



NONLINEAR ANALYSIS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE DEEP BEAMS

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shames University for the Fulfillment
of the Requirement of M.Sc. Degree
In Civil Engineering

Prepared by

ENG. MOHAMED SAID ABDELHAFEZ MOHAMED GADO

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, June 2009

Demonstrator, Higher Institute of Engineering, El Shorouk Academy

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. OMAR ALI MOUSA ELNAWAWI,

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, EGYPT

PROF. DR. OSMAN MOHAMMED OSMAN RAMADAN,

Professor of Structural Analysis, Structural Engineering Dept.,

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Cairo, EGYPT

Dr. YASSER RAGAE ZAGHLOUL

Structural Engineering Dept., The Higher Institute of Engineering,
EL shrouk City, Cairo, EGYPT

2017



NONLINEAR ANALYSIS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE DEEP BEAMS

A Thesis For
The MSc. Degree in Civil Engineering
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

By
ENG. MOHAMMED SAID ABDELHAFEZ
MOHAMMED GADO

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, June 2009
Higher Institute of Engineering – El Shorouk Academy

THESIS APPROVAL

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Farahat

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan Ghallab

Prof. Dr. Omar Ali Mousa El-Nawawi

Prof. Dr. Osman Mohammed Osman Ramadan

SIGNATURE

Date: 4/1/2017

DEDICATION

I wish to dedicate this work to who suffered to educate, support
and encourage me during the thesis work

**TO MY PARENTS,
MY WIFE, MY SISTER, AND MY
BROTHERS**

Also, I wish to dedicate my thesis to my Professors

**PROF. DR. OSMAN MOHAMMED RAMADAN
PROF. DR. OMAR ALI MOUSA ELNAWAWI**

For the encouragement and support to complete this work.

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering for the degree of M.Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, from July 2012 to December 2017.

No part of the thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is his own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

Date: 4/1 /2017

Signature: -----

Name: *Mohammed Said Abd El Hafez Mohammed Gado*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

*The candidate is deeply grateful to **Prof. Dr. Osman Mohammed Osman Ramadan**, Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, for help, encourage, co-operation sponsoring and patient advising during preparation of this work.*

*Also, great thanks to **Prof. Dr. Omar Ali Mousa Elnawawy**, Professor of reinforced concrete structures, Ain Shams University, for his help, and co-operation during the preparation of the study.*

*Also, great thanks to **Dr. Yasser Ragae Zaghoul** Lecturer, Structural Engineering Dept., The Higher Institute of Engineering, EL shrouk City, for his help, and co-operation during the preparation of the study.*



Ain Shams University
Structural Engineering Department

Abstract of the M.S.C. Thesis Submitted by:

Eng: Mohammed Said AbdelHafez Mohammed Gado

Title of thesis

Nonlinear Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Deep Beams

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Osman Mohammed osman Ramadan,

Prof. Dr. Omar Ali Mousa EL-Nawawy,

Dr. Yasser Ragae Zaghoul

Investigation of fortified deep beams is a subject of an impressive enthusiasm for basic building. A deep beam is a shaft having a profundity that is similar to the traverse length. Deep beams regularly show up as move supports in elevated structures and also heap tops, establishment dividers, water tanks, canisters, folded plate rooftop structures, floor stomachs, shear dividers and sections or corbels. Never the less, most codes of practice do not give sufficient attention to the design of deep beams. This thesis provides a preview for previous studies in this field. Also, results of their previous studies are compared to estimate based on nonlinear finite element analysis using ANSYS. Many models for deep beams with different H/L ratios were made to reach a unified design approach for the deep beam. Finally, a comparison between the obtained results using the finite element analysis by (ANSYS) program and the proposed strut and tie model was made and a good agreement was obtained. The effect of shrinkage and temperature variation was studied.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
COVER	i
APPROVAL COMMITTEE	ii
DEDICATION	iii
STATEMENT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xxiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE	2
1.3 ORGANIZATION OF THESIS	2
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 INTRODUCTION	4
2.2 CRACKS AND FAILURE OF DEEP BEAM	5
2.2.1 Deep Beam Sectional Behavior	6
2.2.2 Shear failure in deep beams	7
2.2.3 Compression failure of deep beams	8
2.3 SHEAR STRENGTH OF DEEP BEAMS AS RECOMMENDED BY SOME BUILDING CODES	8
2.3.1 ACI PROVISIONS	8
2.3.2 EURO CODE 2-92 (13)	9
2.3.3 BS 8110-97 (7)	10
2.3.4 EGYPTIAN CODE (ECP) 203-2007 (11)	11
2.4 SHEAR STRENGTH OF HIGH- STRENGTH CONCRETE (HSC) SIMPLY SUPPORTED DEEP BEAM	13
2.5 SHEAR STRENGTH OF (HSC) SIMPLY SUPPORTED DEEP BEAMS WITH OPENINGS	23

