

# **Mini Gastric Bypass for Treatment of Morbid Obesity**

*An Essay*

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree  
in General Surgery*

*By*

**Hany Ahmed Reda El-Wakeel**  
*M.B.B.Ch.*

*Under Supervision of*

**Prof. Dr/ Mohamed Alaa El-Din Osman**  
*Professor of General Surgery*  
*Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Dr / Ali El-Anwar**  
*Professor of General Surgery*  
*Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

**Dr/ Mohamed Abd El-Moniem Marzouk**  
*Assistant Professor of General Surgery*  
*Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine**  
**Ain Shams University**  
**2016**

# Acknowledgement

First, and before all thanks to **ALLAH** that this work came to its end with his will and help.

Words can't adequately express the feelings of gratitude that I have for those who helped me to complete this work.

It is a great honor to express my sincerest feelings and my cordial appreciation to **Professor Dr. Mohamed Alaa El-Din Osman**, Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University, who suggested this topic for research and for his continuous guidance and alert enthusiasm in the fulfillment of this work.

I am greatly indebted and grateful to **Professor Dr. Ali El-Anwar**, Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University, for his great efforts, precious advice and endless support to complete this work.

I am greatly indebted and grateful to **Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Moniem Marzouk**, Assistant professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University, for his great efforts, precious advice and endless support to complete this work.

# List of Contents

<i>Items</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
➤ <b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
➤ <b>Aim of the Work</b>	<b>4</b>
➤ <b>Review of Literature</b>	
<b>Chapter (1): Morbid Obesity Definition and Complications</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Chapter (2): Types of Operations for Morbid Obesity</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Chapter (3): Roux-EN-Y Gastric Bypass</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Chapter (4): Mini Gastric Bypass</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Chapter (5): Outcome of MGB</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Chapter (6): Complications of Mini Gastric Bypass</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Chapter (7): Comparative Study between Roux-EN-Y and Mini Gastric Bypass</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>Chapter (8): Comparative study Between mini Gastric Bypass &amp; Sleeve Gastrectomy</b>	<b>167</b>
➤ <b>Summary</b>	<b>173</b>
➤ <b>References</b>	<b>177</b>
➤ <b>Arabic Summary</b>	<b>-</b>

# List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Comment</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<b>1)</b>	Adult Treatment Panel definition of the metabolic syndrome	<b>11</b>
<b>2)</b>	WHO definition of the metabolic syndrome	<b>12</b>
<b>3)</b>	Estimated increased risk for obese individuals of developing associated diseases	<b>15</b>
<b>4)</b>	Improvement in co-morbidities in patients after MGB	<b>79</b>
<b>5)</b>	Obesity-related co-morbidity before and after laparoscopic mini-gastric bypass	<b>80</b>
<b>6)</b>	Various sites of absorption of nutrients in the body	<b>101</b>
<b>7)</b>	Short and long terms complications after primary MGB	<b>109</b>
<b>8)</b>	Perioperative parameters, early, and late complications	<b>171</b>
<b>9)</b>	Revisions after MGB and LSG	<b>172</b>

# List of Figures

<i><b>Fig. No.</b></i>	<i><b>Comment</b></i>	<i><b>Page No.</b></i>
<b>1.</b>	The World Health Organization worldwide distribution of obesity	<b>8</b>
<b>2.</b>	Diagram showing components of the metabolic syndrome	<b>12</b>
<b>3.</b>	Adjustable Gastric Banding	<b>20</b>
<b>4.</b>	Laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding	<b>23</b>
<b>5.</b>	Sleeve Gastrectomy	<b>25</b>
<b>6.</b>	The VBG operation is now obsolete	<b>28</b>
<b>7.</b>	Biliopancreatic Bypass (Scopinaro)	<b>31</b>
<b>8.</b>	Jejunocolic bypass: Payne et al. connected the proximal 37.5 cm	<b>33</b>
<b>9.</b>	SADI-S. A sleeve gastrectomy is followed by a duodeno–ileal diversion at 200 cm from the ileocecal junction	<b>36</b>
<b>10.</b>	SASI bypass	<b>39</b>
<b>11.</b>	The ligament of Treitz is identified before measuring out the biliopancreatic limb	<b>45</b>
<b>12.</b>	Division of the jejunum	<b>46</b>
<b>13.</b>	The ultrasonic shears create small bowel enterotomies	<b>46</b>

