

Improving Quality of Embryos by Stem Cell Application

Thesis submitted at the faculty of medicine, Cairo University
in fulfillment for the requirements of the Master Degree
in Obstetrics and Gynecology

By

Basma Farouk Hussein

M.B.B.Ch., Cairo University

Research fellow at the National Research Center

Supervisors

Prof.Dr.Mohamed Ehab Salit

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Cairo University

Assist.Prof.Dr.Osama Mahmoud Azmy

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
National Research Center

Assist.Prof.Dr.Dina Sabry Abdel-Fattah

Assistant Professor of Biochemistry,
Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2010

ABSTRACT

Stem cell has the ability of self renewal or differentiation into more specialized cells. Embryo quality is detected by microscopic assessment of cell number, fragmentation & others. Aim of our study: detect effect of stem cell application on poor quality embryos, either improving or not. Methodology: 50 poor quality embryos divided into 2 equal groups (cases & controls). We added stem cell supernatant on each embryo of the cases After 3 days: improvement of most of the cases, but worsening of most of the controls. Conclusion: stem cells can improve poor quality embryos but genetic diagnosis is required.

Keywords: stem cell-poor quality embryos- Supernatant-improving

Acknowledgement

*To the almighty, most gracious and most merciful **ALLAH**, to him above all, humbly, I praise and express all my grateful thanks and gratitude for my family that helped me a lot throughout my life.*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks to **prof. Dr.Mohamed Ehab Salit**, professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Kasr El-Ainy Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University who gave me the honor of working under his supervision.*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to **Assistant prof. Dr.Osama Mahmoud Azmy**, Assistant professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology National Research Center for his kind supervision, continuous encouragement and guidance as well as his moral support throughout work.*

*I would also like to thank very much **Assistant prof. Dr.Dina Sabry Abdel-Fattah**, Assistant professor of Biochemistry Kasr ElAiny Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for her guidance.*

*I am especially and deeply grateful to **Dr.Somaya Ibrahim** Assistant professor of Community & Preventive medicine for her participation in statistical analysis of our results.*

*All grateful thanks are to **Dr.Tamer Fouad Taha**, lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology at the National Research Center and **Dr.Mamdouh Abdel-Aziz Beibars**, lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology at the National Research Center.*

*I am especially and deeply grateful to **Dr.Wesam Hashem Mohamed**, Embryologist & **Dr.Mohamed Abdel-Rahman**, Embryologist , for their valid participation in fulfillment of the practical part of my thesis.*

LIST OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	II
LIST OF TABLES.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VII
INTRODUCTION	1
AIM OF WORK.....	3
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	
STEM CELLS	4
ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY	22
EMBRYO QUALITY.....	44
PATIENTS AND METHODS	57
RESULTS	65
DISCUSSION	88
SUMMARY	95
CONCLUSION.....	99
REFERENCES.....	100
ARABIC SUMMARY	115

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ALCAM	Activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule
ART	Assisted reproductive technology
AT	Adipose tissue
bFGF	Basic fibroblast growth factor
BM	Bone marrow
CES	Cumulative embryo score.
CFU-F	Colony-forming units of fibroblasts
C.M.	Conditioned medium
CRP	C-reactive protein
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid.
EGF	Epidermal growth factor
ESHRE	European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology
Et	Embryo transfer
FBS	Fetal bovine serum
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
Fig.	Figure
FSH	Follicle stimulating hormone
G-CSF	Granulocyte colony-stimulating factors
GIFT	Gamete intrafallopian transfer
GM-CSF	Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factors
GnRH-a	Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone agonists
GnRH-ant	Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone antagonists
GnRH-R	Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone receptors
HCG	Human chorionic gonadotrophins
HESC	Human embryonic stem cell
H.S.	Highly significant

ICAM-1	Intercellular adhesion molecule one
ICSI	Intracytoplasmic sperm injection
IGF-I	Insulin like growth factor one
IGF-II	Insulin like growth factor two
IVF	In vitro fertilization
LH	Luteinising hormone
LIF	Leukemia inhibitory factor
MAPCs	Multipotent adult progenitor cells
MBTEU	Molecular Biology and Tissue Engineering Unit
M-CSF	Macrophage colony-stimulating factors
MHC	Major histocompatibility complex
MSCs	Mesenchymal stem cells
NPBs	Nucleolar precursor bodies
NRC	National research center
N.S.	Non significant
OHSS	Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome
OI	Ovulation induction
OPU	Ovum pick-up
OR	Oocyte retrieval
OS	Ovarian stimulation
PAF	Platelet activating factor
PB	Polar body
PBS	Phosphate buffer saline
PCOS	Polycystic ovarian syndrome
PID	Pelvic inflammatory disease
PLA	Pelvic lipoaspirate
PR	Pregnancy rates
PN	Pronuclei
RCOG	Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

SCF	Stem cell factor
S.	Significant
SIG	Special Interest Group
Tab.	Table
TET	Tubal embryo transfer
TGF-a	Transforming growth factor a
TGF-b	Transforming growth factor b
TNF-αI	Tumor necrosis factors alpha one
TNF-αII	Tumor necrosis factors alpha two.
TGF-α	Transforming growth factor-alpha
TGF-β	Transforming growth factor-beta
UCB	Umbilical cord blood
UK	United Kingdom
VCAM-1	Vascular cell adhesion molecule one
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor
ZIFT	Zygote intrafallopian transfer

