

Otorhinolaryngologic Manifestations in Charge Association

Thesis

**Submitted by
Hazem Sabry Abdel Aziz Abo Ghanima
(M.B.B.CH)**

In Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree of ENT

**Supervised By
PROF.DR. Hassan Ahmed Wahba, MD
Professor of Otorhinolaryngology
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

**PROF.DR. Lobna Mohammed El Fiky, MD
Professor of Otorhinolaryngology
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

**PROF.DR. Mostafa Ahmed Yousef
Lecturer of Audiology – Otorhinolaryngology Department
Faculty of Medicine
Sohag University**

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2016

أعراض الأذن والأنف والحنجرة لمتلازمة شارح

رسالة

رسالة تمهيدية مقدمة من:

الطبيب حازم صبرى عبد العزيز أبو غنيمة

بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة

توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير فى الأذن والأنف والحنجرة

تحت إشراف:

الأستاذ الدكتور/ حسن أحمد حسن وهبة

أستاذ الأذن والأنف والحنجرة

كلية الطب – جامعة عين شمس

الأستاذ الدكتور/ لبنى محمد سالم الفقى

أستاذ الأذن والأنف والحنجرة

كلية الطب – جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور/ مصطفى أحمد يوسف

مدرس السمعيات – قسم الأذن والأنف والحنجرة

كلية الطب – جامعة سوهاج

كلية الطب

جامعة عين شمس

Acknowledgement

First of all, thanks to **ALLAH** for helping me to carry out this work.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Prof. **Dr. Hassan Ahmed Wahba Professor of Otorhinolaryngology Ain Shams University** for his valuable instruction, generous support and guidance to help me to complete this work.

It is my pleasure to express my unlimited gratitude and deepest thanks to **Prof Dr. Lobna Mohammed elfiky Professor of Otorhinolaryngology Ain Shams University**, for her effort, continuous supervision and vast experience she offered me to complete this study.

I would like to express my thankfulness to **Dr. Mostafa Ahmed Yousef Lecturer of Audiology, Otorhinolaryngology department Sohag University** for his help and support throughout the entire period of the study.

Indeed no words of gratitude can equal my professor's help and support.

CONTENTS

SUBJECTS	Pages
Introduction	
Chapter I: General CHARAGE	
➤ Epidemiology	2
➤ Causes	2
➤ Pathophysiology	4
➤ Diagnosis	9
➤ Investigations	15
➤ Genetic counseling	17
➤ Antenatal diagnosis	18
➤ Treatment	20
➤ Outcomes	22
Chapter II : ENT manifestation in CHARGE syndrome	
➤ Choanal atresia	25
• Pathophysiology	25
• Presentation	26
• Treatment	29

➤ Ear deformities	37
• External ear anomalies	37
• Middle ear anomalies	38
• Inner ear anomalies	39
• Hearing loss	40
• Balance in CHARGE	41
➤ Olfactory affection	42
➤ Cleft lip and palate	43
➤ Laryngomalacia	43
Chapter III : Case study	
➤ Material and methods	47
➤ Results	48
➤ Discussion	50
➤	53
➤ Summary	54
➤ Conclusions and recommendations	56
References	74
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

CA	Choanal Atresia
CGH	Comparative Genomic Hybridization
CLP	Cleft Lip And Palate
CPSP	Canadian Pediatric Surveillance Programme
C.T	Computerized tomography
ECG	Electrocardiography
EEG	Electroencephalography
GERD	Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease
HCG	Human Chorionic Gonadotrophin
KTP	<u>Potassium Titanyl Phosphate Laser</u>
LHRH	Luteinizing Hormone Releasing Hormone
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
Nd-Yag	Neodymium-doped Yttrium aluminum garnet Laser
TEF	Tracheo-esophageal fistula.

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.No.	Title	Page
Fig. 1	Pathology of mutation of CHD7 in CHARGE syndrome.	8
Fig.2	Coloboma in charge patient	13
Fig. 3	Facial asymmetry in charge patient	14
Fig. 4	Polyductyly in charge patient	14
Fig.5	Endoscopic image of a right choanal atresia	28
Fig. 6	Axial CT scan of a bilateral mixed (bony-membranous) choanal atresia	29
Fig .7	Diode laser repair of right prevalent membranous choanal atresia	34