<b>14.</b>	Upward traction on the shaft of the linear stapler ensures that the anastomosis is created of the bowel's antimesenteric border	<b>47</b>
<b>15.</b>	Retraction for the hepatogastric dissection	<b>48</b>
<b>16.</b>	The first bite of stomach is divided with the linear stapler	<b>48</b>
<b>17.</b>	The connection of the stapler with the tip of the anvil is done and only after the approximation of the gastric pouch to the jejunum	<b>50</b>
<b>18.</b>	The anvil in position after traversing the stomach the distal silk loop is cut free by the asassistant	<b>51</b>
<b>19.</b>	The circular stapler is prepared to fire	<b>52</b>
<b>20.</b>	Mini-Gastric bypass & progression of elements	<b>62</b>
<b>21.</b>	Mini-Gastric bypass	<b>63</b>
<b>22.</b>	The distal part of vertical gastric transection is facilitated by insertion of the lower jaw of the GIA stapler into the guidance Foley tube	<b>65</b>
<b>23.</b>	Schema of the port sites and the instruments used through each of them	<b>67</b>
<b>24.</b>	A steep angulation at the afferent limb was obtained with an anchoring suture adjacent to the anastomosis	<b>69</b>
<b>25.</b>	Percent of body weight loss after mini gastric bypass surgery in time intervals Percent excess weight loss after MGB	<b>75</b>
<b>26.</b>	Change of BMI after LRYGB and LMGB	<b>76</b>

<b>27.</b>	Weight loss (in percent) after LRYGB and LMGB	<b>76</b>
<b>28.</b>	Adequate stent positioning	<b>129</b>
<b>29.</b>	Reconnection of the gastric pouch to its gastrojejunostomy using a modified metallic stent	<b>130</b>
<b>30.</b>	View the staple line at the gastric pouch. Most of the gastrojejunostomy is open	<b>131</b>
<b>31.</b>	Cavity exploration, the jejunal alimentary limb of the gastrojejunostomy is accessed by the endoscope	<b>133</b>
<b>32.</b>	(A) Leak of the staple line at the gastrojejunostomy (B) Methylene blue leaking through gastrojejunal anastomosis during intraoperative	<b>139</b>
<b>33.</b>	Creation of the gastric pouch with 45-mm laparoscopic linear cutter (Ethicon Endosurgery) with Seamguard reinforcement	<b>142</b>
<b>34.</b>	Fibrin sealant on the anatomyotomy closure and staple line. Stay sutures between the omega loop and the gastric remnant	<b>142</b>
<b>35.</b>	Comparison of RYGB & MGB	<b>160</b>

# List of Abbreviation

<b>AGB</b>	Adjustable Gastric Banding
<b>AL</b>	Alimentary Limb
<b>BII</b>	Billroth II
<b>BMI</b>	Body Mass Index
<b>BPD</b>	Bilio-Pancreatic Diversion
<b>BPD-DS</b>	Bilio-Pancreatic Diversion with Duodenal Switch
<b>BPL</b>	Bilio-Pancreatic Limb
<b>CHD</b>	Coronary Heart Disease
<b>CVD</b>	Cardio-Vascular Disease
<b>DBP</b>	Diastolic Blood Pressure
<b>DS</b>	Duodenal Switch
<b>DVT</b>	Deep Venous Thrombosis
<b>EGDS</b>	Esophago-Gastro-Duodeno-Scopia
<b>EndoGIA</b>	Endoscopic Gastro-Intestinal Anastomosis
<b>e-PTFE</b>	expanded Polytetrafluoroethylene
<b>EWL</b>	Excess Weight Loss
<b>GERD</b>	Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease
<b>GERD-HRQL</b>	Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease-Health Related Quality of Life
<b>GIA</b>	Gastro-Intestinal Anastomosis
<b>GSRS</b>	Gastrointestinal Symptom Rating Scale
<b>HDL</b>	High-Density Lipoprotein
<b>LAGB</b>	Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Banding



<b>LASGB</b>	Laparoscopic Adjustable Silicone Gastric Banding
<b>LBPD-DS</b>	LaparoscopicBilio-Pancreatic Diversion with Duodenal Switch
<b>LDL</b>	Low-Density Lipoprotein
<b>LGB</b>	Laparoscopic Gastric Bypass
<b>LMGB</b>	Laparoscopic Mini-Gastric Bypass
<b>LRYGBP</b>	Laparoscopic Roux-En-Y Bypass
<b>LSG</b>	Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy
<b>LVBG</b>	Laparoscopic Vertical Band Gastroplasty
<b>M &amp; M</b>	Magenstrasse and Mill
<b>MGB</b>	Mini Gastric Bypass
<b>MO</b>	Morbid Obesity
<b>NHANES</b>	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
<b>PPI</b>	Proton Pump Inhibitor
<b>PTH</b>	Para-Thyroid Hormone
<b>RYGBP</b>	Roux-En-Y Bypass
<b>SBP</b>	Systolic Blood Pressure
<b>SD</b>	Standard Deviation
<b>SG</b>	Sleeve Gastrectomy
<b>T2DM</b>	Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
<b>TIBC</b>	Total Iron Binding Capacity
<b>UDCA</b>	Urso-Desox-Cholic Acid
<b>VBG</b>	Vertical Band Gastroplasty
<b>WHO</b>	World Health Organization

## INTRODUCTION

Morbid obesity referred to as “clinically severe obesity” or “extreme obesity” was defined as the criteria for bariatric surgery by the 1991 NIH Consensus Conference Statement on Gastrointestinal Surgery for Severe Obesity as a BMI  $\geq 40$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> or a BMI  $\geq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the presence of high risk co morbid conditions (*Buchwald, 2005*).

