



GENETIC STUDIES ON EGYPTIAN LANDRACES USING MOLECULAR MARKERS

A Thesis
Submitted for Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for Degree of
Master of Science in Botany (Genetics)

By

Sara Aly Abdul Qader Aly

B.Sc. in Botany, Faculty of science, Ain Shams University (2008)

Supervised by

Dr. Hoda Mohamed Sobhy Barakat
Professor of plant cytogenetics, Botany Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

**Dr. Amina Abd El-Hamed
Mohamed Ahmed**
Researcher of molecular genetics, Agricultural
Genetic Engineering Research Institute
(AGERI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC).

**Dr. Nahla Amin Safaa El-Din
El-Sherif**
Lecturer of plant molecular biology, Botany
Department, Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

2013

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

”قالوا سبحانك لا علم لنا

إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت

العليم الحكيم“

سورة البقرة

الآية (٣٢)



Supervision Sheet

Genetic Studies on Egyptian Landraces Using Molecular Markers

M.Sc. Thesis

By

Sara Aly Abdul Qader Aly

B.Sc. in Botany, Faculty of science, Ain Shams University (2008)

Supervision committee

Dr. Hoda Mohamed Sobhy Barakat

Professor of plant cytogenetics, Botany Department, Faculty of
Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Amina Abd El-Hamed Mohamed Ahmed

Researcher of molecular genetics, Agricultural Genetic
Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), Agricultural Research
Center (ARC).

Dr. Nahla Amin Safaa El-Din El-Sherif

Lecturer of plant molecular biology, Botany Department, Faculty
of Science, Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

Genetic Studies on Egyptian Landraces Using Molecular Markers

M.Sc. Thesis

By

Sara Aly Abdul Qader Aly

B.Sc. in Botany, Faculty of science, Ain Shams University (2008)

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Hoda Mohamed Sobhy Barakat

Professor of Plant Cytogenetics, Botany Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Abdelfattah Badr Mohamed Badr

Professor of Genetics and Biosystematics, Botany Department, Faculty of
Science, Helwan University

Dr. Salah El-Din Sayed Mohamed El-Assal

Professor of Molecular Genetics, Genetics Department, Faculty of
Agriculture, Cairo University

Date: / / 2013

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work with my heartfelt thanks to my father, mother and sister for their patience and help.



Sara Aly

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My first and last gratitude to the Almighty " ALLAH" for giving me the health, strength, patience and faith to undertake this work.

I would like to express my sincere and special thanks to **DR. HODA MOHAMED SOBHY BARAKAT**, Professor of plant cytogenetics, Botany Department, Faculty of science, Ain Shams University for her assistance in preparing and revising the manuscript, continuous encouragement and valuable advices.

I also like to express my appreciation and everlasting gratitude to **DR. AMINA ABD EL-HAMED MOHAMED AHMED**, Researcher of molecular genetics, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC) for her keen supervision, fruitful help and her advice throughout the course of this work, also for providing all facilities and supplies to carry out this study.

I am also indebted to **DR. NAHLA AMIN SAFAA EL-DIN EL-SHERIF**, Lecturer of plant molecular biology, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for her supervision, support, continuous encouragement and aiding in formatting and revising the manuscript.

I would also like to thank Head of Botany Department **DR. MOHAMED EL-SAYED TANTAWY**, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his encouragement and help.

Deep thanks to **DR. MOHAMED E. SAAD**, Researcher of molecular genetics, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC) for his

guidance and great encouragement, also aiding in providing supplies needed for this work.

I like to express my deepest gratitude to **DR. AMR M. AGEEZ**, Senior Researcher of molecular genetics, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC) for his cooperation and advice in solving some technical problems that faced me during the practical work.

I am also grateful to **SHAFIK DARWISH, MARWA HANAFY** and **DR. ALAADIN HAMWIEH**, from Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC) for their helpful advices during the data computing analysis of the present investigation.

Special thanks to **DR HANIYA EL-ETRIBY**, the head of the National Gene Bank (NGB), Agricultural Research Institute (ARC), Ministry of Agriculture, for supplying the lupin germplasm seeds analyzed in the current study.

Sincere thanks are extended to all members of Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics laboratory (GPBI) at the Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt. And great appreciation is given to all staff members and colleagues of Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Heartily thanks and deepest love are specially expressed to my family for their wholehearted support and encouragement.

Sara Aly

ABSTRACT

Sara Aly Abdul Qader Aly

M.Sc.

