



Ain Shams University  
Faculty of Engineering  
Design and Production Engineering Dept.

**Effect of post rolling thermo mechanical processing on  
microstructure and mechanical properties of hot rolled  
multiphase reinforcing steel bars.**

***A Thesis***

*Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for  
the degree of M.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering  
Production Engineering Department*

**By**

**Hany Abd Al Rahman Khalifa**

B.Sc. Production Engineering 1999

**Supervised By**

**Prof.Dr. Mohamed A.Taha**  
Prof. at Design & Production  
Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering,  
Ain Shams University

**Dr. Rawia M. Hammouda**  
Ass. Prof. at Design & Production  
Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering,  
Ain Shams University

**Dr. Gamal Megahed**  
Ezz steel - Suez deputy General Manager

**Cairo 2013**

# EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

The undersigned certify that they have read and recommend to the Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University for accepting a thesis, entitled as “Effect of post rolling thermo mechanical processing on microstructure and mechanical properties of hot rolled multiphase reinforcing steel bars” ( تأثير المعالجة الحرارية في ( عملية الدرفلة على البنية والخواص لحديد التسليح ). Thesis is submitted by Hany Abd Al Rahman Khalifa, in partial fulfilment of requirement for the Master of Science degree in Mechanical engineering.

## EXAMINER’S COMMITTEE

## SIGNATURE

### 1. Prof. Dr. Moustafa Abd El Moneim Chaaban

Department of Design and Production Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

### 2. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd Al Hamid Mohamed

Department of Design and Production Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering, Zagazig University

### 3. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Taha

Department of Design and Production Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

### 4. Dr. Gamal Mohamed Megahed

Ezz steel deputy General Manager

# STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University for the M.Sc. Degree in mechanical engineering, Production Engineering department.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Design and Production Engineering, Ain Shams University.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualifications at any other University or institute.

Name: Hany Abd Alrahman Khalifa

Signature:

Date: 11/07/2013

To the soul that embrace my soul,  
To the hand that kindled the flame of my life,  
To the heart that poured its secrets into my own heart,  
I dedicate this.

To the soul of my father

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his greatest appreciation to the supervisors *Prof.Dr.Mohamed A.Taha , Dr.Gamal Megahed and Dr.Rawia Moustafa Hammouda* for their guidance, sincere supervision, support and encouragement. Without their invaluable help and patience, this thesis would never have materialized.

As well, I want to thank members of *EZZ STEEL* team, Suez. The cutting, mounting, and polishing of the steel samples could not have been accomplished without their cooperation and effort.

Most importantly, I would like to recognize the contribution of my wife to this endeavor. Without her support, encouragement and morale, I would have not been able to complete or even start this process. I must also thank my daughters; *Jana and Jody* for their love, tolerance and patience during this long research effort.

Finally, I offer sincere thanks to my parents, for their unshakable faith in me.

Hany Khalifa

## Summary

A lot of effort has been spent during the last decades in order to determine the optimum methods to produce higher steel strength to meet the recent increasing demand on high strength and diverse microstructure quality steel reinforcement bars. The present work deals with Tempcore process for production of high strength steel rebars via in line quenching and self tempering process.

A mathematical model has been developed for simulating Tempcore process to produce high strength steel. The model deals with bars moving with certain speed, and quenched by pressurized cooling water. The model consists of three parts coupled together in an integrated form, the thermal model, the metallurgical model and the mechanical model. The integrated model is capable of predicting: the temperature distribution of the Tempcore treated bars over the whole cooling rout, the area cooled under martensite formation temperature and Yield Strength of the bar under variable process parameters. The model has been applied to grade B500B, to bar diameters of 10 and 16mm.

In plant, trials were conducted to express the model variables in terms of actual process parameters, namely: bar diameter, rolling finishing temperature, number of active cooling nozzles, their setting, cooling water flow rate and quenching time. A series of quenching tests were performed and the resulting microstructure and mechanical properties studied using optical microscope, microhardness measurement, and tensile tests. Comparison showed good agreement between the predicted and the measured tempering temperature, martensite volume fraction and yield strength. The model developed in the present work showed a great potential to optimize Tempcore process parameters to produce several rebar grades with high degree of flexibility. The model also could be used as a design guide to determine the suitable nozzle dimensions and their settings of each bar diameter and the desired steel grade.

In additional to model verifications the experimental work showed that the presence of martensite throughout the samples contributed to the enhanced strength of Tempcore treated bars. It is also found that the microstructure and tensile properties are affected much with varying Tempcore cooling parameters. With increasing quenching time, the self-tempering temperature decreases and the amount of martensite increases, which affect the, tensile properties. It is also found that the Tempcore process can be adapted through controlling the processing parameters to produce various steel grades with yield strength varying from 400 to 800 MPa from the same chemical composition 0.2% C and 0.6% Mn.

