

**OPTIMIZING OF NITROGEN FERTILIZATION  
UNDER DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM  
IN SANDY SOIL USING NUCLEAR  
TECHNIQUES**

By

**AHMED EL SAYED FAHMY MOHAMED**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural Engineering), Zagazig University, 2000

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Land and Water Management: Irrigated Agric.), Bari, Italy, 2006

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment  
Of  
The Requirements for the Degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
in  
Agriculture Sciences  
(On Farm Irrigation and Drainage Engineering)**

**Department of Agricultural Engineering  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Shams University**

**2016**

**Approval Sheet**

**OPTIMIZING OF NITROGEN FERTILIZATION  
UNDER DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM  
IN SANDY SOIL USING NUCLEAR  
TECHNIQUES**

By

**AHMED EL SAYED FAHMY MOHAMED**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural Engineering), Zagazig University, 2000

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Land and Water Management: Irrigated Agric.), Bari, Italy, 2006

**This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:**

**Dr. Gamal Hassan El Sayed** .....

Head of Research Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Engineering Research Institute. Agricultural Research Center.

**Dr. Mohamed El Sayed Galal** .....

Prof. of Soil Sciences, Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

**Dr. Yasser Ezzat Arafa** .....

Associate Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

**Dr. Abdel-Ghany Mohamed El-Gindy** .....

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

**Date of Examination: 4 / 6 / 2016**

# **OPTIMIZING OF NITROGEN FERTILIZATION UNDER DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM IN SANDY SOIL USING NUCLEAR TECHNIQUES**

By

**AHMED EL SAYED FAHMY MOHAMED**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural Engineering), Zagazig University, 2000

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Land and Water Management: Irrigated Agric.), Bari, Italy, 2006

**Under the Supervision of:**

**Dr. Abdel-Ghany Mohamed El-Gindy**

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. (Principal Supervisor)

**Dr. Yasser Ezzat Arafa**

Associate Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

**Dr. Hussein Ahmed Abdel Aziz**

Prof. of Soil Sciences, Department of Soils and Water Research, Nuclear Research Center, Atomic Energy Authority

## ABSTRACT

**Ahmed El Sayed Fahmy Mohamed: Optimizing of Nitrogen Fertilization under Drip Irrigation System in Sandy Soil Using Nuclear Techniques. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.**

Sand soil is characterized as poor fertile and no structured one therefore irrigation water may lose rapidly from the soil profile. Cultivation of such soils needs more attention to be paid for water and nutrient, especially nitrogen, management. In this regard, nitrogen management should provide an adequate supply for a crop throughout the growing season. If the amount of nitrogen is limiting at any time, there is a potential for loss in production. This work aimed to improve nitrogen efficiency as affected by irrigation water regime, N forms and rates (splitted doses). Therefore, a field experiment on sandy soil was conducted to trace the beneficial effects of urea and ammonium sulfate fertilizers added at different rates on pea growth and nutritional values as interacted with different water regimes. <sup>15</sup>Nisotope dilution technique was followed to distinguish between the different N proportions derived to pea plants and in the same time estimating the efficient use of both two nitrogen forms (%NUE). Water regime and fertilization treatments were applied under surface drip irrigation system. Two water regimes represented 100% and 75% of water requirement in combination with three N fertilizer rates, i.e. N<sub>0</sub>, N<sub>100</sub> and N<sub>75</sub> were applied.

The overall means of seed yield as affected by nitrogen fertilization treatments represent relative increase accounted for 45.8%, 38.7%, 41.7% and 36.2% over the unfertilized control for Urea<sub>100</sub>, Urea<sub>75</sub>, Ammonium Sulfate<sub>100</sub> and Ammonium Sulfate<sub>75</sub>, respectively. It seems that 100% water regime (W1) made nitrogen fertilizer, especially with high rate, more available for plant uptake comparing to the low water quantity regime. Pea crop had accumulated more nitrogen from urea comparing to ammonium sulfate fertilizer. Nitrogen uptake, in general, significantly correlated to application rate. W1 water regime in combination with ammonium sulfate fertilizer resulted in the best percentage or absolute values of N derived from

fertilizer by pea seeds. It was clear that efficient use of ammonium sulfate, to some extent, doesn't affected by different water regimes. This holds true either at 100% or 75% application rates. On the other hand, urea added at rate of 75% was more efficiently used by seeds than those added at rate of 100% of the recommended rate.