CHAPTER 3: FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

3.1	INTRODUCTION	31
3.2	FINITE ELEMENT MODELING	31
3.3	ANSYS PROGRAM	33
3.3.1	Geometric nonlinearities	34
3.3.2	Material nonlinearities	35
3.4	TYPES OF ELEMENTS	36
3.4.1	Solid 65	36
3.4.2	Element link8	37
3.4.2.1	Assumptions and restrictions of element [link8]	37
3.4.2.2	Input data of element [link8]	38
3.4.2.3	Output data of element [link8]	38
3.4.3	Solid 45	38
3.4.4	Modeling of reinforcement	39

CHAPTER 4: VERIFICATION OF FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

4.1	GENERAL	40
4.3	VERIFICATION OF MODEL 1	42
4.3.1	Element Type	43
4.3.2	Real Constants	43
4.3.3	Material Properties	44
4.3.4	Modelling and meshing	45
4.3.5	Loading and Boundary Conditions	47
4.3.6	Nonlinear Solution	48
4.3	VERIFICATION OF MODEL 2	49

CHAPTER 5: PARAMETRIC STUDY AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

5.1	INTRODUCTION	51
5.2	FIXED-FIXED REINFORCED CONCRETE DEEP BEAMS	51
5.2.1	Dimensions of deep beams	51
5.2.2	Beam (1) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to Point Load	52
5.2.2.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	52
5.2.2.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	54
5.2.2.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	55

5.2.3	Beam (1) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to two Point Load	57
5.2.3.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	57
5.2.3.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	59
5.2.3.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	60
5.2.4	Beam (1) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to uniform Load	62
5.2.4.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	62
5.2.4.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	65
5.2.4.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	66
5.2.5	Beam (2) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to Point Load	68
5.2.5.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	68
5.2.5.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	70
5.2.5.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	71
5.2.6	Beam (2) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to two Point Load	73
5.2.6.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	73
5.2.6.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	75
5.2.6.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	76
5.2.7	Beam (2) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to uniform Load	78
5.2.7.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	78
5.2.7.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	81
5.2.7.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	82
5.2.8	Beam (3) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to Point Load	84
5.2.8.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	84
5.2.8.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	86
5.2.8.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	87
5.2.9	Beam (3) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to two Point Load	89
5.2.9.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	89
5.2.9.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	91
5.2.9.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	92

5.2.10	Beam (3) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to uniform Load	94
5.2.10.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	94
5.2.10.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	97
5.2.10.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of its Percentage compared by Code Limit	98
5.3	HINGED-HINGED REINFORCED CONCRETE DEEP BEAMS	101
5.3.1	Dimensions of deep beams	101
5.3.2	Beam (4) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to Point Load	101
5.3.2.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	101
5.3.2.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	104
5.3.2.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	105
5.3.3	Beam (4) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to two Point Load	107
5.3.3.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	107
5.3.3.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	109
5.3.3.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	110
5.3.4	Beam (4) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to uniform Load	112
5.3.4.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	112
5.3.4.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	115
5.3.4.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	116
5.3.5	Beam (5) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to Point Load	116
5.3.5.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	116
5.3.5.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	120
5.3.5.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	121
5.3.6	Beam (5) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to two Point Load	124
5.3.6.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	124
5.3.6.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	126
5.3.6.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	127
5.3.7	Beam (5) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to uniform Load	130

