

Vascular Study Of Gastrointestinal Tract In Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Patients

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of M. D. degree

In Internal Medicine

Presented By

Mary Wadie Fawzy

M. B., B. Ch., M. Sc.

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Gaafar Mohamed Ragab

Prof. of Internal Medicine

Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ali Mamdouh El – Ashmaui

Prof. of Internal Medicine

Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Hussein Hassan Okasha

Prof of Internal Medicine

Cairo University

Cairo University

2010

Abstract

Gastrointestinal symptoms are common in SLE patients, and more than half of them are caused by adverse reactions to medications and viral or bacterial infections. Patients and methods: 40 SLE patients were subjected to full clinical history and examination, kidney function, lipid profile, C 3, C4, ESR, CRP, urine analysis, 24 h urinary protein, stool analysis and culture, mesenteric , celiac , and carotid arteries doppler. In certain patients, when indicated, they underwent CT abdomen, upper and lower GIT endoscopies. 30 healthy controls were subjected to full clinical history and examination, mesenteric, celiac, carotid arteries doppler, stool analysis, ANA. Results: EDV of the mesenteric duplex is significant lower in SLE patients as compared to controls, prevalence of giardiasis in SLE patients was 30%. Biopsies of the bowel revealed 100% lymphocytic infiltration. Conclusion: increased vascular stiffness of mesenteric duplex, increased prevalence of giardiasis in SLE patients as compared to controls, all bowel biopsies revealed chronic lymphocytic infiltration.

Key words:

GIT vasculitis, Systemic lupus erythematosus

**IN THE NAME OF
GOD**

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am greatly honored to express my sincere thanks and deepest gratitude to my professor Dr. Gaafar Mohamed Ragab Professor of internal medicine, Cairo University for his fatherly concern, kind supervision and valuable instructions without which this work could not have been accomplished . He sacrificed much of his valuable time in teaching me the principles of scientific research.

I am greatly indebted to professor Dr Aly Mammdoh El ashmaui Professor of internal Medicine, Cairo University for his remarkable considerate attitude, and for the great effort he exerted and dedication in teaching me the principles of duplex ultrasonography.

Also I would like to express my gratitude to the continuous help and expert advice afforded to me by professor Dr Hussien Okasha professor of internal medicine, Cairo University in every step of this work.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Prof Dr Saied Abdalla assistant professor of internal medicine Cairo University for his great effort he exerted in this work.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Prof Dr Hatem El Haberry professor of internal medicine Cairo University for his great effort he exerted in this work.

Mary Wadie

Lists of tables

Table 1: History of SLE patients.

Table 2: Relation of GIT symptoms with renal affection, & disease duration.

Table 3: Examination of SLE patients.

Table 4: Laboratory investigation.

Table 5: Laboratory investigation.

Table 6: Imaging finding _ Abdominal ultrasound results.

Table 7: Duplex findings in SLE patient.

Table 8: Endoscopies result, with the biopsy results.

Table 9: laboratory and Duplex results in control.

Table 10: Descriptive statistics of demographic data of patients included in the study.

Table 11: Descriptive statistics of laboratory data of patients included in the study.

Table 12: Descriptive statistics of doppler of patients included in the study.

Table 13: Descriptive statistics of doppler of controls included in the study.

Table 14: Comparison between doppler of patients and controls included in the study.

Table 15: Comparison between splenomegaly, hepatomegaly and ascites of patients included in the study in relation to GIT symptoms.

Table 16: Comparison between patients and controls included in the study in relation to giardiasis.

Table 17: GIT affection of patients included in the study in relation to renal affection.

Table 18: Comparison between SLEDAI of patients included in the study with and without GIT symptoms.

Table 19: Comparison between sex, giardiasis and DNA of patients included in the study in relation to GIT symptoms.

Table 20: Comparison between doppler of patients (with and without GIT symptoms) and controls included in the study.

Table 21: Comparison between laboratory data of patients included in the study with and without GIT symptoms.

Table 22: Comparison between doppler of patients with giardiasis included in the study in relation to GIT symptoms.

Table 23: Comparison between doppler of patients included in the study in relation to giardiasis.

Table 24: SLEDAI Score.

Table 25: Drugs of the patient included in the study, and giardia infestation.

Table 26: Relation of giardiasis to immunosuppressive drugs.

Table (26 a): Comparison between mesenteric, celiac arteries doppler, and internal carotid arteries doppler, and between celiac and mesenteric arteries doppler.

List of figures

Fig 1: GIT symptoms of patients included in the study.

Fig 2: drugs of patients included in the study.

Fig 3: Relation of giardia percent in relation to immunosuppressive drugs
Stomach biopsy of patients included in the study.

Fig 4: Upper endoscopy results of patients included in the study.

Fig 5: Results of duodenal biopsy inpatients included in the study

List of pictures

Pic 1: Stomach biopsy showing edema of lamina propria, lymphoplasmocytic infiltration magnification is 1000.

Pic 2: lymphoplasmocytic infiltration

Pic 3,4: Lymphocytic infiltration

Pict 5: colonic biopsy showing edema of lamina propria, and lymphoplasmocytic infiltrations.

Pic 6: same finding with 1000 magnification.

Pic 7: lymphocytic infiltration of the duodenum.

Pic 8: villous atrophy.

Pic 9: colonic biopsy with lymphocytic infiltration.

