

***THE EFFECT OF TWO DIFFERENT
IMMEDIATE LOADING PROTOCOLS IN
IMPLANT SUPPORTED SCREW RETAINED
PROSTHESIS***

THESIS

***SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR A MASTER DEGREE IN
PROSTHODONTICS***

BY

HEBA EZZELDIN ABDELRAHIM KHORSHID

B.D.S.

(CAIRO UNIVERSITY)

2003

FACULTY OF ORAL AND DENTAL MEDICINE

CAIRO UNIVERSITY

2009

SUPERVISORS

***PROF. DR. HAMDY ABOUL FOTOUH
HAMED***

***PROFESSOR OF PROSTHODONTICS
FACULTY OF ORAL AND DENTAL MEDICINE
CAIRO UNIVERSITY***

DR. ESSAM ADEL AZIZ

***ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF PROSTHODONTICS
FACULTY OF ORAL AND DENTAL MEDICINE
CAIRO UNIVERSITY***

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I am greatly thankful and grateful to *Allah* for all His uncountable blessings and grants.

I would like to convey my special acknowledgment to *Prof. Dr. Hamdy AboulFotouh Hamed* , Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his supervision, advice and guidance from the very early stages of this research as well as for giving me his extraordinary experiences throughout this work. Above all, he provided me unwavering encouragement and support in various ways. His truly scientist intuition has made him a constant oasis of ideas and passions in implant dentistry, which exceptionally inspired and enriched my growth as a student, a researcher and a scientist as I hope I can be. I am indebted to him more than he knows.

I gratefully acknowledge *Dr. Essam Adel Aziz*, Associate Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his valuable advice in science, discussions, supervision and for using his precious time to read this thesis and give his critical and constructive comments about it which made him a backbone of this research and so to this thesis. His involvement with his diligence and courtesy triggered my intellectual maturity and created a pleasant working atmosphere that I will benefit from, for a long time to come. I am grateful in every possible way.

I would like to express my gratitude to Adel Hamdy for being the first who taught me Mimics for without his prior teachings, guidance and willingness to share his bright ideas with me, I could never have able to accomplish this work.

It is a pleasure to pay tribute also to Mohamed Hassan, the Lab technician, who has worked so hard and was able to always fulfill all my hectic demands. Thank you for always being relentless and cooperative.

Collective and individual acknowledgments are also owed to all my colleagues for always being ready to lend me their hands. My special thanks go to Mostafa Momen, Ahmed El Husseiny and Wafa Radwan for their continuous assistance and support throughout all stages of this work.

Where would I be without my family? Words fail me to express my wholehearted appreciation to my parents ever since I was a child whose dedication, unconditional love, persistent confidence in me and their infinite support and prayers has always gave me the strength to be able to face all the hardships and has ultimately granted me potency and faith. Nadin and Noura, thanks for being supportive and loving sisters.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who has contributed to the successful outcome of this work as well as expressing my apology to all those I could not mention personally one by one.

TO MY PARENTS

LIST OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	3
ENDOSTEAL IMPLANTS.....	3
OSSEOINTEGRATION.....	3
PROBLEMS OF MANDIBULAR DISTAL EXTENSION CASES.....	4
FACTORS DETERMINING THE SUCCESS AND FAILURE OF OSSEOINTEGRATED IMPLANTS.....	5
DEFINITION OF TERMS.....	6
HISTORY OF IMMEDIATE LOADING OF DENTAL IMPLANTS.....	7
ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF IMMEDIATE LOADING.....	9
DECISION FACTORS FOR IMMEDIATE LOADING:.....	10
I. <u>SURGERY-RELATED FACTORS</u> :.....	11

A. PRIMARY STABILITY.....	11
<i>(I) BONE DENSITY.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>(II) INSERTION TORQUE.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>(III) DRILLING PROTOCOL.....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>(IV) SPLINTING.....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>(V) RESTORATION RETRIEVAL.....</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>(VI) IMPLANT DESIGN.....</i>	<i>14</i>
B. SURGICAL TECHNIQUE.....	15
II. <u>OCCLUSION-RELATED FACTORS:</u>	17
A. QUANTITY OF FORCE.....	17
B. QUALITY OF FORCE.....	17
C. MECHANICAL LOAD AND BONE HEALING.....	19
D. METHOD OF IMMEDIATE OCCLUSAL LOADING.....	21
E. IMPLANT OCCLUSION	24
1. DIFFERENCE BETWEEN NATURAL TEETH AND IMPLANTS.....	24
2. TYPES AND PRINCIPLES OF IMPLANT OCCLUSION.....	25

