

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Department of Botany

IMPROVEMENT OF TRANSGENE EXPRESSION IN WHEAT

A Thesis
Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master
Degree of Science in Botany
(Genetics)

$\label{eq:by} \textbf{Heba Hamdy Sobhy Mahmoud Abouseada}$

B. Sc., Fac. Of Science (Botany)
Ain Shams University (2004)

Ain Shams University Faculty of Science Botany Department

ABSTRACT

Heba Hamdy Sobhy Mahmoud Abou Seada. Improvement of transgene expression in wheat. Unpublished Master of Science in Botany (Genetics), Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams Univ., 2010.

The major aim of this study was to improve the expression of the drought tolerance transgene HVA1 in wheat. The HVA1 transgene was transferred from the transgenic line 111 to two high yielding Egyptian cultivars, Giza 168 and Sakha 92, using crosses/backcrosses approach. Five backcrosses were done using the Egyptian cultivars as a recurrent parents, then the plants were self-pollinated to achieve the homozygoisty of the introduced gene. SSR and AFLP techniques were performed to insure the recovery of the genomic background of the recurrent parents. The BCF1 plants were subjected to drought stress at field. The analysis revealed that the improved genotypes statistical (G168x111 and Sk92x111) were insignificantly surpassed their parental cultivars. Accumulation of two transgene copy was as an approach to enhance the HVA1 transgene expression in wheat. The homozygous-one-copy transgenic lines (357, 344, 111, 84 and 1.6) were crossed with each other. The obtained genotypes are either one-copy or two-copy in hemizygous state. Drought experiment at field was conducted to compare between the different copies. Statistical analysis showed that the genotype 111x1.6 (two-copies, hemizygous) possessed the highest values in five traits out of seven. But this increase is non-significant compared to the transgenic line 111. As a general view, the *HVA1* transgene copy number is not positively or negatively correlated to its expression level. But the increase in *HVA1* expression, as reflected by plant tolerance to drought stress, is related to the nature and expression of the copy involved

Key words: *Triticum aestivum*, *HVA1*, AFLP, SSR, transgene copy number, backcross, recurrent parent, drought tolerance

Table of Contents

- I. INTRODUCTION ... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
- II. REVIEW OF LITERATUREERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

 - 2. Simple sequence repeat (SSR)Error! Bookmark not defined.
 - 3. Amplified fragment length polymorphismError! Bookmark not defined.
 - 4. Effect of copy number on transgene expressionError! Bookmark not def

III. MATERIALS AND METHODSERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED

- 1. Materials Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 2. Methods Error! Bookmark not defined.
 - 2.1. Crosses Error! Bookmark not defined.
 - 2.2. Spraying the plants with the herbicide bastaError! Bookmark not del
 - 2.3. DNA extraction and purification Error! Bookmark not defined.
 - 2.4. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis Error! Bookmark not defin
 - 2.5. Simple sequence repeats (SSR)Error! Bookmark not defined.
 - 2.6. Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP)Error! Bookmark
 - 2.7. Drought experiment Error! Bookmark not defined.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

1. Backross of the *HVA1* transgenic with the elite Egyptian cultivars **Error!**

Bookmark not defined.

- 1.1. Molecular analysis Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 1.2. Drought experiment Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 2. Effect of copy number on transgene expressionError! Bookmark not def 2.2. Field evaluation under drought stressError! Bookmark not defined.
- V. SUMMARY ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

VI. REFERENCES ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

LIST OF TABLES

Table (1): Transgenic events, copy number and zygosity status
Table (2): SSR-specific primer names, sequences and acquired annealing temperature49
Table (3): A list of AFLP primers and adapters sequence54
Table (4): Number of amplified fragments and specific marker of the studied genotypes based on AFLP analysis65
Table (5): Similarity index among the studied genotypes based on the AFLP combination E-ACA/M-CAA73
Table (6): Similarity index among the studied lines based on AFLP combination E-ACG/M-CTC data
Table (7): Similarity index among the studied species based on the AFLP combination E-AGG/M-CAT data7
Table (8): Similarity index among the studied lines based on combined data78

Table (9): Mean performance of some yield-related traits of genotype as affected by drought stress80
Table (10): Mean performances of some yield-related traits of independent <i>HVA1</i> transgenic lines and the crossed lines as affected by drought stress88

LIST OF FIGURES

•	PCR product of (A) full-length bar gene and (B) partial-length <i>HVA1</i> gene of the selfed BC562	
Figure (2):	The separation pattern of the SSR-PCR products on 3% agarose gel using the primers66	
• , ,	AFLP profiles of the 6 wheat genotypes as revealed by the primer combination E-ACA/M-CAA	
	AFLP profiles of the 6 wheat genotypes as revealed by the primer combination E-ACG/M-CTC	
_	AFLP profiles of the 6 wheat genotypes as revealed by the primer combination E-AGG/M-CAT	
stı	Dendogram indicating the relationships among the adied genotypes based on AFLP combination ICA/M-CAA data	
• ,	Dendogram indicating the relationships among the studied genotypes based on AFLP analysis based on the second AFLP combination (E-ACG/M-CTC) data	
• , ,	Dendogram indicating the relationships among the studied lines based on the AFLP third combination (E-AGG/M-CAT) data76	
Figure (9):	Dendogram indicating the relationships among the studied lines based on combined analysis77	

Figure (10): PCR product of (A) full-length bar gene and
(B) partial-length HVA1 gene of the independent
transgenic lines (375, 344, 111, 84 and 1.6)82
Figure (11): PCR product of (A) full-length bar gene (0.54
kb) and (B) partial-length HVA1 gene (0.75 kb)
of the crossed
line83

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I am indebted to ALLAH almighty forever, the most merciful and compassionate, for his support, help and generosity.