5.3.7.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	130
5.3.7.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	132
5.3.7.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	133
5.3.8	Beam (6) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to Point Load	136
5.3.8.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	136
5.3.8.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	138
5.3.8.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	139
5.3.9	Beam (6) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to two Point Load	142
5.3.9.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	142
5.3.9.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	144
5.3.9.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	145
5.3.10	Beam (6) at 100, 50, and 25% of Horizontal Reinforcement Subjected to uniform Load	148
5.3.10.1	Crack Pattern and Modes of Failure	148
5.3.10.2	Load – Mid Span Deflection Relationship	150
5.3.10.3	Stresses Variation in Horizontal Reinforcement According to Changes of it's Percentage compared by Code Limit	151
5.4	ANALYSIS OF DEEP BEAMS USING STRUT AND TIE METHOD	154
5.4.1	Fixed-Fixed Deep Beams	154
5.4.2	Hinged-Hinged Deep Beams	155
5.5	EFFECT OF SHRINKAGE AND TEMPERATURE VARIATION	156
5.5.1	Fixed-Fixed Deep Beams	156
5.5.2	Hinged-Hinged Deep Beams	157
5.6	Effect of Vertical Reinforcement Variation	160
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS		
6.1	Summary	162
6.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	164
6.3	FUTURE WORKS	165
REFERENCES		166

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
Fig. 2.1: Stress trajectories in B-regions and near discontinuities (D-regions)	6
Fig. 2.2: Typical crack pattern of deep beams	7
Fig. 2.3: Crack pattern for Deep beam	7
Fig. 2.4: Test specimen's elevation and cross section	15
Fig. 2.5: two specimens included mechanical anchorage	15
Fig. 2.6: Final crack patterns	16
Fig. 2.7: Two-span reinforced concrete deep beam	24
CHAPTER 3: FINITE ELEMENT MODEL	
Fig. 3.1: Large deflection small strain analysis	35
Fig. 3.2: Bilinear stress strain curve	35
Fig. 3.3: A Schematic of the Element for element Solid 65	36
Fig. 3.4: Input data of element LINK8	37
Fig. 3.5: Models of Reinforcement (a) discrete; (b) embedded; and (c) smeared.	39
CHAPTER 4: VERIFICATION OF FINITE ELEMENT MODEL	
Fig. 4.1: Two-span reinforced concrete deep beam	41
Fig. 4.2: Geometric Mesh of Concrete, Steel Loading Plates, and Steel Bearing plates.	46
Fig. 4.3: reinforcement configuration for model (1)	47
Fig. 4.4: model of boundary conditions and loading	48
Fig. 4.5: reinforcement configuration for model (2)	49
CHAPTER 5: PARAMETRIC STUDY AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	
Fig. 5.1: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to point load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	52
Fig. 5.2: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to point load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	52
Fig. 5.3: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to point load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	53
Fig. 5.4: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to point load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	53

Fig. 5.5: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to point load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	53
Fig. 5.6: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to point load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	54
Fig. 5.7: Load - Deflection Relationship for Beam (1) Subjected to Point load at 100%, 50%, and 25% of horizontal reinforcement	54
Fig. 5.8: Steel Stress Distribution in horizontal reinforcement at failure for beam (1) subjected to point load for various steel percentages	55
Fig. 5.9: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to two point loads at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	57
Fig. 5.10: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to two point loads at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	58
Fig. 5.11: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to two point loads at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	58
Fig. 5.12: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to two point loads at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	58
Fig. 5.13: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to two point loads at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	59
Fig. 5.14: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to two point loads at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	59
Fig. 5.15: Load - Deflection Relationship for Beam (1) Subjected to two Point loads at 100%, 50%, and 25% of horizontal reinforcement	60
Fig. 5.16: Steel Stress Distribution in horizontal reinforcement at failure for beam (1) subjected to two point loads for various steel percentages	62
Fig. 5.17: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to uniform load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	63
Fig. 5.18: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to uniform load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	63
Fig. 5.19: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to uniform load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	63
Fig. 5.20: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to uniform load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	64
Fig. 5.21: first crack for deep beam (1) subjected to uniform load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	64
Fig. 5.22: crack pattern for deep beam (1) subjected to uniform load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	64
Fig. 5.23: Load - Deflection Relationship for Beam (1) Subjected to	

