

### FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

**Public Works** 

# An Approach to Maximize Carpooling inside Organizations in Greater Cairo

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Public Works )

By: Amr Mohamed Mohamed Ali

Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Public Works )

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2016

Supervised By

**Prof.** Hatem Mohamed Abd El-Latif

Prof. Khaled Adel Ismail Elaraby

Dr. Alsayed Ahmed Mohamed Alsobky

Cairo - (2016)



#### **FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

**Public Works** 

# An Approach to Maximize Carpooling inside Organizations in Greater Cairo

By: Amr Mohamed Mohamed Ali

Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Public Works )

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2016

#### **Examiners' Committee**

| Name and Affiliation                 | Signature                               |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Prof. Mohamed Rashad Nasr ElMitiny   |   |
| Public Works, CairoUniversity        |   |
| Prof. Ali Zain ElAbedin Salem Heikal | • |
| Public Works, Ain Shams University   |   |
| Prof. Hatem Mohamed Abd El-Latif     | •••••                                   |
| Public Works, Ain Shams University   |   |
| Prof. Khaled Adel Ismail Elaraby     | •••••                                   |
| Public Works . Ain Shams University  |   |

Date:21 July 2016

#### Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfilment of Master of Science in Civil Engineering Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Amr Mohamed Mohamed Ali

Signature

Date: 21 July 2016

## **Researcher Data**

Name : Amr Mohamed Mohamed Ali

Date of birth : 28/06/1982

Place of birth : Cairo

Last academic degree : Bachelor of Science

Field of specialization : Public Works

University issued the degree : Faculty of Engineering - Benha University

Date of issued degree : 12/08/2006

Current job : Senior Engineer at CEEG-EGYPT

#### Thesis Summary

The traffic congestion is among the main problems facing the Greater Cairo Region. This problem leads to increase the travel time, fuel consumption, noise, and air pollution. One of the main reasons for the traffic congestions is the increasing numbers of private car usage and its low average occupancy. Car-pooling is considered an effective solution as it leads to decrease the private car usage and increase its average occupancy. The previous research mentioned that car-pooling implementation within the organizations gives more success comparing to on street carpooling, as the time schedules of the car-poolers are almost the same and the psychological barriers are minimized in addition to the high level of security for both the car owner and passengers.

The main objective of this research is to present an integrated approach to introduce the carpooling service within the organizations in the Greater Cairo Region. The case study of this thesis is the faculty of Engineering in Ain Shams University (FOE-ASU); where a stated-preference survey has been conducted among all commuters including the academic staff, teaching assistants, administrative staff and students. The objectives of this survey were to identify the factors affecting the carpooling tendency and the best accepted carpooling attributes from the point of view for both carpool passengers and car owners. The survey was also exploited to calibrating a binary discrete-choice logit model aiming at predicting the share of using car-pooling service.

On the other hand, a carpooling website has been developed to facilitate the connection among different carpool users. This website is recommended to be under the supervision of FOE-ASU administration.

The results of this research concluded that the carpooling implementation within FOE-ASU will attract about 48% of the commuters, and it will have significant impact on the number of private cars commuting to the faculty, which can have a positive impact on alleviating the traffic congestion in the surrounding streets.

A road map for deploying the carpool service was also introduced in the thesis. The research proposed also some recommendations and related future works based on the results.

## Acknowledgment

First and foremost, all thanks are due to Allah.

The author is grateful for the very capable assistance of Prof. Hatem Mohamed Abd El-Latif, Professor of Transportation Planning and Traffic Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, and for his kind supervision of the work and his patience. His valuable discussions have been fruitful and have greatly improved the thesis.

The author acknowledges to Prof. Khaled Adel Ismail Alaraby, Professor of Transportation Planning and Traffic Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his precious support, and guidance.

The author would like to thank Dr. Alsayed Ahmed Mohamed Alsobky, Lecturer of Transportation Planning and Traffic Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for help and supporting from the beginning of the work in this thesis.