Fig .8	A portex endotracheal tube, fixed out of the columella used as a stent	35
Fig .9	Three holes are made in the pharyngeal portion of the stent to permit breathing, washing and suctioning	35
Fig .10	Result after transnasal endoscopic choanal plasty	36
Fig.11	Typical ear malformation	38
Fig.12	Bone windowed axial CT scan of temporal bone (patient 1).Note narrow bony cochlear aperture	49
Fig.`13	Bone windowed axial CT scan of temporal bone (patient 1).Note small and narrow internal auditory canals	49

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
Table 1	Prevalence of major and minor malformations in patients with CHARGE association	46

Introduction

CHARGE, is the acronym created by Pagon and her coworkers in 1981 (*Pagon et al., 1981*) to describe six features of a complex genetic disorder. Since 1981, the diagnostic criteria have been revised, and they will continue to be revised. At present, the criteria include more than 20 physical anomalies, deficits in *all* sensory modalities, and a behavioral phenotype that is unique and diagnosis is based on clinical evaluation of the presence of certain major and minor features (*Blake et al., 1998*) and/or the presence of microdeletions in the CHD7 gene on chromosome 8 (*Visser et al., 2004*).

The acronym "CHARGE" denotes the nonrandom association of coloboma, heart anomalies, choanal atresia, retardation of growth and development, and genital and ear anomalies, which are frequently present in various combinations and to varying degrees in individuals with CHARGE syndrome. No single feature is universally present or sufficient for the clinical diagnosis of CHARGE syndrome, and numerous guidelines have been published to aid in establishing a likely clinical diagnosis (*Pampal, 2010; Janssen et al., 2012*).

AIM OF WORK

The aim of this study is to highlight ENT anomalies present in CHARGE association to help in early detection and review the proper plan of treatment for these anomalies.

Chapter I

GENERAL CHARGE

Epidemiology

The true incidence of CHARGE syndrome is not known, with estimates ranging from 0.1-1.2/10,000 live births. A national surveillance study of CHARGE syndrome patients has been conducted through the Canadian Pediatric Surveillance Programme (CPSP) from September 2001-2004 revealing that the highest incidence of CHARGE syndrome in Canada was estimated at 1:8,500 live births so the true incidence of CHARGE syndrome reported internationally may therefore be underestimated (*Janusz et al., 2012; Janssen et al., 2013*).

The incidence of genetic CHARGE syndrome is unknown, due, in large part, to the cost prohibitive nature of genetic analysis of a wide population. Studies of individuals with genetic CHARGE suggest a slight female predominance (59%:41%), but larger studies are needed to offer a definitive female-to-male ratio (*Allen, 2012; Lalani et al., 2012*).

Causes

CHARGE syndrome is an autosomal dominant condition with genotypic heterogeneity. Most cases (58-

71% in unselected CHARGE referrals and as many as 90% of patients who meet criteria for typical CHARGE syndrome) are due to mutations of the *CHD7* gene leading to haploinsufficiency (*Jongmans et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2012*).

Microdeletions encompassing the entire *CHD7* gene or affecting individual *CHD7* gene exons occur in a minority of cases. If the *CHD7* mutation analysis is normal, obtaining studies for del/dup of *CHD7* and then an array of comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) are the next steps (*Udaka et al., 2007; Bergman et al., 2012a*).

CHD7 is a member of the chromodomain helicase DNA-binding (CHD) protein family that plays a role in transcription regulation by chromatin remodeling. Most recently, a review of 379 published cases of clinically diagnosed cases of CHARGE syndrome in which *CHD7* mutation testing was undertaken found that 67% of cases were due to a *CHD7* mutation (*Zentner et al., 2010; Bergman et al., 2012b*).

Although one case report detailed *CHD7* duplication, which did not result in a CHARGE-like phenotype. Numerous case reports have described individuals clinically diagnosed with CHARGE syndrome who harbor various presumably pathologic cytogenetic abnormalities, including 22q11.2 deletions, 14q22-q24.3 inverted duplications, and 9p-, and single gene mutations (*Monfort et al., 2008; Bergman et al., 2012b*).

Pathophysiology

Many different types of gene mutations have been observed in patients with genetic CHARGE syndrome. These include nonsense, frameshift, missense, and splice-site mutations. It is unclear whether the type of genetic mutation affects phenotype, but future studies may reveal significance (*Wincent et al., 2008; Vatta et al., 2013*).

Many authors however know that even identical genotypes can produce different phenotypes. One study analyzed monozygotic twins with identical mutations on exon 16 of chromosome 8. While both twins presented with bilateral coloboma, cardiac malformations, and growth restriction, they differed greatly in the severity of cardiac