uniform load at 100%, 50%, and 25% of horizontal reinforcement	65
Fig. 5.24: Steel Stress Distribution in horizontal reinforcement at failure for beam (1) subjected to uniform load for various steel percentages	66
Fig. 5.25: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to point load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	68
Fig. 5.26: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to point load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	68
Fig. 5.27: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to point load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	69
Fig. 5.28: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to point load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	69
Fig. 5.29: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to point load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	69
Fig. 5.30: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to point load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	70
Fig. 5.31: Load - Deflection Relationship for Beam (2) Subjected to Point load at 100%, 50%, and 25% of horizontal reinforcement	70
Fig. 5.32: Steel Stress Distribution in horizontal reinforcement at failure for beam (2) subjected to point load for various steel percentages	71
Fig. 5.33: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to two point loads at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	73
Fig. 5.34: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to two point loads at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	74
Fig. 5.35: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to two point loads at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	74
Fig. 5.36: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to two point loads at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	74
Fig. 5.37: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to two point loads at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	75
Fig. 5.38: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to two point loads at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	75
Fig. 5.39: Load - Deflection Relationship for Beam (2) Subjected to two Point loads at 100%, 50%, and 25% of horizontal reinforcement	76
Fig. 5.40: Steel Stress Distribution in horizontal reinforcement at failure for beam (2) subjected to two point loads for various steel percentages	78
Fig. 5.41: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to uniform load at	

100% of horizontal reinforcement	79
Fig. 5.42: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to uniform load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	79
Fig. 5.43: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to uniform load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	79
Fig. 5.44: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to uniform load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	80
Fig. 5.45: first crack for deep beam (2) subjected to uniform load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	80
Fig. 5.46: crack pattern for deep beam (2) subjected to uniform load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	80
Fig. 5.47: Load - Deflection Relationship for Beam (2) Subjected to uniform load at 100%, 50%, and 25% of horizontal reinforcement	81
Fig. 5.48: Steel Stress Distribution in horizontal reinforcement at failure for beam (2) subjected to uniform load for various steel percentages	82
Fig. 5.49: first crack for deep beam (3) subjected to point load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	84
Fig. 5.50: crack pattern for deep beam (3) subjected to point load at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	84
Fig. 5.51: first crack for deep beam (3) subjected to point load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	85
Fig. 5.52: crack pattern for deep beam (3) subjected to point load at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	85
Fig. 5.53: first crack for deep beam (3) subjected to point load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	85
Fig. 5.54: crack pattern for deep beam (3) subjected to point load at 25% of horizontal reinforcement	86
Fig. 5.55: Load - Deflection Relationship for Beam (3) Subjected to Point load at 100%, 50%, and 25% of horizontal reinforcement	86
Fig. 5.56: Steel Stress Distribution in horizontal reinforcement at failure for beam (3) subjected to point load for various steel percentages	87
Fig. 5.57: first crack for deep beam (3) subjected to two point loads at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	89
Fig. 5.58: crack pattern for deep beam (3) subjected to two point loads at 100% of horizontal reinforcement	89
Fig. 5.59: first crack for deep beam (3) subjected to two point loads at 50% of horizontal reinforcement	90
Fig. 5.60: crack pattern for deep beam (3) subjected to two point	