Application of 75% of Etc (W2) treatment gave a remarkable yield and pronounced water saving therefore it is technically and economically recommended. For instant, the overall mean of water regime indicated that seed yield ( $2184.4 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) achieved by W1 (100%) was nearly closed to those obtained with W2 (75%) ( $2167.4 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ).

**Key words:**

<sup>15</sup>N, Irrigation Regimes, Nitrogen Fertilizer Form, Pea and Water use efficiency.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The author would like to express his deep thanks and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Abdel-Ghany Mohamed El-Gindy and Dr. Yasser Ezzat Arafa, Prof emeritus and Associate Prof at Agricultural Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for suggestion the point of study and continuous encouragement that help and giving him the possibility to complete this study.

Also deep thanks to Prof. Dr. Hussein Ahmed Abdelaziz, Head of Soils & Water Research Department, Radioisotope Application Division, Nuclear Research Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Cairo, Egypt. Due to this work would not have been possible without his support and sustain. This acknowledgment is extended to Prof. Dr. Yehia Galal M. Galal, Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Moniem and Dr. Abdel-Tawab Zedan for their great efforts to facilitate the requirements of the work.

There are many people helped and contributed to complete this work, the author want to take the opportunity to thanks them all, especially who helped me in away or another in the completion of this work. The author sincerely thankful to all staff members of Agricultural Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University and Soils & Water Researches Department, Atomic Energy Authority.

## CONTENTS

Items	Page
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	iv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	v
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	vii
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	3
1. Irrigation management	3
1.1. Irrigation scheduling	4
1.2. Water use efficiency	5
2. Drip Irrigation System	5
3. Sandy Soil Characteristics	7
3.1. Fertilization of Sandy Soils	7
4. Nitrogen Fertilization	8
4.1. Nitrogen Management in Soils	8
4.2. Nitrogen Management in Agriculture	11
5. Nuclear Techniques Methods	14
5.1. Neutron Scattering Method	14
5.2. Application of $^{15}\text{N}$ stable isotope dilution technique	16
5.2.1. Isotopic techniques in N fertilizer use efficiency studies	17
5.2.2. Measurements needed for experiments with $^{15}\text{N}$	18
5.2.3. Calculations for experiments with $^{15}\text{N}$	18
5.2.4. Quantification of fertilizer N uptake	18
5.2.5. Urea-N movement with water distribution	19
6. Mathematical Modeling of Water Flow	19
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	24
1. Description of the site	24
2. Soil analysis	24
2.1. Soil mechanical physical properties	24

3. Irrigation treatments (Water application rate)	24
4. Drip irrigation system components	26
5. Experimental layout	26
6. Soil preparation and fertilization	27
7. Experimental design and statistical analysis	27
8. Description of field calibration of neutron moisture meter and modeling techniques	29
8.1. Neutron calibration of neutron moisture meter	29
9. Mathematical Modeling	32
9.1. Image of Russian Drip Irrigation Model Program (SAD)	32
9.2. Water requirements for SAD programs	34
10. Measurements and calculations	35
10.1. Emission uniformity (EU)	35
10.2. Soil moisture distribution	36
10.3. Use of $^{15}\text{N}$ technique	37
10.3.1. Measurement of nitrogen stable isotope	37
10.4. Plant measurements	37
10.5. Water use efficiency (WUE)	37
11. Isotope dilution	38
11.1. Principles and equations	38
11.2. $^{15}\text{N}$ -Analysis	38
<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	39
1. Neutron Calibration Curve	39
2. Mathematical model (VBKAP, SAD)	43
3. Effect of water regimes and Nitrogen fertilization treatments on vegetative growth parameters	45
3.1. Shoot length	45
3.2. Root length	46
4. Effect of water regime on Pea yield	48
5. Dry weight of pea plant	50



