

Sealing Ability And Solubility Of Different Adhesive Obturation Systems

Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of
Dentistry
Ain Shams University
In Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements
For The Master Degree in Endodontics.

By
Shaymaa Mohamed Maher
B.D.S Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University(2002)

2014

Supervisors

***Ass.Professor Doctor. Abeer A.
ElGendy***

Associate Professor of Endodontics
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

Doctor. Mohamed M. Nagy

Lecturer of Endodontics
Endodontic department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا
إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

Dedicated to

*To my great father, my lovely
mother, precious husband, my
beautiful daughters and my dear
son.*

Thank you for supporting me all the time.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to Associate professor Doctor. Abeer Elgendy. Ass.Professor of Endodontics, professor of Endodontic, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her academic supervision, guidance and valuable advice which were essential for completion of this study.

My great appreciation for doctor Mohamed Nagy Lecturer of Endodontics. Faculty of dentistry. Ain Shams university for his continuous help and support.

Many thanks to all members of Endodontic department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University.

Finally I wish to thank my husband Medhat Elfaramawy for his continuous support and help all the time.

Contents

<i>Subject</i>	<i>Page</i>
INTRODUCTION	3
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
I- solubility	
II- Sealing ability	
AIM OF THE STUDY	54
MATERIALS AND METHODS	55
I- solubility	
II- Sealing ability	
RESULTS	71
I- solubility	
II- Sealing ability	
DISCUSSION	89
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.	95
REFERENCES	97
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	page
Fig (1): Photograph showing Sartorius weighing device	58
Fig (2): Radiograph showing sample obturated with conventional gutta-percha.	62
Fig (3): Radiograph showing sample obturated with Activ GP	63
Fig (4): Intra canal brush.	64
Fig (5): Radiograph showing sample obturated with Resilon.	64
Fig (6): Diagrammatic representation showing the components of the fluid filtration apparatus.	67
Fig (7): Pressure gauge used to adjust the pressure of nitrogen gas.	68
Fig (8): T-junction connecting microtubing to micropipette.	68

Fig (9):	T-junction connected to micro-syringe, micropipette, polyethylene tube.	69
Fig (10):	Root segment cemented to the polyethylene tube filled with distilled water.	69
Fig (11):	The nitrogen tank connected to the plastic beaker and sample unit.	70
Fig (12):	Micro-syringe with an air bubble introduced into it.	70
Fig (13):	Bar-chart for weight loss percentage for conventional gutta-percha(group I)	72
Fig (14):	Bar-chart for weight loss percentage for Activ GP (group II).	74
Fig (15):	Bar-chart for weight loss percentage for Resilon (groupIII)	76

Fig (16): Bar-Chart shows means of weight loss percentage for different groups.	79
Fig (17): Bar-chart for mean leakage values of conv.GP. (groupI)	81
Fig (18): Bar-chart for mean leakage values of active GP (groupII).	83
Fig (19): Bar-chart for mean leakage values of Resilon (groupIII).	85
Fig (20): Bar-chart shows means of fluid filtration value for different groups.	88

LIST OF TABLES

Table		page
Table (1)	Classification of samples for solubility.	56
Table(2)	Classification of samples for sealingability	61
Table (3)	weight loss percentage values for conventional gutta-percha	72
Table (4)	weight loss percentage values for Activ GP.	74
Table (5)	weight loss percentage values for Resilon.	76
Table (6)	Mean and standard deviation of weight loss percentage.	79
Table (7)	leakage values for conventional gutta-percha. (mm/min)	81
Table (8)	leakage values for Activ GP. (mm/min)	83

Table (9)	leakage values for Resilon. (mm/min)	85
Table (10)	Mean and standard deviation of fluid filtration value (mm/min).	88

Introduction

Three dimensional stability of the filling materials is considered a critical factor for the success of the endodontic treatment.

Sealing ability of the root canal filling material is important for the prevention of the regrowth of micro-organisms and failure of root canal treatment.

Many factors can influence the sealing ability of the root canal filling material such as; the technique of filling, the type of the filling material, the type of sealer, technique of instrumentation, type of irrigant and the presence or absence of the smear layer in addition to the use of intracanal medicaments.

Proper adhesion of the filling material is considered a key factor for its proper sealing ability, this adhesion can be carried out mechanically using resin materials or chemically using glass ionomer material.

The root canal filling materials are subjected to different media which are; the serum through the apical and lateral communication with the peridontium and saliva in case of the presence of defects in the coronal restoration. Any solubility of the root canal filling materials can create enough space (leakage) which

allows bacterial growth and failure of the endodontic treatment.

So that the main goal for many endodontic researches is the creation of an obturating material that can face the challenges of different media without any solubility to prevent any dimensional instability and so having a proper sealing ability so that preventing the existence of any microorganisms that can cause failure of the whole root canal treatment.

Review Of Literature

The monoblock concept is the keyword for the hermetic seal of the root canal filling material. This concept depends on the adhesion of the filling material to the root canal dentine wall and its dimensional stability.

Part I: Solubility of different root canal filling materials.

Schafer and Zandbiglari (1) compared the weight loss of eight different root canal sealers in water and artificial saliva with different pH values. They used ring mould that was filled with epoxy resin (AH26, AH plus), silicon (RSA Roekoseal), calcium hydroxide (Apexit, sealapex, zinc oxide-eugenol (Aptal Harz), glass ionomer (Ketac-endo) and polyketone (Diaket) based sealer. These samples were immersed in double distilled water or artificial saliva with different pH values (7.0, 5.7 and 4.5) for 30 seconds, 1minute, 2minutes, 5minutes, 10minutes, 20minutes, 1hour, 2hours, 10hours, 24hours, 48hours, 72hours, 14 days & 28 days, then weight loss was determined. They found

that AH plus showed the least weight loss of all sealers tested, independent of the solubility medium used while seal apex, Aptal-hare and ketac-Endo had a marked weight loss in all liquids.

Tay et al (2) examined the susceptibility of Resilon, a polycaprolactone-based root filling material to enzymatic hydrolysis. Resilon, gutta-percha, and polycaprolactone disks, prepared by compression molding, were incubated in phosphate buffered saline, lipase PS or cholesterol esterase at 37°C for 96 h. They were retrieved at different time intervals for gravimetric analysis and scanning electron microscopy. The materials exhibited slight weight gains when incubated in phosphate-buffered saline that can be attributed to water sorption. Gutta-percha showed similar weight gains in the two enzymes. Conversely, Resilon and polycaprolactone exhibited extensive surface thinning and weight losses after incubation in lipase PS and cholesterol esterase. Glass filler particles in Resilon were exposed following surface dissolution of the polymer matrix, creating rough surface topography. Biodegradation of Resilon by bacterial and salivary enzymes warrants further investigation of their activities