Special thanks and gratitude goes to mother, wife and sons.

### Table of Contents

|  | PAGE |
|--|------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION                                | 1    |
| 1.1. PROBLEM DEFINITION                        | 2    |
| 1.1.1. Greater Cairo Region (GCR) Population   | 2    |
| 1.1.2. Greater Cairo Region (GCR) Traffic      | 3    |
| 1.2. OBJECTIVES                                | 3    |
| 1.3. METHODOLOGY                               | 4    |
| 1.4. THESIS OUTPUT                             | 4    |
| 1.5. STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS                   | 5    |
| 2. LITERATURE REVIEW                           | 7    |
| 2.1. INTRODUCTION                              | 7    |
| 2.2. CAR-POOLING DEFINITION                    | 7    |
| 2.3. CAR-POOLING EXPERIENCES IN SOME COUNTRIES | 8    |
| 2.3.1. Car-pooling Experience in USA           | 8    |
| 2.3.2. Car-pooling Experience in Europe        | 10   |
| 2.3.3. Car-pooling Experience in Egypt         | 12   |
| 2.4. STYLES OF CAR-POOLING SYSTEM              | 21   |
| 2.4.1. REAL-TIME CAR-POOLING                   | 21   |
| 2.4.2. Hitchhiking                             | 21   |
| 2.4.3. Slugging                                | 22   |
| 2.5. OTHER RELATED RESEARCHES                  | 23   |
| 2.5.1. Data Collection and Modeling            | 23   |
| 2.5.2. Matching Service                        | 26   |
| 2.5.3. Factors Affecting Car-pooling           | 28   |

|    | 2.5.4. Car-pooling Future                                       | 32 |
|----|---|----|
|    | 2.6. SUMMARY  | 33 |
| 3. | DATA COLLECTION   | 35 |
|    | 3.1. OBJECTIVES   | 35 |
|    | 3.2. SELECTION OF CASE STUDY ORGANIZATION                       | 35 |
|    | 3.3. DESIGN OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE FORM                           | 36 |
|    | 3.3.1. Socio-Economic Questions                                 | 36 |
|    | 3.3.2. Trip Attributes Questions for the Autos' Drivers         | 39 |
|    | 3.3.3. Trip Attributes Questions for the Public Transport Users | 39 |
|    | 3.3.4. The Proposed Car-pooling System Questions                | 40 |
|    | 3.3.5. Questions of the Car-pooling Participants                | 42 |
|    | 3.3.6. Questions for the Car-Pooling Passengers                 | 43 |
|    | 3.3.7. Questions for the Car-Pooling Car Owners                 | 44 |
|    | 3.4. TECHNIQUES OF DESIGN OF SURVEYS                            | 44 |
|    | 3.5. DATA COLLECTION METHODS                                    | 45 |
|    | 3.6. SAMPLE SIZE DETERMINATION                                  | 46 |
|    | 3.7. SPS CONDUCTION   | 47 |
|    | 3.7.1. Pilot Survey   | 47 |
|    | 3.7.2. Main Survey  | 50 |
|    | 3.8. DATA PREPARATION   | 50 |
| 4. | . ANALYSIS OF SPS DATA  | 51 |
|    | 4.1. INTRODUCTION   | 51 |
|    | 4.2. DATA VARIABLES   | 51 |
|    | 4.3. SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS                             | 52 |
|    | 4.4. CURRENT TRIP ATTRIBUTES                                    | 54 |

