

**VARIATION AND COMBINING ABILITY AMONG  
MAIZE INBRED LINES UNDER DROUGHT  
STRESS IN RELATION TO BIOCHEMICAL  
PARAMETERS AS MARKER ASSISTED  
SELECTION**

By

**MAHMOUD SHAWKY ABD EL-LATIF**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Al-Azhar University, 2004

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment**

**of**

**the requirements for the degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

**in**

**Agricultural Science  
(Agronomy)**

**Department of Agronomy**

**Faculty of Agriculture**

**Ain Shams University**

**2011**

**Approval Sheet**

**VARIATION AND COMBINING ABILITY AMONG  
MAIZE INBRED LINES UNDER DROUGHT  
STRESS IN RELATION TO BIOCHEMICAL  
PARAMETERS AS MARKER ASSISTED  
SELECTION**

By

**MAHMOUD SHAWKY ABD EL-LATIF**  
B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Al-Azhar University, 2004

**This thesis for M. Sc. degree has been approved by:**

**Dr. Mohamed Reda Ali Shabana** .....  
Prof. Emeritus of Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo  
University

**Dr. Kamal Abd El-Aziz El Shouny** .....  
Prof. Emeritus of Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams  
University

**Dr. Mostafa Fazaa Ahmed** .....  
Associate Prof. of Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams  
University

**Dr. Ali Mohamed Esmail** .....  
Prof. Emeritus of Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams  
University

**Date of Examination: 6/9/2011**

# **VARIATION AND COMBINING ABILITY AMONG MAIZE INBRED LINES UNDER DROUGHT STRESS IN RELATION TO BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS AS MARKER ASSISTED SELECTION**

By

**MAHMOUD SHAWKY ABD EL-LATIF**  
B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Al-Azhar University, 2004

**Under the supervision of:**

**Dr. Ali Mohamed Esmail**

Prof. Emeritus of Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

**Dr. Mostafa Fazaa Ahmed**

Associate Prof. of Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

**Dr. Hamdy Youssef El-Sherbieny**

Head of Research Emeritus, Field Crops Research Institute,  
Agricultural Research Center

## ABSTRACT

**Mahmoud Shawky Abd El-Latif: Variation and Combining Ability among Maize Inbred Lines under Drought Stress in Relation to Biochemical Parameters as Marker Assisted Selection. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2011.**

Twenty eight maize hybrids and their parental lines were evaluated under normal and drought at The Experimental Farms at Sakha and Sids Station of the Agriculture Research Center (ARC) in 2009 growing season.

Significant differences were detected between irrigation treatments at Sides location only for earliness traits (days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking and anthesis-silking interval) while, such significant differences were detected at both locations for other studied traits. Significant differences were also observed among genotypes, parents and crosses for all studied traits under both environments at each of the two locations (except anthesis-silking interval under normal irrigation, ears per plant under each water regimes and stay green under drought at Sakha). The interactions of genotypes, parents and crosses with the two irrigation regimes were generally significant for most studied traits (except anthesis-silking interval, plant height and ear height at Sakha).

Both general (GCA) and specific combining abilities (SCA) under each and both water regimes at each of the two locations for studied traits (except anthesis-silking under normal irrigation at Sakha, ears per plant under both regimes at the two locations and stay green under drought at Sakha) were significant. The two types of gene actions interact differently from one location to another in varying manner for studied traits. The relative importance of any of the two types of gene action to the other (GCA/SCA ratio) fluctuates (more or less than unity) from one location to another for the varying traits.

Drought sensitivity index indicated that, the best drought tolerant parents were Gz-628, Sd-34 and Gm-2 and the best drought tolerant hybrids were Sd-7×Sd-63, Sd-63×Gm-18, Sd-34×Sd-63, Gz-602×Gz-628 and Gz-628×Sd-34 which gave the highest yield under drought condition. The best general combiners for grain yield per plant and some of the other studied traits under drought conditions were Gz-628 and Gm-4. The best SCA hybrids under drought conditions for grain yield per plant and some of the other studied traits were Sd-7×Sd-63, Gz-602×Sd-34 and Sd-7×Gm-4. Protein electrophoresis showed that, the electrophoretic patterns of water soluble proteins could be a useful tool for the identification and characterization of the tolerant maize genotypes which differed in number and molecular weight of bands from normal to drought conditions.