### III

<b>5.1. Root and Shoot dry weight</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>6. Water Use Efficiency (WUE) and water productivity</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>7. Effect of Irrigation Water Rates on Nitrogen Uptake</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>8. Nitrogen use efficiency (%NUE)</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

No.	Items	Page
1	Some physical characteristics of the soil of the experimental site	24
2	Applied amounts of irrigation water for Pea crop at different growing stages under studied area condition	25
3	Regression equation of calibration curves of the 5 locations around emitter and for the different soil depths under studied	31
4	Data for calculating emission uniformity for the drip irrigation system under study	35
5	Shoot length (cm) as affected by water regime, N fertilizer form and rates under drip irrigation system	45
6	Root length (cm) as affected by water regime, N fertilizer form and rates under drip irrigation system	46
7	Seed yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) of Pea crop as affected by water regime, N fertilizer form and rates under drip irrigation system	49
8	Root and shoot dry weight ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) as affected by water regime, N fertilizer form and rates under drip irrigation system	51
9	Water Productivity and Water use Efficiency as $\text{kg/m}^3$ under pea crop affected by water regime and nitrogen fertilization strategy	53
10	Nitrogen Uptake by pea roots, shoots, and seeds ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) as affected by nitrogen form and rates and irrigation water regimes	55
11	Nitrogen derived from fertilizer by root, shoot and seeds of pea crop (% and $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) as affected by water regime and nitrogen form and rates under drip irrigation system	59
12	Nitrogen use efficiency (%NUE) by root, shoot and seeds of pea crop as affected by water regime and nitrogen form and rates under drip irrigation system	61

## ***LIST OF FIGURES***

<b>No.</b>	<b>Items</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>1</b>	Experimental layout	28
<b>2</b>	Layout of field calibration experiment	29
<b>3</b>	Schematic drawing of Neutron Moisture Meter CPN 503 DR	30
<b>4</b>	Emission uniformity of the drip irrigation system under study	36
<b>5</b>	Neutron calibration curves for the five sites at 30 cm depth	41
<b>6</b>	Neutron calibration curves for the five sites at 45 cm depth	41
<b>7</b>	Neutron calibration curves for the five sites at 60 cm depth	42
<b>8</b>	Neutron calibration curves for the five sites at 75 cm depth	42
<b>9</b>	Comparing Between the fielded data with the calculated program results	44
<b>10</b>	Shoot length (cm) as affected by water regime, N fertilizer form and rates under drip irrigation system	45
<b>11</b>	Root length (cm) as affected by water regime, N fertilizer form and rates under drip irrigation system	47
<b>12</b>	Seed yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) of Pea crop as affected by water regime, N fertilizer form and rates under drip irrigation system	49
<b>13</b>	Root and shoot dry weight ( $\text{kg/ha}$ ) as affected by water regime, N fertilizer form and rates under drip irrigation	52
<b>14</b>	Water use Efficiency as $\text{kg/m}^3$ under pea crop affected by water regime and nitrogen fertilization strategy	54
<b>15</b>	Water productivity as $\text{kg/m}^3$ under pea crop affected by water regime and nitrogen fertilization strategy	54
<b>16</b>	Nitrogen Uptake of Pea Roots, Shoots, and Seeds ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) as affected by Nitrogen form and rates and Irrigation Water Regimes	57
<b>17</b>	Nitrogen derived from fertilizer by root, shoot and seeds of pea crop ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) as affected by water regime and nitrogen form and rates under drip irrigation system	60

- 18** Nitrogen use efficiency (%NUE) by root, shoot and seeds of pea crop as affected by water regime and nitrogen form and rates under drip irrigation system 63