I like to express my deep gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Boheir fl-Baeed flkhodary**, Professor of Cytology and Genetics, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for her help, revising the manuscript, continuous guidance and for her keen supervision.

Special thanks and sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Bahieldin** head of the Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), ARC, for providing all facilities and supplies to carry out this work and for his constructive ideas to solve the problems that I faced in this study.

I would like also to express my deepest appreciation, grateful thanks and love to **Dr. Hala Fawzi fissa**, Senior scientist, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), ARC, for her unlimited help, continuous follow up, faithful guidance and too much patience throughout this work and finally numerously revising the manuscript.

Thanks from all my heart to **Dr. Raeefa A. Hassanin**, Prof. of Plant Physiology, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, my Spiritual mother for her motherly continuous advice, encouragement, understanding, love and help.

Thanks also to **Dr. Amira A. Hassanin**, Prof. of Plant Physiology and Head of Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for her faithful help.

Thanks also to all members of the Environmental Stress Lab. (ESL) at AGERI: Dr. Sama Meselhy, Dr. Ahmad Ramadan, Dr. Sameh, Omnia, famiaa mostafa, hanan, Abd fl-Rahman, Adel, Randa, famia, Rasha, Nelly and Dalia for their continous and generous help.

Sincerely, I express my deepest thanks and loyal appreciation to my colleagues **Dr.** Nour **Eldin Gmar** at the Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, National Research Center, **Hussein Fotouh**, Marwa Hanafy, Mohammed **Abd-Flsattar and Yasser** at AGERI that a convential word of acknowledgment cannot pay for their standing by my side as well as their great and valuable efforts, endless support, kind advice and faithful care throughout the hard times I faced in this work.

I feel deeply grateful and sincere to **Dr. Ahmed Shokry** for his keen advice, following up the stage of the laboratory work, and his precious help during the computing analysis, **Dr. Sherif Edrees**, Lecture at the Molecular Cytogenetics Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his help and advice in the molecular work.

Many thanks are also to all the members and colleagues of the botany department, science faculty, ain shams university especially **Dr. Seham M. Ali** Prof. of Plant Physiology,

Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, **Dr. Azza M. Baber** Prof. of Plant Physiology, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, **Dr.Alsafa Hassan**, Bahar Abrahim, **Dina Mohammed**, **Abd El-Aziz** and **Haitham Zalat** for their help and support.

Last but not least, from my whole heart I wish to express my deepest thanks to my great parents that offered me and still offering whatever I need throughout my life not only to complete this work starting from their prayers ending with too much effort that words can never describe, my husband Mohammed fl-Helaly for his unlimited love, patience and encouragement and all my family members, for their help, love and care.

Heba Hamdy

I. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L 2n=6x=42 chromosomes, AABBDD) is a member of the family Poaceae which includes major cereal crops of the world such as maize and rice. Wheat is the major food grain crop consumed by humans, and is the most widely grown crop in the world over an area of 240 million hectar (**FAO statistics, 2006**). Ninety-five percent of wheat grown is the hexaploid type, used for the preparation of bread and other baked products (**Patnaik and Khurana, 2001**).

Drought is an important environmental factor limiting the productivity of wheat and other crops world-wide. As irrigation water sources have become scarcer, engineering genes that protect and maintain the function and structure of cellular components can enhance tolerance to the stress. Genetically engineered wheat plants that constitutively over-express certain plant proteins, such late embryogenic abundant (LEA) proteins encoded by the HVA1 gene, have shown great progress, better vegetative growth and water use efficiency under water deficit (Sivamani al., **2000**). field conditions et Under experiments the HVA1 T4 transgenic plants showed tolerance to drought stress (Bahieldin et al., 2005)

associated with Many problems genetic are manipulation of elite wheat genotypes, such as poor regenerability and low transformation frequency. Many laboratories optimized the transformation protocol for good tissue culture laboratory which is usually poorly adapted and lower yielding genotype (Patnaik and Khurana, **2001**). Once the transgene integration and expression is confirmed in one genotype, crossing the elite genotypes is more efficient than transforming new genotypes. In conventional breeding programmes backcross method was used for transferring qualitative characters such as disease resistance. Using backcrossing approach (BC), expressed transgene could be moved from transgenic line (donor parent) to elite cultivar(s) (recurrent parent(s)) with recovery of almost 98% after the fifth backcross (BC5) (Library of crop technology).

In order to ensure the recovery of almost all the genomic background of the recurrent genotype, molecular-marker-based techniques could be used. Molecular markers were used by plant breeders to assess genetic diversity in wheat (**Stachel** *et al.*, **2000**). Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) are highly variable, showing co-dominant inheritance (**Morgante and Olivieri**, **1993**). For each microsatellite amplified with specific primers, a PCR

product for a defined molecular size is diagnostic for a given allele (Macaulay et al., 2001). SSR markers for wheat have become available in increasing numbers (Prasad et al., 2000). Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) method developed by Vos et al. (1995) is a highly polymorphic and reproducible system. Alleles are generally scored in dominant manner. AFLPs have been used for cultivar identification in sugar beet (De Riek et al., 2001).

Scientists working in a range of plant species have previously noted direct correlations between transgene copy numbers and transcript accumulation (Hobbs et al., 1993; Schubert et al., 2004; Stockhaus et al., 1987). There are contradictory reports about the relationship between copy number and expression level. Hobbs et al. (1993) concluded that increased copy numbers of the gus gene may either elevate or decrease the level of expression in tobacco transgenics, whereas Bhattacharyya et al. (1994) found a clear positive correlation in the same species. Conversely, the analysis of transgenic citrus plants revealed a significant negative effect of transgene multicopies on GUS activity levels (Cervera et al., 2000).