DOPPLER ULTRASOUND OF HEPATIC HAEMODYNAMICS FOR NON-INVASIVE EVALUATION OF LIVER FIBROSIS

Thesis submitted For Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree in Internal Medicine

By

Noura Abd el Hafeez Ahmed Ali

M.B.B.Ch

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. TAREK MAGED AHMED EL SAKATY

Professor of Gastroenterology and Hepatology - Internal Medicine

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. MARCEL WILLIAM KEDDEAS

Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. NEVINE IBRAHIM MUSA IBRAHIM

Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University

2015

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I always feel indebted to "ALLAH" the most beneficent and merciful.

Words cannot express the depth of my gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Maged Ahmed El Sakaty**, professor of gastroenterology and hepatology, internal medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for giving me the honor of working under his supervision and for his valuable suggestions, generous assistance, kind support and continuous encouragement throughout this work.

My great appreciation and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Marcel William Keddeas**, assistant professor of internal medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her unlimited help, kind support, valuable supervision, guidance and advice.

Words fail to express my sincere gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Nevine Ibrahim Musa Ibrahim*, assistant professor of internal medicine,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her valuable supervision,
active participation, great help and assistance to complete this work.

My great appreciation and thanks to *Dr. Mohammed Ali El-Beltagy*, M Sc. Diagnostic Radiology, Mansoura University, for his unlimited help, support and honest assistance to complete this work.

Finally, I would like to express my appreciation to my family who indirectly gave a hand in achievement of this work.

List of Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	3
Review of literature	4
Patients and Methods	58
Results	62
Discussion	90
Summary and Conclusion	98
Recommendation	101
References	102
Arabic summary	

List of Figures

Figure		Page
Fig. (1)	(A) normal hepatic architecture (B) changes associated with advanced hepatic fibrosis following chronic injury	7
Fig. (2)	Process of fibrogenesis	12
<u>Figures</u>	of Results	
Fig. (1)	Gender of the studied patients	64
	·	_
Fig. (2)	METAVIR score of the studied patients	65
Fig. (3)	Spleen size of the studied patients	66
Fig. (4)	HV waveform of the studied patients	67
Fig. (5)	Triphasic HV waveform	68
Fig. (6)	Biphasic HV waveform	69
Fig. (7)	Monophasic HV waveform	70
Fig. (8)	Relation between HV waveform and METAVIR score	71
Fig. (9)	Relation between HV waveform and METAVIR score	72
0 ()	comparing between the 2 studied groups	
Fig. (10)	Relation between HV waveform and METAVIR score	74
6. (==/	for detection of significant fibrosis ($F \ge 2$)	
Fig. (11)	Relation between HV waveform and spleen size	75
	·	73 77
Fig. (12)	Relation between HARI and METAVIR score	
Fig. (13)	ROC curve figure to determine the best cutoff value	78
	of HARI in differentiation between patients with F 0,	
	1, 2, 3 (group 1) and those with F4 (group 2)	
Fig. (14)	Scatter curve show cutoff value, sensitivity and	79
	specificity of HARI to predict cirrhosis (F4)	

ROC curve figure to determine the best cutoff value	80		
of HARI in differentiation between patients with F 0, 1			
and those with F 2, 3, 4			
Scatter curve show cutoff value, sensitivity and	81		
specificity of HARI to predict significant fibrosis (F≥ 2)			
Relation between SARI and METAVIR score	82		
ROC curve figure to determine the best cutoff value	83		
of SARI in differentiation between patients with F 0, 1,			
2, 3 (group 1) and those with F4 (group 2)			
Scatter curve show cutoff value, sensitivity and	84		
specificity of SARI to predict cirrhosis (F4)			
ROC curve figure to determine the best cutoff value	85		
of SARI in differentiation between patients with F 0, 1			
and those with F 2, 3, 4			
Scatter curve show cutoff value, sensitivity and	86		
specificity of SARI to predict significant fibrosis (F≥ 2)			
Comparison between AUC for HARI and SARI to	87		
predict cirrhosis (F4)			
Comparison between AUC for HARI and SARI to	88		
predict significant fibrosis (F≥ 2)			
	of HARI in differentiation between patients with F 0, 1 and those with F 2, 3, 4 Scatter curve show cutoff value, sensitivity and specificity of HARI to predict significant fibrosis (F≥ 2) Relation between SARI and METAVIR score ROC curve figure to determine the best cutoff value of SARI in differentiation between patients with F 0, 1, 2, 3 (group 1) and those with F4 (group 2) Scatter curve show cutoff value, sensitivity and specificity of SARI to predict cirrhosis (F4) ROC curve figure to determine the best cutoff value of SARI in differentiation between patients with F 0, 1 and those with F 2, 3, 4 Scatter curve show cutoff value, sensitivity and specificity of SARI to predict significant fibrosis (F≥ 2) Comparison between AUC for HARI and SARI to predict cirrhosis (F4) Comparison between AUC for HARI and SARI to		