| 4.5. VARIABLES' RELATIONS WITH CAR-POOLING ATTRACTIVENESS 5 |         |
|---|---------|
| 4.6. REASONS FOR ACCEPTING/REJECTING THE CAR-POOLING        | SYSTEM  |
|   | 58      |
| 4.6.1. Reasons of the Car Owners                            | 58      |
| 4.6.2. Reasons of the Passengers                            | 59      |
| 4.7. ACCEPTED ATTRIBUTES FOR JOINING THE CAR-POOLING        | SERVICE |
|   | 61      |
| 4.7.1. Type of Accompanied Car-pooling Partners             | 61      |
| 4.7.2. Preferred Car-pooling Group Size                     | 62      |
| 4.7.3. Dropping Location                                    | 63      |
| 4.7.4. Willingness for round trip car-pool service          | 64      |
| 4.7.5. Accepted extra trip time due to car-pooling          | 65      |
| 4.7.6. Accepted Car-Pooling Fare                            | 66      |
| 4.8. CAR-POOLING IMPACT ASSESSMENT                          | 68      |
| 4.8.1. Assumptions  | 68      |
| 4.8.2. Impact Calculations                                  | 69      |
| 4.9. CONCLUSIONS  | 73      |
| 5. PROPOSED CAR-POOLING MODEL                               | 76      |
| 5.1. INTRODUCTION   | 76      |
| 5.2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND                                 | 76      |
| 5.2.1. Assumptions of Disaggregate Travel Demand Model      | 77      |
| 5.2.2. Advantages and Disadvantages of Disaggregate         | 77      |
| 5.2.3. Binary Choice Case                                   | 79      |
| 5.2.4. Model Evaluation and Testing                         | 80      |
| 5.3. DATA PREPARATION                                       |         |
| 5.4 THE MODEL VARIABLES                                     | 83      |

|    | 5.5. MODELING STAGES                                    | 85  |
|----|---|-----|
|    | 5.6. GENERAL MODELS                                     | 89  |
|    | 5.6.1. The General Passengers Model                     | 89  |
|    | 5.6.2. The General Drivers Model                        | 91  |
|    | 5.7. THE DETAILED MODELS                                | 92  |
|    | 5.7.1. The Model of Teaching assistants as Passengers   | 93  |
|    | 5.7.2. The Model of Teaching Assistants as Car Drivers  | 95  |
|    | 5.7.3. The Model of Students as Passengers              | 97  |
|    | 5.7.4. The Model of Students as Car Drivers             | 98  |
|    | 5.7.5. The Model of Administrative Staff as Passengers  | 100 |
|    | 5.7.6. The Model of Administrative Staff as Car Drivers | 102 |
|    | 5.8. MAXIMIZATION OF CAR-POOLING TENDENCY               | 103 |
| 6. | . ASSISTANT WEBSITE FOR CAR-POOLING                     | 104 |
|    | 6.1. INTRODUCTION                                       | 104 |
|    | 6.2. CAR-POOLING OPERATION PROCEDURES                   | 105 |
|    | 6.3. WEBSITE MAP  | 106 |
|    | 6.3.1. Homepage   | 106 |
|    | 6.3.2. What's Car-pooling                               | 108 |
|    | 6.3.3. Car-pooling History                              | 108 |
|    | 6.3.4. FAQ  | 109 |
|    | 6.3.5. General Regulations                              | 110 |
|    | 6.3.6. Register   | 111 |
|    | 6.3.7. Login  | 112 |
|    | 6.3.8. Edit Profile                                     | 113 |
|    | 6.3.9. Weekly Table                                     | 113 |