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NUMBER	TABLE TITLE	PAGE NUMBER
1	Phenotypic characteristics of bone marrow MSCs	11
2	Autoantibodies & implantation failure	28
3	Leuven University Fertility Centre classification system of OHSS,together with	30
4	Amino-acid sequences of GnRH analogues	38
5	Demographic characteristics of women attending for ICSI among 2 studied groups	67
6	Percentage of embryos as regards cell number on day 3	68
7	Percentage of embryos as regards cell number on day 6	69
8	Percentage of embryos as regards cell size on day 3	70
9	Percentage of embryos as regards cell size on day 6	71
10	Percentage of embryos as regards cell shape on day 3	72
11	Percentage of embryos as regards cell shape on day 6	73
12	Percentage of embryos as regards cytoplasm clearness on day 3	74
13	Percentage of embryos as regards cytoplasm clearness on day 6	75
14	Percentage of embryos as regards anuclear fragmentation on day 3	76

15	Percentage of embryos as regards anuclear fragmentation on day 6 on day 3 of fertilization	77
16	Percentage of embryos as regards % of fragmentation on day 3 of fertilization	78
17	Percentage of embryos as regards % of fragmentation on day 6 of fertilization	79
18	Mean score of cases versus controls on day 3 of fertilization	80
19	Mean score of cases versus controls on day 6 of fertilization	81

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NUMBER	FIGURE TITLE	PAGE NUMBER
1	Differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells for self-renewing stem cells to generate all mesenchymal cell lineages	10
2	Potential differentiation pathways of bone marrow multipotent mesenchymal stem cells	14
3	Therapeutic use of mesenchymal stem cells in degenerative inflammatory diseases:possible mechanisms of action	19
4	Functions and clinical applications of mesenchymal stem cells	20
5	The"lübeck" protocol	39
6	The"French"protocol	40
7	Examples of early cleavage morphologies	47
8	Poor quality embryo with uneven development	49
9	Good quality embryo with even development	49
10	Morphology of the first polar body	52
11	Uptake of and secretion of specific nutrients by the embryo	55
12	Mean age of cases versus controls ,included in our study	67

13	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regards cell number on day 3	68
14	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard cell number on day 6	69
15	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard cell size on day 3	70
16	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard cell size on day 6	71
17	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard cell shape on day 3	72
18	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard cell shape on day 6	73
19	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard cytoplasm clearness on day 3	74
20	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard cytoplasm clearness on day 6	75
21	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard anuclear fragmentation on day 3	76
22	Number of cases given score 0 or 1 ,versus controls as regard anuclear fragmentation on day 6	77
23	Number of cases given score -1 or 0 ,versus controls as regard percentage of fragmentation on day 3	78
24	Number of cases given score -1 or 0 ,versus controls as regard percentage of fragmentation on day 6	79

25	Mean score of cases versus controls on day 3 of fertilization	80
26	Mean score of cases versus controls on day 6 of fertilization	81
27	Case 1,1 st day(3 rd day of fertilization)	82
28	Case 1,2 nd day(4 th day of fertilization)	82
29	Case1,3 rd day (5 th day of fertilization)	83
30	Case 1,4 th day (6 th day of fertilization)	83
31	Case 2,1 st day(3 rd day of fertilization)	84
32	Case 2,2 nd day(4 th day of fertilization)	84
33	Case 2,3 rd day(5 th day of fertilization)	85
34	Control 1,1 st day(3 rd day of fertilization)	86
35	Control 1,3 rd day(5 th day of fertilization)	86
36	control 2,1 st day (3 rd day of fertilization)	87
37	Control 2,3 rd day(5 th day of fertilization)	87

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

Stem cells are rare primitive cells which can be defined by their capacity to self renew as well as to differentiate into one or more mature cell types (Chan et al., 2006).

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) represent a promising tool for new clinical concepts in supporting cellular therapy. Bone marrow (BM) was the first source reported to contain MSCs. However, for clinical use, BM may be detrimental due to highly invasive donation procedure and the decline in MSCs number and differentiation potential with increasing age (Kern et al., 2006).

Studies have demonstrated that the life span of mesenchymal stem cells invitro can be extended and thus allowing culture of large number of cells needed for therapy. In addition, it has been shown that it is possible to culture the cells without affecting their growth or differentiation potential. The mesenchymal stem cells seem to be hypoimmunogenic and thus allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell transplantation is possible (Kassem et al., 2004).

The quality of embryos from in vitro fertilization is assessed by determining three major components:

- I) cell number,
- II) cell regularity
- III) degree of fragmentation.

There are also other things noted about the embryo appearance, such as multinucleation, presence of vacuoles, granularity, thickness of the shell

around the embryo, etc. Usually, determinations of quality are not made until about 48 hours (or later) after the egg retrieval (Sherban .2008).

The factors affecting embryo quality are combined in numerous ways, often complex, to produce embryo scoring systems to identify potential embryos that would result in pregnancy. As more is learnt about the different aspects of embryo morphology in relation to pregnancy outcome, more criteria can be included in the assessment of embryos, assessment of the oocyte, pronuclear as well as early cleavage status. The decision to use one system over another is often based on the individual laboratory's familiarity and training (Loi et al., 2008).

The true genetic potential of the embryo to continue development is really impossible to measure. However, embryo quality seen under the microscope gives us some reasonable ability to predict the chances for pregnancy after an embryo transfer. Embryos with higher cell numbers and regular appearing cells (blastomeres) and little or no fragmentation have a higher overall chance of implanting than do embryos with fewer cells, more irregularity and more fragmentation. However, because there are many other contributing factors involved that we can not measure, these generalizations do not always apply. Some cycles fail after transferring 3 perfect looking embryos and beautiful babies are born after transferring low grade embryos (Sherban, 2008).