced the use of

diagnostic peritoneal lavage, because the latter is an invasive procedure and provides no information about which organ is injured, resulting in a high rate of negative or non-therapeutic laparotomies (**Van Der Vlies et al., 2011**).

As early as 1971, the utility of ultrasound scanning was documented. The American College of Radiology also recommends the use of ultrasonography and specifically, the FAST scan to evaluate for free or localized intra-abdominal fluid collections (**Hwang et al., 2011**).

Despite its efficacy and non-invasive character, FAST has several important disadvantages. First, FAST does not accurately detect the extent (grade) or the exact site of the organ injury. Second, its sensitivity for direct demonstration of blunt abdominal injury is relatively low (between 34% and 55%), since the presence of free fluid in sufficient quantity indirectly indicates intraperitoneal injury. Other limitations of FAST include operator dependence, limited retroperitoneal accuracy, and poor scanning results in obese patients or patients with overlying wounds (**Van Der Vlies et al., 2011**).

Computed tomography (CT) is currently a widely available imaging technique in clinical practice. Technical developments of CT imaging, especially the emergence of multislice CT, with increased scanning speed and volume as well as higher spatial and temporal resolution, CT has become an important diagnostic imaging modality in the emergency

department, with high diagnostic accuracy and efficacy in trauma patient (**Sun and Vijayanathan, 2010**).

Currently, multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) scanning with intravenous contrast is the gold standard diagnostic modality in hemodynamically stable patients with intra-abdominal fluid detected with FAST. MDCT scanning with intravenous contrast has numerous advantages. First, the detection of injuries related to the liver, spleen and kidney can be reliably determined, with a sensitivity of 90-100%. Second, active bleeding (a contrast blush), can be diagnosed, the MDCT scan plays a decisive part in the order of treatment if more than one injury is present (**Van Der Vlies et al., 2011**).

MDCT readily detects direct and indirect features of bowel and/or mesenteric injury an important advance given that unrecognized bowel and mesenteric injuries may result in high morbidity and mortality (**Jinxing et al., 2010**).

Multidetector CT offers significantly faster scanning times and improved image resolution due to thinner collimation and reduced partial volume and motion artifacts (**Daly et al., 2008**).

The ability of CT to perform and produce fast-processing images, such as multiplanar reconstruction (MPR), is important for accurate interpretation of abnormalities (**Hassan et al., 2010**).

## **Aim of Work**

To emphasize the role of MDCT in diagnosis and staging of blunt abdominal trauma patient, affecting the accurate assessment in the critical early period.

## **A- Gross Anatomy of the Abdomen and Pelvis**

### **THE DIAPHRAGM**

The diaphragm forms the highly convex floor of the thoracic cage. It arises from vertebral, costal and sternal origins and from the central tendon. The right crus is attached to the bodies and discs of L1-L3 vertebra. The smaller left crus arises from the vertebral body and disc of L1 and L2 vertebra (**Ryan et al., 2007**).

There are three major openings through which major structures pass from the thorax into the abdomen: the caval opening for the inferior vena cava, most anterior, at the T8 vertebral level to the right of the midline; the esophageal hiatus, intermediate, at T10 level and to the left; and the aortic hiatus, which allows the aorta to pass posterior to the vertebral attachment of the diaphragm in the midline at T12 (**Agur et al., 2009**).

#### **Vascular supply:**

The diaphragm is supplied from its abdominal surface by the inferior phrenic arteries from the abdominal aorta. The costal margins are supplied by the intercostal arteries (**Ryan et al., 2007**).

## THE LIVER

The superior surface of the liver is dome-shaped, follows the contours of the diaphragm and may extend anteriorly as far as the inferior edge of the liver. Its major landmark is the sagittal groove, a notch for the ligamentum teres (formerly the umbilical vein), which lies in the free edge of the falciform ligament. The porta hepatis, the main feature of the inferior or visceral surface, is a central depression for the passage of the portal vein, hepatic artery and common bile duct (**Grainger et al., 2008**).

Anterior to this is the gallbladder fossa with the quadrate surface to its left. Posteriorly the caudate lobe separates the porta from the inferior vena cava (IVC). Several shallow impressions relate to the shape of adjacent organs, the most significant being that caused by the right kidney (**Grainger et al., 2008**).

### **Vascular supply:**

The liver has a unique dual blood supply, with approximately 75% originating from the portal venous system and 25% coming from the hepatic artery. The hepatic veins drain filtered blood from the liver into the inferior vena cava (IVC) (**Grainger et al., 2008**).

The caudate lobe or segment I is considered autonomous, due to its blood supply. It receives vessels from both left and

right branches of the portal vein and hepatic artery and it has an independent venous drainage directly into the IVC (**Grainger et al., 2008**).

## **THE SPLEEN**

The spleen lies superficially in the left upper abdominal quadrant between the 9th and 11th ribs. Note the impressions (colic, renal and gastric areas) made by structures in contact with its visceral surface, the superior border is notched (**Agur et al., 2009**).

The spleen is the size of a fist, measuring up to 12 cm Long, 7 cm wide and 3-4 cm thick. Its long axis is in the line of the tenth rib and its lower pole does not usually extend beyond the mid axillary line (**Ryan et al., 2007**).

### **Vascular supply:**

The splenic artery arises from the coeliac trunk. The splenic vein receives the inferior mesenteric vein and joins with the superior mesenteric vein to form the portal vein (**Ryan et al., 2007**).

## **THE PANCREAS**

The pancreas is situated on the posterior abdominal wall at approximately L1 level. It is described as having a head, neck, body and tail. It is retroperitoneal with the exception of the tail, which lies in the splenorenal ligament. It is over 15 cm long and lies transversely and slightly obliquely, with the tail