| 8. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS             |     |
|---|-----|
| 7.4.2. The Organizations Level                          | 136 |
| 7.4.1. The Government Role                              | 135 |
| 7.4. THE PROPOSED ROAD MAP                              | 135 |
| 7.3.3. Real-Time Car-pooling                            | 134 |
| 7.3.2. Hitchhiking                                      | 133 |
| 7.3.1. Slugging   | 133 |
| 7.3. APPLICABILITY OF CAR-POOLING SYSTEM'S TYPES IN GCR | 133 |
| 7.2.4. Contact Platform with Matching Service           | 132 |
| 7.2.3. Slugging Stations                                | 132 |
| 7.2.2. Incentives to car-pool                           | 130 |
| 7.2.1. Regulations                                      | 128 |
| 7.2. CAR-POOLING REQUIREMENTS                           | 126 |
| 7.1. INTRODUCTION                                       | 126 |
| 7. A ROAD MAP FOR CAR-POOLING PROVISION IN EGYPT        | 126 |
| 6.7. WEBSITE LIMITATIONS                                | 125 |
| 6.6. CAR-POOLING SYSTEM CRITERIA                        | 123 |
| 6.5. RATING OF MEMBERS                                  | 123 |
| 6.4. WEBSITE CONCEPTES AND METHODOLGY                   | 121 |
| 6.3.15. Requests History                                | 120 |
| 6.3.14. Car-pooling Groups                              | 118 |
| 6.3.13. Sent Requests                                   | 117 |
| 6.3.12. Received Requests                               | 116 |
| 6.3.11. Find Car  | 115 |
| 6.3.10. Car Owner Route                                 |     |

| 8.1. SUMMARY                         | 140 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 8.2. CONCLUSIONS                     | 142 |
| 8.3. RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE WORK | 145 |

## List of Figures

| D  | A | • | ٦. | 1 |
|----|---|---|----|---|
| r. | A | l | T. | r |

| Figure (2-1): Posters used during WWII to invite people to car-pool [1]             | 9  |
|---|----|
| Figure (2-2): Relations between car-pooling participation and fuel prices & DPI [1] | 10 |
| Figure (2-3): The homepage of "UBER" website  | 14 |
| Figure (2-4): The homepage of "CAREEM" website                                      | 14 |
| Figure (2-5): The homepage of "Egypt Car-poolers" website                           | 16 |
| Figure (2-6): The homepage of "Wadeeny" website                                     | 17 |
| Figure (2-7): Some screen shots for "Wadeeny" mobile Application                    | 17 |
| Figure (2-8): The home page of "NerkabSawa" website                                 | 18 |
| Figure (2-9): The age distribution of the participants on "NerkabSawa" website      | 19 |
| Figure (2-10): Some screen shots for "GUC-Car-pooling" mobile Application [40]      | 20 |
| Figure (2-11): Slug line of passengers waiting for rides                            | 23 |
| Figure (2-12): Some screen shots for registration form of PoliUniPool service [31]  | 27 |
| Figure (2-13): percentage of factors in choosing car-pooling partners [20]          | 29 |
| Figure (2-14): Travel mode share before and after registering with the project [51] | 32 |
| Figure (2-15): Travel mode replaced by car-pooling [51]                             | 32 |
| Figure (3-1): Survey Form Used in Data Collection Process                           | 38 |
| Figure (3-2): Car-pooling Cost for Car Owners and Passengers                        | 50 |
| Figure (4-1): Percentages Distribution of Car Ownership per Adult as Revealed in t  | he |
| Sample Size   | 54 |
| Figure (4-2): Percentage Distribution of Residents around FOE-ASU                   | 55 |
| Figure (4-3): Total modal share of all respondents                                  | 56 |
| Figure (4-4): Car-pooling attractiveness according to job                           | 57 |
| Figure (4-5): Disincentives to car-pool for the academic staff                      | 57 |
| Figure (4-6): Percentages of reasons of accepting car-pooling for car owners        | 58 |
| Figure (4-7): Percentages of reasons of rejecting car-pooling for car owners        | 59 |
| Figure (4-8): Percentages of reasons of accepting car-pooling for passengers        | 60 |
| Figure (4-9): Percentages of reasons of rejecting car-pooling for passengers        | 60 |
| Figure (4-10): Preferred partners in car-pooling trips                              | 62 |