## LIST OF CONTENTS

Statement -----	i
Dedication -----	i i
Acknowledgment -----	i i i
Summary -----	iv
List of contents -----	v
Nomenclature -----	x
List of tables -----	xiii
List of figures -----	xiv
1.Literature survey -----	1
1.1 Introduction-----	1
1.2 Quality features of steel bars-----	1
1.3 Strengthening mechanisms of steel bars-----	2
1.3.1 Work hardening-----	2
1.3.2 Microalloying -----	2
1.3.3 Accelerated cooling -----	3
1.4 Applications of accelerated cooling -----	3
1.4.1 Temprimar processes -----	4
1.4.2 Tempcore Process -----	5
1.4.3 Comparison between Temprimar and Tempcore Process----	7
1.5 Tempcore cooling machine -----	7
1.6 Arrangement and use of water - cooling box.-----	9
1.7 Quenching nozzle -----	10
1.8 Characteristics of Tempcore cooling device.-----	12

1.9 Modeling of post rolling accelerated cooling process -----	13
1.9.1 Modeling of temperature evaluation in accelerated cooling process. -----	14
1.9.2 Modeling of microstructure evolution in accelerated cooling process -----	14
2 problem definition and work plan -----	16
2.1 Problem definition. -----	16
2.2 Problem solving -----	16
2.3 Fundamental mechanisms simulated by the model -----	17
2.4 Work plan -----	18
3 Material and Experimental Procedures -----	19
3.1 Material and process -----	19
3.2 Process parameters -----	20
3.3 The main component of the quenching machine -----	20
3.4 Description of the quenching box -----	22
3.5 Description of the cooling nozzles -----	22
3.6 Testing equipment, sensors and measurements procedures -----	23
3.7 Temperature measurement -----	23
3.8 Flow rate and pressure measurement.-----	23
3.9 Determination of rolling speed -----	24
3.10 Determination of quenching time -----	24
3.11 Microstructure examination -----	25
3.12 Determination of mechanical properties-----	25
3.13 Determination of microhardness -----	25
4 Mathematical modeling of Tempcore process. -----	27



4.1	Introduction -----	27
4.1.1	Thermal model -----	27
4.1.1.1	Calculations of hydraulic diameter $D_h$ -----	29
4.1.1.2	Calculation of the water convective heat transfer Coefficient. ---	29
4.1.1.3	Calculation of air convective heat transfer coefficient -----	30
4.1.1.4	Finite difference method. -----	31
4.1.1.5	Discretization. -----	32
4.1.1.6	Explicit form of finite difference method -----	33
4.1.1.7	Stability. -----	34
4.1.1.8	Stability equations used in the model. -----	34
4.1.2	Metallurgical model. -----	35
4.1.3	Mechanical properties Model. -----	37
4.1.3.1	Mechanical properties of tempered martensite. -----	37
4.1.3.2	Mechanical properties of the core. -----	37
4.1.3.3	Overall mechanical properties of the composite mixture.-----	37
4.2	Computer modeling of Tempcore Process. -----	38
4.2.1	Aims of the model-----	38
4.2.2	Steps of the model. -----	38
4.2.3	Input parameters to the computer Model -----	40
4.2.4	Outputs of the computer model. -----	43
4.2.5	GUI of the model -----	43
5	Results and discussion -----	50
5.1	Introduction -----	50
5.2	Effect of Machine Operating Parameters on Tempering	

Temperature. -----	50
5.2.1 Effect of cooling water flow rate Wfr on Tempering Temperature TT. -----	50
5.2.2 Effect of quenching time Qt on tempering temperature TT. -----	51
5.3 Microstructure of as rolled and Tempcore treated bars.-----	52
5.3.1 Hot rolled structure. -----	52
5.3.2 Tempcore structure -----	53
5.3.3 Heavy quenched Tempcore structure -----	54
5.4 Effect of Machine Operating Parameters on MS Vf %. -----	55
5.4.1 Effect water flow rate on the Ms Vf %. -----	55
5.4.2 Effect of quenching time on Ms Vf % .-----	56
5.5 Microhardness variation across the bar -----	57
5.5.1 Effect of quenching time on microhardness variation across the bar.-----	59
5.6 Effect of process parameters on Tensile properties -----	60
5.6.1 Effect of Machine Operating Parameters on tensile properties ----	60
5.6.2 Effect of cooling water flow rate on tensile properties.-----	61
5.6.3 Effect of quenching time on tensile properties.-----	63
5.6.4 Effect of tempering temperature on tensile properties. -----	64
5.7 Model results and validation. -----	65
5.7.1 Validation of area cooled under martensite start temperature. ---	69
5.7.2 Validation of model tempering temperature.-----	71
5.7.3 Validation of model yield strength. -----	73
5.7.4 Sensitivity analysis -----	75
5.7.4.1 Comments on the sensitivity analysis:-----	77