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Symbol	Name
<b>q</b>	= Discharge rate of emitter (lph)
<b>Kd</b>	= A coefficient specific to each emitter
<b>CV</b>	= Coefficient of manufacturing variation
<b>q<sub>avg</sub></b>	= Mean emitter flow rate (lph)
<b>MD</b>	= Deviations of the single readings from the mean value, qm
<b>mu</b>	= Minimum emitter discharge of test sample operated at the reference pressure (lph)
<b>qa</b>	= Average emitter discharge (lph)
<b>H<sub>m</sub></b>	= Minimum emitter operating pressure (m)
<b>H<sub>a</sub></b>	= Average emitter operating pressure (m)
<b>Q<sub>12.5%</sub></b>	= Is the mean precipitation value in 12.5% emitters with the highest flow rate
<b>EU</b>	= Emission uniformity [%]
<b>R</b>	= The radius of the neutron sphere in cm
<b>v</b>	= The volumetric moisture content expressed as %
<b>ETo</b>	= Reference evapotranspiration
<b>ETc</b>	= Crop evapotranspiration
<b>kc</b>	= Crop coefficient
<b>W1</b>	= Treatment 100 % ETc
<b>W2</b>	= Treatment 75 % ETc
	= Mean discharge of emitters(l/h)
<b>S</b>	= Standard deviation of emitters discharge
<b>xi</b>	= Emitter discharge (l/h)
<b>n</b>	= Number of emitters
<b>Q<sub>n</sub></b>	= Mean of the lowest quarter of discharge of the selected emitters (l/h)
<b>Q<sub>a</sub></b>	= Mean of the total discharge rate (l/h)
<b>N<sub>0</sub></b>	= without Fertilization
<b>N<sub>U100</sub></b>	= 100% Urea Rate
<b>N<sub>U75</sub></b>	= 75% Urea Rate
<b>N<sub>AS100</sub></b>	= 100% Ammonium Sulfate Rate
<b>N<sub>AS75</sub></b>	= 75% Ammonium Sulfate Rate
<b>Ndff</b>	= Nitrogen derived from fertilizer
<b>ds</b>	= Deficits of air humidity, mb

## VIII

**a, b** = Empirical coefficients of the regression equation  
**tr** = Relative time

## INTRODUCTION

Due to irrigation water scarcity especially at semi-arid regions in addition to low fertility of sand soil, more efforts should be paid to achieve the proper scenario of water management and optimization of chemical nitrogen fertilizers for achievement of the best crop production with reduced water supply and fertilizer requirements.

In this respect, management of irrigation water and fertilizers plays vital roles for increasing productivity and quality of agricultural crops, as well as, application of appropriate technologies such as pressurized irrigation systems and chemigation which helps in improvement of water and fertilizers use efficiencies. Neutron scattering and  $^{15}\text{N}$  techniques help for developing out the distribution of irrigation water in the soil profile, soil water and nitrogen fluxes, which helps to use water and fertilizers modeling under Egyptian conditions.

$^{15}\text{N}$  isotope dilution technique was followed to distinguish between the different N proportions derived to pea plants and in the same time estimating the efficient use of both two nitrogen forms (%NUE). Water regime and fertilization treatments were applied under surface drip irrigation system. Two water regimes represented 100% and 75% of water requirement in combination with three N fertilizer rates, i.e.  $\text{N}_0$ ,  $\text{N}_{100}$  and  $\text{N}_{75}$  were applied. The proper management of irrigation water and fertilizer resulted in increasing and improving of plant productivity and in the same time, minimizing the environmental hazardous impact. The proper management, in this respect, may induced an increase of water and/or fertilizer use efficiency (WUE % and FUE %).

The movement of water within soil profile is of great importance in utilizing, designing and managing of irrigation system networks. These processes are very dynamic, changing dramatically over time and space. Soil properties and water application rates interact in complex ways within the soil system to determine the direction and rate of movement of the water. Researchers have