**Key Words:** Maize, Combining ability, Drought sensitivity index, Drought tolerance, Protein Electrophoresis.

**التباين والقدرة على الإنتلاف بين سلالات الذرة الشامية تحت ظروف  
الجفاف وعلاقتها بالشواهد البيوكيماوية كدلائل إنتخابية**

رسالة مقدمة من

**محمود شوقي عبداللطيف**

بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (محاصيل) ، جامعة الأزهر ، 2004

للحصول على

**درجة الماجستير في العلوم الزراعية  
( محاصيل )**

قسم المحاصيل

كلية الزراعة

جامعة عين شمس

2011

صفحة الموافقة على الرسالة  
التباين والقدرة على الإنتلاف بين سلالات الذرة الشامية تحت ظروف  
الجفاف وعلاقتها بالشواهد البيوكيماوية كدلائل إنتخابية

رسالة مقدمة من

محمود شوقي عبداللطيف

بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (محاصيل)، جامعة الأزهر، 2004

للحصول على  
درجة الماجستير في العلوم الزراعية  
( محاصيل )

وقد تمت مناقشة الرسالة والموافقة عليها

اللجنة:

- ..... د. محمد رضا على شبانة  
أستاذ المحاصيل المتفرغ، كلية الزراعة، جامعة القاهرة
- ..... د. كمال عبد العزيز الشونى  
أستاذ المحاصيل غير المتفرغ، كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس
- ..... د. مصطفى فزاع أحمد  
أستاذ المحاصيل المساعد، كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس
- ..... د. على محمد اسماعيل  
أستاذ المحاصيل المتفرغ، كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس

تاريخ المناقشة: 2011/9/6

جامعة عين شمس  
كلية الزراعة

رسالة ماجستير

اسم الطالب: محمود شوقي عبداللطيف  
عنوان الرسالة: التباين والقدرة على الإنتلاف بين سلالات الذرة الشامية  
تحت ظروف الجفاف وعلاقتها بالشواهد البيوكيماوية  
كدلائل إنتخابية  
اسم الدرجة: ماجستير في العلوم الزراعية (محاصيل)

لجنة الإشراف:

د. علي محمد إسماعيل  
أستاذ تربية المحاصيل المتفرغ ، قسم المحاصيل ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس  
(المشرف الرئيسي)  
د. مصطفى فزاع أحمد  
أستاذ تربية المحاصيل المساعد ، قسم المحاصيل ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس  
د. حمدي يوسف الشربيني  
رئيس بحوث متفرغ ، معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية ، مركز البحوث الزراعية  
تاريخ التسجيل: 2006/9/11

الدراسات العليا

أُجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ

2011/ ٩ / ٦

موافقة مجلس الجامعة

2011/ /

ختم الإجازة

موافقة مجلس الكلية

2011/ /



## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, ultimate thanks are due to **ALLAH**, who without his aid this work can not be done.

The author wishes to express his deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to his supervisor **Prof. Dr. Ali Mohamed Esmail**, Professor Emeritus of Plant Breeding, Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University and **Dr. Mostafa Fazaa Ahmed**, Associate Prof. of Plant Breeding, Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the problem, supervision, valuable help throughout the course of this study, helping me to set up the plan of this study and to put my thesis in its final form. Thanks are also due to **Dr. Hamdy Youssef El- Sherbeiny** Head of Research Emeritus at maize Section, Field Crops Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center (ARC), for his supervision and useful help in the experimental designs and Statistical analyses during the period of this study.

Sincere thanks are expressed to all Staff members of Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Particular thanks are also, extended to all staff members at Giza, Sakha and Sids Agric. Res. Station, Egypt. for their help, advice and their cooperation.

Special thanks are due to my family for their encouragement and their help during the hard works of this study.

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1. Drought tolerance.....	3
2.2. Water stress effects on maize characters.....	4
2.3. Combining ability under well watered and water stressed environments...	8
2.4. Selection criteria for drought tolerance.....	17
2.5. SDS-protein electrophoresis.....	21
<b>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1. Analysis of variance.....	35
4.2. Mean performance.....	49
4.3. General combining ability effects .....	64
4.4. Specific combining ability effects .....	72
4.5. Protein electrophoresis.....	84
<b>5. SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>6. REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

No.		Pages
1.	Designation, pedigree, origin and response to water stress of the eight inbred lines used as parents.	25
2.	Analysis of variance of parents and $F_1$ 's including expectation of mean squares.	30
3.	Mean squares for days to 50% anthesis under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	37
4.	Mean squares for days to 50% silking under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	38
5.	Mean squares for anthesis-silking interval under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	39
6.	Mean squares for plant height under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	40
7.	Mean squares for ear height under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	41
8.	Mean squares for number of ears per plant under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	42
9.	Mean squares for number of rows per ear under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	43
10.	Mean squares for number of kernels per row under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	44
11.	Mean squares for 100-kernel weight under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	45
12.	Mean squares for grain yield per plant under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	46
13.	Mean squares for stay green under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	47
14.	Mean squares for chlorophyll content under normal irrigation, drought stress and over both irrigation regimes at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	48
15.	Mean performance of the eight parents and 28 $F_1$ 's for days to 50% anthesis and days to 50% silking under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	56
16.	Mean performance of the eight parents and 28 $F_1$ 's for anthesis-silking interval under normal irrigation (N) and	57

	drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	
17.	Mean performance of the eight parents and 28 F <sub>1</sub> 's for plant height and ear height under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	58
18.	Mean performance of the eight parents and 28 F <sub>1</sub> 's for number of ears per plant and number of rows per ear under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	59
19.	Mean performance of the eight parents and 28 F <sub>1</sub> 's for number of kernels per row and 100-kernel weight (g) under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	60
20.	Mean performance of the eight parents and 28 F <sub>1</sub> 's for grain yield per plant (GYP) and drought sensitivity index (DSI) under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	61
21.	Mean performance of the eight parents and 28 F <sub>1</sub> 's for stay green and chlorophyll content (mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	62
22.	Mean performance of the eight parents and 28 F <sub>1</sub> 's for Leaf proline content (mg/g) under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	63
23.	Estimates of general combining ability effects for days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking and anthesis-silking interval for the eight parental lines under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	68
24.	Estimates of general combining ability effects for plant height, ear height and number of ears per plant for the eight parental lines under normal irrigation and drought stress at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	69
25.	Estimates of general combining ability effects for number of rows per ear, number of kernels per row and 100-kernel weight for the eight parental lines under normal irrigation and drought stress at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	70
26.	Estimates of general combining ability effects for grain yield per plant, stay green and chlorophyll content for the eight parental lines under normal irrigation and drought stress at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	71
27.	Estimates of specific combining ability effects for days to 50% anthesis and days to 50% silking for the 28 F <sub>1</sub> 's under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.	78
28.	Estimates of specific combining ability effects for anthesis-silking interval and plant height for the 28 F <sub>1</sub> 's under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season	79
	Estimates of specific combining ability effects for ear height	80

29. and number of ears per plant for the 28 F<sub>1</sub>'s evaluated under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season.
30. Estimates of specific combining ability effects for number of rows per ear and number of kernels per row for the 28 F<sub>1</sub>'s under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season. **81**
31. Estimates of specific combining ability effects for 100-kernel weight and grain yield per plant for the 28 F<sub>1</sub>'s under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season. **82**
32. Estimates of specific combining ability effects for stay green and chlorophyll content for the 28 F<sub>1</sub>'s under normal irrigation (N) and drought stress (D) at each of Sakha and Sids in 2009 season. **83**
33. Densitometer analysis of water soluble proteins (SDS-PAGE) showing number of bands (B.no.) and molecular weight (Mw) for some sensitive (S) and tolerant (T) maize genotypes under normal (N) and drought (D) conditions. **86**

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Pages</b>
<b>Figure (1)</b> SDS Electrophoresis patterns of water soluble protein in the eight maize genotypes under normal and drought conditions.	<b>87</b>

## INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world as well as in Egypt. Worldwide, the total acreage of maize was 160.65 million hectares in 2009; the total production was 791.5 million tons, with an average productivity of 4.93 tons of grain ha<sup>-1</sup> (**Report of USDA, 2010**). According to this report, Egypt grew 0.72 million hectares (1.71 million feddans) and produced 6.17 million tons of grains, with an average yield of 8.58 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (25.24 ardabs/feddan). According to the same report, Egypt ranks the fourth in the world with respect of average productivity after USA, France and Italy. However, the local production of maize is not sufficient to satisfy the local consumption. So Egypt imports every year about five million tons of maize grains. The problem in the future is that there will be no available maize grain in the producing countries for export, because they will use it in the manufacture of ethanol; a new alternate energy source.

To reach self-sufficiency of maize production in Egypt, efforts are devoted to extend the acreage of maize; in the new reclaimed desert soils and to improve the maize productivity from unit area. Growing maize in the sandy soils of the desert which is characterized by low water holding capacity would expose maize plants to drought stress and cause great losses in grain yield.

Breeding drought tolerant hybrids is discouraged by a perception that drought tolerant hybrids are low yielding in non-drought environments, implying that a yield penalty occurs when irrigation water is adequate. Drought tolerant germplasm might be specifically adapted to low yield environments. **Moreno et al., (2005)** reported that traits that confer drought tolerance lack positive relationship with high yield potential. Hybrids with high yield potential in both drought and non-drought conditions are desired. Possibly, such hybrids could be developed by combining drought tolerant lines with lines that were selected for high general combining ability (GCA) for yield potential under normal