

Fracture Resistance of Anterior CAD/CAM Nanoceramic Composite Endocrown with Short and Long Root Canal Extension

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,
Ain Shams University

For

Partial Fulfillment of Requirements of the Master
Degree in Fixed Prosthodontics

By

Aly Abd El Kawy Badr

B.D.S.

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, 2006

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2015

Supervisors

Dr. Tarek Salah Morsy

**Assistant Professor and Head of Fixed Prosthodontics
Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University.**

Dr. Marwa Mohamed Wahsh

**Lecturer, Fixed Prosthodontics Department,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University**

Dedication

I wish to dedicate this work to

My Great Parents,

My Supporting Wife,

and My Lovely Son.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deep gratitude to **Dr. Tarek Salah Morsy**, Assistant Professor, Head of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his kind guidance, sincerity, and unlimited support and help throughout my academic and clinical work. I was honored to work with him, and I was gifted by his deep ability to accommodate the skills, the thoughts and even the exaggerations of his students to come out with extraordinary results.

I would like to thank **Dr. Marwa Mohamed Wahsh**, Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her excellent advices, valuable stimulating guidance and help during this study that helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

I dedicate my work to my parents. A special feeling of gratitude is given to my **loving parents**. Their words of encouragement and push always ring in my ears. I would have never reached this place without their continuous help.

I want to thank my **lovely wife**, who started this journey with me, supported me and was my partner throughout the whole process.

A special thanks to my friend **Dr. Tarek Mostafa** who was more than generous with his precious time and expertise. Also, I wish to thank **Dr. Ahmed El Banna** for his valuable support and advices.

List of Contents:

List of Figures.....	ii
List of Tables.....	v
Introduction.....	1
Review of literature.....	3
Aim of the study.....	30
Materials and methods.....	31
Results.....	58
Discussion.....	71
Summary and Conclusions.....	83
References.....	87
Arabic summary	

List of Figures:

Figure 1: Upper central incisor used in the study.....	34
Figure 2: CNC machine (computer numerical control machine).....	39
Figure 3: Abrasive stone attached to the stylus of the CNC machine preparing the post space to produce the central cavity extension.....	39
Figure 4: Abrasive stone attached to the stylus used for milling.....	40
Figure 5: Digital caliper measuring the dimensions of the drilling stone.....	40
Figure 6: Abrasive stone attached to the stylus preparing the root face.....	41
Figure 7: Occlusal view of a sample prepared with ferrule effect.....	42
Figure 8: Proximal view of a sample prepared with ferrule effect.....	42
Figure 9: Occlusal view of a sample prepared with no ferrule effect.....	43
Figure 10: Proximal view of a sample prepared with no ferrule effect.....	43
Figure 11: Cerec in Lab (CAD CAM, Sirona dental system).....	44
Figure 12: Digital model appear after scanning by omniscan.....	45
Figure 13: Selecting the design mode and restoration type on the software of Cerec in lab.....	47
Figure 14: Selecting the material on the software of Cerec In Lab....	47
Figure 15: Designing the margin of the restoration.....	48

Figure 16: Designing the insertion axis of the endocrown.....	48
Figure 17: Defining the restoration parameters.....	49
Figure 18: Complete editing the parameters of the restoration.....	49
Figure 19: Adjust milling position.....	50
Figure 20: Fitting surface of endocrown with long extension.....	51
Figure 21: Proximal surface of the endocrown with proximal view of the long extended post.....	51
Figure 22: Fitting surface of endocrown with short extension.....	53
Figure 23: Proximal view of the short extended post.....	53
Figure 24: Proximal view of finished and polished endocrown.....	54
Figure 25: Labial view of finished and polished endocrown.....	53
Figure 26: Computer controlled universal testing machine.....	55
Figure 27: Specially designed attachment, custom-made load applicator, and 45 degrees inclination of the sample.....	55
Figure 28: Diagram showing the different modes of failure	56
Figure 29: A column chart showing the mean fracture resistance values and standard deviations for all tested groups.....	60
Figure 30: Bar chart representing mean fracture resistance values for the different designs (with and without ferrule).....	61
Figure 31: Bar chart representing mean fracture resistance values for the different extension (long and short extension).....	62

Figure 32: Bar chart showing the different failure modes for all tested groups.....	64
Figure 33: Group 1A - Failure mode (A) in the tooth structure	65
Figure 34: Group 1A - Failure mode (A) in the restoration	65
Figure 35: Group 1B - Failure mode (C) in the tooth structure.....	66
Figure 36: Group 1B - Failure mode (C) in the restoration.....	66
Figure 37: Group 1B - Failure mode (E) in the tooth structure.....	67
Figure 38: Group 1B - Failure mode (E) in the restoration.....	67
Figure 39: Group 2A - Failure mode (B) in the tooth structure.....	68
Figure 40: Group 2A - Failure mode (B) in the restoration.....	68
Figure (41 A): Group 2A - Failure mode (D) - Catastrophic fracture..	69
Figure (41 B): Group 2A - Failure mode (D) - Catastrophic fracture..	69
Figure (42): Group 2B Failure mode (D) in the tooth structure.....	70
Figure (43): Group 2B Failure mode (D) in the restoration.....	70

List of Tables:

Table 1: Materials, (manufacturers), descriptions and compositions.	31
Table2: Samples grouping.....	35
Table 3: Two-way ANOVA of the effect ferrule and extension of the endocrowns to root canal on the fracture resistance.....	58
Table 4: Mean fracture resistance \pm SD recorded in Newton for all groups.....	59
Table 5: The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of comparison between ferrule and no ferrule groups.....	61
Table 6: The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of comparison between long and short extension groups.....	62
Table 7: Number of specimens and the percentage (%) of each failure mode in each experimental group.....	63