The body-mass index (BMI), which takes into account weight and height. This index is expressed in kilograms per square meter (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The term obesity applies when the BMI is greater than or equal to 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. A BMI between 25 and 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> is called overweight (*Angrisani et al., 2009*).

Waist circumference measurement is particularly useful in patients who are categorized as normal or overweight. It is not necessary to measure waist circumference in individuals with BMIs  $\geq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> since it adds little to the predictive power of the disease risk classification of BMI. Men who have waist circumferences greater than 40 inches, and women who have waist circumferences greater than 35 inches, are at higher risk of diabetes, dys-lipidemia, hypertension, and cardio-vascular disease because of excess abdominal fat (*Lemieux et al., 2008*).

Surgery for morbid obesity, termed bariatric surgery, falls into two general categories: 1) gastric-restrictive procedures that create a small gastric pouch, resulting in weight loss by producing early satiety and thus decreasing dietary intake; and 2) malabsorptive procedures, which produce weight loss due to malabsorption by altering the normal transit of ingested food through the intestinal tract. Some bariatric procedures may include both a restrictive and a malabsorptive component (*Santry et al., 2010*).

With advances in minimally invasive technology, laparoscopic Roux-en-Y bypass (LRYGBP) has been reported as a safe alternative to open RYGBP. However, it is a technically challenging procedure. The learning curve is very steep and associated with longer operating times and higher perioperative complication (*Lee et al., 2011*).

Laparoscopic mini-gastric bypass (LMGBP), first reported by Rutledge, was proposed as a simple and effective treatment of morbid obesity. However, controversies about the relative safety of this procedure remain, mainly the incidence of marginal ulcer, reflux esophagitis and biliary gastritis (*Fisher et al., 2011*).

The technique used for LMGBP was a 5-port technique similar to that described by Rutledge (*Rutledge, 2001*). A long gastric tube was created using an Endo GIA

stapler approximately 1.5 cm to the left of the lesser curvature from the antrum to the angle of His. A loop gastroenterostomy was created with the small bowel about 200 cm distal to the ligament of Trietz with an Endo-GIA stapler. The gastroenterostomy was then closed with continuous suture (*Lee et al., 2011*).

## **AIM OF THE WORK**

This work aims at evaluation of mini gastric bypass for treatment of morbid obesity as regards, weight loss & complications.

## **MORBID OBESITY DEFINITION AND COMPLICATIONS**

Obesity is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that may impair health. It is considered a chronic disease (*World Health Organisation, 2004*).

Morbid obesity (MO), defined as a Body Mass Index (BMI) above 40 (*Buchwald et al., 2005*).

Obesity is now considered to be the second leading cause of preventable death behind cigarette smoking (*Schauer et al., 2007*).

Body mass index (BMI) is a commonly used index for classifying adult individuals. BMI is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ ). The WHO defines overweight as a BMI equal to or more than 25 and obesity as a BMI equal to or more than 30 (*World Health Organisation, 2004*).

Obesity is further subdivided into classes where class 1 is a BMI of 30-34.9, class 2 a BMI of 35-39.9 and class 3 a BMI  $\geq 40$ . A BMI from 18.5 to 25 is desirable in adults. The classification system is based on data indicating that optimal BMI with respect to long-term survival is between 18.5 and

25 and that mortality risk increase at a BMI above 25 (*World Health Organisation, 2004*).

Other non-WHO used definitions are morbid obesity (BMI 40-50) and super-obesity (BMI>50). BMI is an approximate index since no consideration is taken to which body compartment the weight comes from (*World Health Organisation, 2004*).

It has been estimated that in 2005 at least 400 million adults were obese and the projection for 2015 is that 700 million will be obese worldwide (*World Health Organisation, 2004*).

Obesity has previously been thought of as a problem only in high-income countries; however, there is a dramatic increase seen in low and middle-income countries as well (*World Health Organisation, 2004*).

There is a marked increase in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. In this area of the world physical exercise is seldom done and along the same lines, dietary habits have also undergone a major change. Fat consumption has risen and fast food outlets are available more readily (*Zimmet et al., 2005*).

In Egypt 30.3% of the adult population are considered obese according to the latest (**Fig. 1**). The highest percentage