Pic 10: Doppler and U/S machine HDI 5000.

Content

Abbreviation:	I-III
Chepter I	1-27
Chepter II	28-34
Chepter III	35-50
Patients and methods	51-55
Results	56-104
Discussion	105-120
Summary	121-123
References	124-149
Arabic summary	124-149

Abbreviations

Abd (In tables):	Abdomen
ACL:	Anticardiolipin
AECA:	Anti-endothelial cell antibodies
ANA:	Antinuclear antibody
ANCA:	Antineutrophilic cytoplasmic antibodies
Anti NCS:	Anti-nucleosome
AVN:	Avascular necrosis
AZA:	Azathioprine
C 3:	Complement 3
CD:	Cluster of differentiation
Ce:	Celiac
Cm/Sec:	Centimeter per second
CMI:	Chronic mesenteric ischemia
CRP:	C- reactive protein
CSF:	Cerebrospinal fluid
CT:	Computed tomography
CVD:	Cardiovascular disease
CYC:	Cyclophosphamide
DM:	Diabetes mellitus
DNA:	Deoxyribonucleic acid
E (In Tables):	Edema
EDV:	End diastolic velocity
ESR:	Erythrocyte sedimentation rate
F:	Female
Ga:	Gallium
GI:	Gastrointestinal

GIT:	Gastrointestinal tract
Gm:	Gram
H. pylori:	Helicobacter pylori
HB:	Hemoglobin
HM:	Hepatomegaly
HPF:	High power field
Ig:	Immunoglobulin
IL:	Interleukin
L%:	Lymphocyte percent
LA:	Lupus anticoagulant
Lc (In tables):	Left carotid
LMV:	Lupus mesenteric vasculitis
M:	Male
MD (In tables)	Mesenteric doppler
MHC:	Major histocompatibility
MMF:	Mycophenolate mofetil
N:	Neutrophil
No:	Number
Pic:	Picture
PLt:	Platelet
PSV:	Peak systolic velocity
R (in tables):	Raynaud`s
RBCs:	Red blood cells
Rc (In tables):	Right carotid
RI:	Resistivity index
RNA:	Ribonucleic acid
RNP:	Ribonucleic protein
RR:	Respiratory rate
RT:	Right

SLE:	Systemic lupus erythematosus
SLEDAI:	Systemic lupus disease activity index
SM:	Splenomegaly
SMA:	Superior mesenteric artery
TBM:	Tangible body macrophages
Tg:	Triglyceride
TNF α :	Tumor necrosis factor alpha
TTP:	Thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura
U/S:	Ultrasound

Chapter I

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus is an autoimmune disorder characterized by multisystem microvascular inflammation with the generation of autoantibodies (**Rahman et al., 2008**), that affects virtually any organ in the body. The immunological dysregulation typical of SLE is characterized by polyclonal B-cell activation, production of pathogenic auto-antibodies, and an impaired cell-mediated immunity resulting from T-lymphocyte and antigen-presenting cell abnormalities (**Mok et al., 2003**). SLE is a chronic, recurrent, potentially fatal multisystem inflammatory disorder that can be difficult to diagnose (**Edworthy, 2001**). SLE is a prototypic autoimmune disease characterized by the production of antibodies to components of the cell nucleus in association with a diverse array of clinical manifestations. The primary pathological findings in patients with SLE are those of inflammation, vasculitis, immune complex deposition, and vasculopathy (**Mok et al., 2003**).

Epidemiology:

The frequency of SLE varies by race and ethnicity with higher rates reported among black and hispanic people (**Balluz et al., 2001**). Although the prevalence of SLE is high in black persons in the United Kingdom, the disease is rarely reported among blacks who live in Africa (**symmons, 1995**). SLE frequently starts in women of childbearing age, with a female to male ratio of 9:1 (**Nguyen et al., 2004**), and the use of exogenous hormones has been associated with lupus onset and flares. The risk of SLE development in men is similar to that in prepubertal or postmenopausal women (**castenbader et al., 2007**).

A familial predisposition to SLE has been identified (**Gray et al., 2000**), the concordance rate for lupus is 25% among monozygotic twins and approximately 2% among dizygotic twins (**sullivan, 2000**), these rates indicate that a genetic contribution is important, but it is not sufficient to cause the disease. Many genes that probably contribute to lupus have been identified by means of whole genome scans from families in which multiple members have lupus (**Namjou et al., 2007**).

Etiology:

Though certain susceptibility factors and triggering events like the hormonal milieu or exposure to ultraviolet radiation have been identified, the exact etiology of SLE remains elusive. A strong genetic basis has to be assumed, and several genetic polymorphisms have been suspected to contribute to SLE susceptibility (**Prokunina et al., 2004**).

There are mechanisms by which lupus is thought to develop: genetic, predisposition, environmental triggers, sex hormones, hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis and drug reaction (drug induced lupus).

1)Genetic predisposition:

The concordance of SLE in identical twins, the increase in frequency of SLE among first degree relatives, and the increased risk of developing the disease in siblings of SLE patients reflects a polygenic inheritance of the disease. In a small proportion of patients < 5%, a single gene may be responsible (**Walport et al., 1998**). However most of the SLE patients require multiple genes. It is estimated that at least four susceptibility genes are needed for the development of the disease (**Mok et al., 2003**).

Genes of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC), particularly HLA A1, B8 and DR3 have been linked to lupus. The response of a