| Figure (4-11): Preferred number of partners in car-pooling trips                | 63   |
|---|------|
| Figure (4-12): Proposed passengers' choices when dropping at destinations o     | r at |
| intermediate locations  | 64   |
| Figure (4-13): Proposed passengers' choices when riding for one-way/round trip  | 65   |
| Figure (4-14): Accepted extra time for car-pool driver and passengers           | 66   |
| Figure (4-15): Percentages of accepted maximum car-pooling fare per kilometer   | for  |
| passengers  | 67   |
| Figure (4-16): Percentages of accepted minimum car-pooling fare per kilometer   | for  |
| drivers   | 67   |
| Figure (4-17): Accepted car-pooling fare per kilometer for drivers & passengers | 68   |
| Figure (5-1): Divisions of The Dataset Used in Modeling                         | 85   |
| Figure (5-2): Detailed Model Categorization                                     | 93   |
| Figure (6-1): Car-pooling Website Homepage                                      | 107  |
| Figure (6-2): What's Car-pooling Webpage  | 108  |
| Figure (6-3): Car-pooling History Webpage                                       | 109  |
| Figure (6-4): Frequently Asked Questions Webpage                                | 110  |
| Figure (6-5): General Regulations Webpage                                       | 111  |
| Figure (6-6): Registration Form Webpage   | 112  |
| Figure (6-7): Login Webpage   | 112  |
| Figure (6-8): Profile Editing Webpage   | 113  |
| Figure (6-9): Weekly Table Webpage  | 114  |
| Figure (6-10): Car Owner Route Stations Webpage                                 | 115  |
| Figure (6-11): Private Car Finding Webpage                                      | 116  |
| Figure (6-12): Received Requests Webpage  | 117  |
| Figure (6-13): Sent Requests Webpage  | 117  |
| Figure (6-14): Car-pooling Groups Webpage                                       | 118  |
| Figure (6-15): Details of Car-pooling Groups Webpage                            | 119  |
| Figure (6-16): Car-pooling Partners Evaluation Webpage                          | 119  |
| Figure (6-17): Car-pooling Requests History Webpage                             | 120  |

## List of Tables

| PAGE  |
|---|
| Table (2-1): Presentation percentage in survey sample [25]                          |
| Table (3-1): T-test Results of Comparing Data from Face-To-Face & Web Survey48      |
| Table (4-1): The Inferred Variables in the Questionnaire                            |
| Table (4-2): Job Variable Statistics  |
| Table (4-3): Numbers of Males and Females Stratified by Job53                       |
| Table (4-4): Calculated number of users in each category of teaching assistants70   |
| Table (4-5): Calculated number of users in each category of students70              |
| Table (4-6): Calculated number of users in each category of administrative staff70  |
| Table (4-7): Comparison among the scenarios impacts for teaching assistants72       |
| Table (4-8): Comparison among the scenarios impacts for students72                  |
| Table (4-9): Comparison among the scenarios impacts for administrative staff72      |
| Table (4-10): Sum of the scenarios impacts for all commuters                        |
| Table (5-1): Scenarios/Cases of Attributes of Car-pooling System83                  |
| Table (5-2): Explanatory Variables Included in The Model                            |
| Table (5-3): Logit Models Results for All Variables                                 |
| Table (5-4): The Estimated Coefficients for The General Passengers Model90          |
| Table (5-5): The Estimated Coefficients for The General Drivers Model91             |
| Table (5-6): Number of Records Used in Modeling93                                   |
| Table (5-7): The Estimated Coefficients for Teaching Assistants-Passengers Model.94 |
| Table (5-8): The Estimated Coefficients for Teaching Assistants-Drivers Model96     |
| Table (5-9): The Estimated Coefficients for Students-Passengers Model97             |
| Table (5-10): The Estimated Coefficients for Students-Drivers Model99               |
| Table (5-11): The Estimated Coefficients for Administrative Staff-Passengers Model  |
|   |
| Table (5-12): The Estimated Coefficients for Administrative Staff-Drivers Model 102 |
| Table (7-1): Requirements of dynamic car-pooling                                    |
| Table (7-2): A comparison among the car-pooling types                               |