III.	<u>HOST-RELATED FACTORS</u>	28
A.	EXCLUSION AND INCLUSION CRITERIA FOR IMMEDIATE LOADING.....	28
B.	BONE QUALITY AND QUANTITY	29
IV.	<u>IMPLANT-RELATED FACTORS</u>	31
A.	IMPLANT DESIGN/CONFIGURATION	31
B.	IMPLANT SURFACE COATING	32
C.	IMPLANT LENGTH AND DIAMETER	34
D.	IMPLANT MATERIAL	34
E.	PROSTHETIC DESIGN	35
	IMPLANT SUCCESS AND FAILURE CRITERIA.....	35
	IMPLANT ABUTMENTS.....	38
A.	DEFINITION.....	38
B.	CLASSIFICATION.....	38
C.	INDICATIONS ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF SCREW-RETAINED PROSTHESES.....	39
D.	PRELOAD.....	39

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF PASSIVE FIT.....	40
DENTAL COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY.....	42
Tomography.....	42
History and Development of Computed Tomography (CT).....	43
Computed Tomography System Components.....	44
Advancement of CT.....	46
Image Acquisition.....	47
Image Reconstruction and Dentascan.....	48
CT Numbers (Hounsfield Units).....	49
CT Radiation Dose.....	50
AIM OF STUDY.....	51
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	52
RESULTS	115
DISCUSSION	139
SUMMARY	156
CONCLUSION	158
RECOMMENDATIONS	159
REFERENCES	160
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
Table (1): <i>The mean bone height changes and standard deviation around the implants in both groups. The percentage change from baseline is also shown.</i>	116
Table (2): <i>Bone height of the Immediate Functional loading group versus the Immediate Progressive loading group</i>	120
Table (3): <i>Bone height of the Bucco-lingual side versus the Mesio-distal side</i>	120
Table (4): <i>Table 1 Bone height of both groups and sides along the follow-up Time period (zero, four and nine months)</i>	120
Table (5): <i>Bone height of the Immediate Functional loading group versus the Immediate Progressive loading group* Time interaction</i>	121
Table (6): <i>Bone height of the Bucco-lingual side versus the Mesio-distal side * Time interaction</i>	121
Table (7): <i>Bone height of the Immediate Functional loading group versus the Immediate Progressive loading group* Bucco-lingual side versus the Mesio-distal side interaction</i>	121
Table (8): <i>The mean bone density values in Hounsfield's Unit (HU) and standard deviation around the implants in both groups. The percentage change from baseline is also shown.</i>	124
Table (9): <i>Bone density of the Immediate Functional loading group versus the Immediate Progressive loading group</i>	128
Table (10): <i>Bone density of the Bucco-lingual side versus the Mesio-distal side</i>	128
Table (11): <i>Bone density of both groups and sides along the follow-up Time period (zero, four and nine months)</i>	128
Table (12): <i>Bone density of the Immediate Functional loading group versus the Immediate Progressive loading group* Time interaction</i>	129
Table (13): <i>Bone density of the Bucco-lingual side versus the Mesio-distal side * Time interaction</i>	129

Table (14):	<i>Bone density of the Immediate Functional loading group versus the Immediate Progressive loading group* Bucco-lingual side versus the Mesio-distal side interaction</i>	129
Table (15):	<i>Results of Pearson's correlation coefficient for the correlation between bone height and density in each group</i>	132
Table (16):	<i>The median gingival index scores around the implants in both groups.</i>	133
Table (17):	<i>The mean clinical attachment level scores and standard deviation around the implants in both groups.</i>	134
Table (18):	<i>Clinical attachment level scores of the Immediate Functional loading group versus the Immediate Progressive loading group</i>	135
Table (19):	<i>Clinical attachment level scores of both groups and sides along the follow-up Time period (zero, four and nine months)</i>	136
Table (20):	<i>Clinical attachment level scores of the Immediate Functional loading group versus the Immediate Progressive loading group* Time interaction</i>	137

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
Figure 1	<i>Mandibular Class I Kennedy</i>	56
Figure 2	<i>Opposing natural dentition with adequate inter-arch space</i>	56
Figure 3	<i>Maxillary and Mandibular Casts mounted on a Semi-Adjustable Articulator</i>	60
Figure 4	<i>Diagnostic Wax-Set Up</i>	60
Figure 5	<i>Surgical Stent with Holes drilled opposite to each Implant Site. The cast was also sawed perpendicular to the ridge opposite to each hole.</i>	62
Figure 6	<i>The Surgical stent placed in the patient's mouth and a sharp periodontal probe inserted in the holes opposite to each implant site.</i>	62
Figure 7	<i>A sharp probe equipped with an endodontic stop inserted in the patients' mucosa overlying the implant site to measure the mucosal thickness on the crestal and lingual areas.</i>	63
Figure 8	<i>The diagnostic cast cross-section was shaded with a pencil to roughly estimate the bony contour. The thickness of the bony ridge was then roughly measured.</i>	63
Figure 9	<i>Surgical stent modified to a radiographic stent by the placement of metal balls over holes opposite to the proposed implant sites.</i>	64
Figure 10	<i>A 1:1 digital panoramic radiograph. The bone height was measured opposite to each metal ball.</i>	65
Figure 11	<i>Screw Indirect implants 3.75mm in diameter and 10mm in length in their packages. The implants has a 1mm smooth collar, followed by 1.2mm height of mini-threads on its coronal aspect and finally a</i>	66

threaded fixture body.