6. Conclusion and recommendation for future work -----	78
6.1 Experimental work conclusion-----	78
6.2 Mathematical Modeling conclusion-----	78
6.3 Recommendation for future work -----	80
References -----	81
Arabic summary	

# NOMENCLATURE

$T_{initial}$	Bar initial temperature and equal to rolling finishing temperature	°C
$\rho$	the density of the material	Kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$C_p$	specific heat of the material	J/kg.C
$T_{\infty}$	temperature of the adjacent fluid	°C
$h_w$	Water cooling Convective heat transfer coefficient	w/m <sup>2</sup> .C
$h_a$	Air Convective heat transfer coefficient	w/m <sup>2</sup> .C
$Q$	Total cooling water flow rate	m <sup>3</sup> /h
$P$	Pressure of cooling water	bar
$D_h$	Hydraulic diameter	
$Nu$	Nusselt numbers is the ratio of convective to conductive heat transfer across (normal to) the boundary.	dimensionless number
$Re$	Reynold numbers gives a measure of the ratio of inertial forces to viscous forces	dimensionless number
$\nu$	Dynamic viscosity of water	Pa.s
$R_c$	radius of cooling pipe.	m
$R$	steel bar diameter	m
$V_{Relative}$	Relative speed between bar and water	m/S
$V_{bar}$	Rolling speed (bar speed).	m/S
$T_{Quenching}$	Quenching time	S

$\Delta f'(x)$	First order finite difference	
$\Delta f''(x)$	Second order finite difference	
$\Delta v$	Node volume	m <sup>3</sup>
$\Delta t$	Time step	S
$T^{t+\Delta t}$	Temperature value at the next time step	°C
Fo	Fourier number equals rate of conducted heat divided by rate of stored heat	dimensionless number
$\alpha$	Thermal diffusivity	m <sup>2</sup> /s
$B_i$	Biot number gives a simple index of the ratio of the heat transfer resistances inside of and at the surface of a body	dimensionless number
r	Distance in the radial direction from bar center	M
$\Delta r$	Distance Increment in the radial direction from bar center	M
$M_s$	Martensite start temperature	°C
$V_M$	Martensite volume fraction	%
$V_{core}$	Core volume fraction	%
$r_m$	the distances from bar radius to martensite start layer	m
$Y.S_{MS}$	Yield strength of the formed tempered martensite	Mpa
$Y.S_{Core}$	Yield strength of the composite core	Mpa
$T_w$	Water Temperature	°C
$T_a$	Air Temperature	°C
n	Number of active cooling nozzles	
L	Length of cooling nozzle	m

$k_w$	Thermal conductivity of water	w/m.C
$k_a$	Thermal conductivity of air	w/m.C
Ar1	The temperature where the transformation from austenite solution to ferrite and cementite (most often pearlite) is complete during cooling. The “r” (arret refroidissant) designates that it is a transformation point achieved by cooling	°C
Ar3	The temperature at which austenite begins to transform to ferrite when during cooling. The “r” (arret refroidissant) designates that it is a transformation point achieved by cooling	°C
TT	Tempering temperature	°C
RT	Rolling finishing temperature	°C
Qt	Quenching time	S
Wfr	Cooling water flow rate	m <sup>3</sup> /h
$\mu$	Kinematic viscosity of fluid	m <sup>2</sup> /S
CCT	Continuous cooling transformation	
i	Any node in the bar cross section	
$T_i$	Temperature of any node	°C
TC	Tempcore treated bars	
HR	Hot rolled bars	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Comparison between Temprimar and Tempcore
Table 1.2	Classifications the types of cooling nozzles based on pressure
Table 3.1	Chemical composition of the investigated reinforcing steels (mass contents in %)
Table 3.2	Dimensions of quenching coolers used in the experimental work .
Table 3.3	Variation of calculated quenching time with varying rolling speed and cooling nozzles for size10 mm
Table.3.4	Variation of calculated quenching time with varying rolling speed and cooling nozzles for 16 mm bars.
Table 4.1	model inputs parameters
Table.5.1	Tensile test results of HR and TC bars at different quenching conditions.