List of Tables

Table		Page
Table (1)	Diagnostic workup of the common causes of cirrhosis	15
Table (2)	Staging systems for liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C	19
Table (3)	Proposed algorithms for combining noninvasive methods for liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C	26
Table (4)	Alterations of haemodynamic parameters in cirrhosis found on Doppler ultrasound	52
Table of I	<u>Results</u>	
Table (1)	Descriptive data of different variables of the studied patients	63
Table (2)	Gender of the studied patients	64
Table (3)	METAVIR score of the studied patients	65
Table (4)	Spleen size of the studied patients	66
Table (5)	HV waveform of the studied patients	67
Table (6)	Relation between HV waveform and METAVIR score	71
Table (7)	Relation between HV waveform and METAVIR score comparing the 2 studied groups	72
Table (8)	Relation between HV waveform and METAVIR score for detection of significant fibrosis (F≥ 2)	73
Table (9)	Relation between HV waveform and spleen size	74
Table (10)	Relation between HV waveform and laboratory data	75
Table (11)	Relation between some laboratory data and HV waveform (Tukey's test)	76

Table (12)	Relation between HARI and METAVIR score	76
Table (13)	Relation between SARI and METAVIR score	82
Table (14)	Relation between Doppler parameters	89

List of Abbreviations

3D-US 3-Dimentional color Doppler ultrasonography

AAR AST -to- ALT ratio

ALT Alanine aminotransferase **AMA** Anti mitochondrial antibody

ANA Anti nuclear antibody
ANN Artificial neural network

ANOVA Analysis of variance

Anti-HBc Antibody to hepatitis B core antigen
Anti-HBs Antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen

Anti-HCV Antibody to hepatitis C virus
Anti-HDV Antibody to hepatitis D virus
APRI AST -to- platelet ratio index

ARFI Acoustic radiation frequency impulse imaging

AST Aspartate aminotransferase

AT 2 Angiotensin 2

AUC Area under the curve

AUROC Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve

CBC Complete blood count

CDEUS Color Doppler endoscopic ultrasound

CEUS Contrast-enhanced ultrasound

CHC Chronic hepatitis CCLD Chronic liver diseaseCT Computed tomography

CTGF Connective tissue growth factor

CV Coefficient of variation

DI Damping index

EASL European association for the study of the liver

ECM Extracellular matrix

EGF Endothelial growth factor Enhanced liver fibrosis

ERCP Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography

γGT Gamma glutamyl transpeptidase

GI Gastrointestinal HA Hepatic artery

HAPI Hepatic artery pulsatility index HARI Hepatic artery resistance index

Hb Hemoglobin

HBs AgHepatitis B surface antigenHCCHepatocellular carcinoma

HCV Hepatitis C virus

HIV Human immune deficiency virus

HSCHEpatic stellate cellHEpatic stellate cellsHTTHepatic transit time

HV Hepatic vein

HVPG Hepatic venous pressure gradient

IASL International association for the study of the liver

IgG Immunoglobulin G
IgM Immunoglobulin M

IHCT Intrahepatic circulatory time

IL 6 Interleukin 6

INR International normalized ratio

IQR Interquartile range IVC Inferior vena cava

KPa KiloPascal

MMP Matrix metalloproteinase

MRA Magnetic resonance angiography

MRCP Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography

MRE Magnetic resonance elastography

MRI Magnetic resonance imaging NASH Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

NK Natural killer
NO Nitric oxide

NPV Negative predictive value

PC Personal computer

PDGF Platelet derived growth factor

PGAA Prothrombin time, gamma glutamyl transpeptidase,

apolipoprotein A1, alpha-2 macroglobulin

PH Portal hypertension

PI Pulsatility index

PPAR γ Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma

PPV Positive predictive value

PV Portal vein

PVF Portal venous flow

PVV Portal venous velocity

RA Renal artery

RI Resistance (resistive) index

ROC Receiver operator characteristic curve

ROI Region of interest

ROS Reactive oxygen species
RTE Real time elastography

SA Splenic artery

SAFE Sequential algorithms for fibrosis evaluation

SARI Splenic artery resistance index SCD Skin to liver capsule distance

SD Standard deviation

SMA Superior mesentric artery

SPI Splenoportal index
SVF Splenic venous flow

SVV Splenic venous velocity
SWE Shear wave elastography
TE Transient elastography

TGF β Transforming growth factor beta

TIMP Tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase

TIPS Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt

TLR 4 Toll like receptor 4

TNF α Tumor necrosis factor alpha

US Ultrasound

VEGF Vascular endothelial growth factor

WBC's White blood cells



Introduction