<i>Figure 12</i>	<i>Incision line performed as guided by the surgical stent.</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>Figure 13</i>	<i>Reflection of the mucoperiosteal flap</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>Figure 14</i>	<i>The exposed bony ridge</i>	<i>69</i>
<i>Figure 15</i>	<i>Surgical bur to prepare holes on the crest of the ridge</i>	<i>69</i>
<i>Figure 16</i>	<i>Pilot drill 2.3mm in diameter used to prepare the initial Osteotomy</i>	<i>71</i>
<i>Figure 17</i>	<i>Intermediate Drill 2.8mm in diameter to continue preparation of Osteotomy</i>	<i>71</i>
<i>Figure 18</i>	<i>Final Drill 3.4mm in diameter to finish the Osteotomy preparation</i>	<i>73</i>
<i>Figure 19</i>	<i>Paralleling Rod placed in the first osteotomy as a guide to obtain parallelism between implants</i>	<i>73</i>
<i>Figure 20</i>	<i>Paralleling rods placed in the three osteotomies showing parallelism from a buccal view</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>Figure 21</i>	<i>Paralleling rods placed in the three osteotomies showing parallelism from an occlusal view</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>Figure 22</i>	<i>Occlusal view of implants after suturing</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>Figure 23</i>	<i>Occlusal view of bilaterally placed implants after suturing and screwing of extenders over the implant fixtures</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>Figure 24</i>	<i>Plastic Transfers placed over the extender/ analogue complex</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>Figure 25</i>	<i>Impression with analogues snapped over transfers and extenders</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>Figure 26</i>	<i>Comfort caps placed over the implants</i>	<i>82</i>
<i>Figure 27a</i>	<i>Poured cast with Plastic burnouts screwed over the implant analogues</i>	<i>84</i>

<i>Figure 27b</i>	<i>Wax pattern constructed over plastic burnout</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>Figure 28</i>	<i>Metal framework from a proximal view and an occlusal view</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>Figure 29</i>	<i>Metal framework tried in the patient's mouth and checked for fit</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>Figure 30</i>	<i>Acrylic resin constructed from an occlusal and a proximal view</i>	<i>88</i>
<i>Figure 31</i>	<i>Preload of the abutment retaining screws at 30 Ncm</i>	<i>91</i>
<i>Figure 32</i>	<i>Occlusal view of the restorations 48 hours after surgery. Immediate Progressive side shows no contact with opposing dentition while functional side shows full contact with opposing dentition</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>Figure 33</i>	<i>Buccal view of progressive side</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>Figure 34</i>	<i>Buccal view of functional side</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>Figure 35</i>	<i>Occlusal view of the restorations 2 ½ months after surgery. Note the progressive side with slight contact only in the central fossa of the occlusal surface.</i>	<i>94</i>
<i>Figure 36</i>	<i>Buccal view 2 ½ months after surgery with only light contact with opposing dentition in the progressive side (Note the shim stock 0.025 mm thickness).</i>	<i>94</i>
<i>Figure 37</i>	<i>Occlusal view of the restorations 3 months after surgery. Note the progressive side with more contact only in the central fossa of the occlusal surface than 2 weeks before.</i>	<i>96</i>
<i>Figure 38</i>	<i>Buccal view 3 months after surgery with only tighter contact with opposing dentition in the progressive side</i>	<i>96</i>
<i>Figure 39</i>	<i>Occlusal view of the restorations 3 ½ months after surgery. Note the central fossa and buccal inclines if the lingual cusps in contact with the</i>	<i>98</i>

opposing dentition in the progressive side .

Figure 40	<i>Buccal view 3 months after surgery with lingualized occlusion in the progressive side</i>	98
Figure 41	<i>Four months after surgery, progressive side is placed in full contact with opposing dentition as in the functional side</i>	100
Figure 42	<i>Buccal view of the progressive side 4 months after surgery</i>	100
Figure 43	<i>The final metal ceramic restorations delivered 9 months after surgery</i>	101
Figure 44	<i>Axial, coronal and saggital cuts. The 3-D window is also seen at the lower right aspect of the screen</i>	103
Figure 45	<i>Region Growing performed</i>	103
Figure 46	<i>3-D construction of the implants and mandible</i>	104
Figure 47	<i>Cutting Planes are constructed to bisect each implant and the bony mandible</i>	105
Figure 48	<i>Objects were cut across the planes performed. The implants and Bony mandible are cut individually</i>	106
Figure 49	<i>Objects to split was performed</i>	106
Figure 50	<i>Mandible and Implants split</i>	107
Figure 51	<i>Implants shown after being “Cut” then “Split” without the bony mandible</i>	107
Figure 52	<i>Bone height was measured using “Measure 3-D distance”</i>	108
Figure 53	<i>Real size bucco-Lingual view of bone and implants</i>	108
Figure 54	<i>Mesio-distal view of implants in the mandible</i>	109
Figure 55	<i>Online Reslicing of the project was performed to obtain panoramic view and bucco-lingual views</i>	110