Genetic Studies on Egyptian Landraces Using Molecular Markers

**Department of Botany, Faculty of science, Ain Shams University,
Egypt, 2013**

Genetic relationships between eighteen genotypes, including 12 Egyptian landraces, 2 Egyptian cultivars, 3 Egyptian breeding lines and a French variety, of white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) were studied using 12 ISSR primers and 4 AFLP primer combinations. These molecular markers revealed high levels of polymorphism among the lupin accessions, 94.6% for AFLP and 59.5 % for ISSR. A total of 180 AFLP peaks were scored as positive unique markers "PUMs", while 26 peaks were recorded as negative unique markers "NUMs". However, 18 unique ISSR markers were detected, including 9 positive and 9 negative unique markers. Results showed that AFLP as well as ISSR are powerful tools for genetic fingerprints development and characterization of genetic relationship among genotypes of lupin. Beyond this identification, efforts are made to construct a molecular database that can be used to make a reference collection of Egypt lupin germplasm by comparing the molecular pattern of each identified accession with samples from different areas. The estimated similarities produced from combined data for both markers among the 18 lupin genotypes ranged from 53.3 to 80.5. Cluster analysis was presented as a dendrogram based on similarity estimates using the un-weighted pair-group method with arithmetic average (UPGMA). AFLP exhibited significantly higher multiplex ratio, number of observed alleles, effective multiplex ratio, polymorphic information content and marker index when compared to those of ISSR. The use of AFLPs and ISSRs allowed for the genetic analysis spanning the lupin genome. It is thus clear that these PCR based molecular markers reveal the high genetic variations found among accessions which make them useful tools for the breeder to decrease the time of breeding program.

Key words: white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.), Genetic relationships, ISSR, AFLP, molecular markers.

CONTENTS

List of tables	III
List of figures	V
List of abbreviations	IX
1 Introduction	1
2 Review of literature	7
2.1 What are landraces?	7
2.2 White lupin	12
2.3 Molecular marker s	21
2.3.1 Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP)	23
2.3.2 Inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR)	32
3 Materials and methods	41
3.1 Plant material	41
3.2 Genomic DNA extraction and quantification	43
3.2.1 Solutions and buffers	43
3.2.2 DNA extraction	44
3.3 Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP)	47
3.3.1 ABI 310 Genetic Analyzer	48
3.3.2 Solutions and buffers	52
3.3.3 AFLP procedures	54
3.3.3.a. Restriction-ligation for genomic DNA	54
3.3.3.b. Pre-selective amplification	56
3.3.3.c. Selective amplification	57
3.3.3.d. Capillary electrophoresis using 310 Genetic Analyzer	59
3.4 Inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR)	73
3.5 Statistical analysis	76
3.5.1 Genetic relatedness and cluster analysis	76
3.5.2 Diversity statistics	78
4 Results	80
4.1 DNA quantification	80
4.2 Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP)	80
4.2.1.a. Restriction-ligation for genomic DNA	80

4.2.1.b. Pre-selective amplification	82
4.2.1.c. Selective amplification	83
4.2.1.d. Detection of AFLP products via capillary electrophoresis on 310 Genetic Analyzer	84
4.2.2 Polymorphism as detected by AFLP analysis	95
4.2.3 Genotype identification by unique AFLP markers	98
4.2.4 Genetic relationships among the lupin genotypes as revealed by AFLP markers	108
4.2.5 Cluster analysis as revealed by AFLPs	109
4.3 Inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR)	114
4.3.1 Detection of ISSR products via agarose gel electrophoresis	114
4.3.2 Polymorphism as detected by ISSR analysis	122
4.3.3 Genotype identification by unique ISSR markers	123
4.3.4 Genetic relationships among the lupin genotypes as revealed by ISSR markers	126
4.3.5 Cluster analysis as revealed by ISSRs	126
4.4 Combined data	130
4.4.1 Genetic relationships among the lupin genotypes as revealed by combined data	130
4.4.2 Cluster analysis as revealed by combined data	130
4.4.3 Comparison among the efficiency of AFLP and ISSR markers in the lupin genome analysis	134
5 Discussion	137
5.1 Polymorphism as detected by ISSR and AFLP analysis	137
5.2 Genetic relationships among the lupin genotypes	142
5.3 Cluster analysis	148
5.4 Comparison among the efficiency of AFLP and ISSR markers in the lupin genome analysis	151
6 Summary	159
7 References	164
8 Arabic summary	-

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
3.1	List of the eighteen <i>Lupinus albus</i> L. genotypes and their collection information	42
3.2	List of AFLP primer and adaptor sequences	60
3.3	ISSR primer names, sequences and required annealing temperatures	75
4.1	Genomic DNA concentration and purity of lupin genotypes (1-18)	81
4.2	Primer combinations, total number of peaks, total number of polymorphic peaks and percentage of polymorphism as revealed by AFLP analysis	97
4.3	Positive and negative unique alleles, their sizes and genotypes showing either of them for primer combination E-ACT(FAM)/M-CAT).	100
4.4	Positive and negative unique alleles, their sizes and genotypes showing either of them for primer combination E-ACG(JOE)/M-CAT.	102
4.5	Positive and negative unique alleles, their sizes and genotypes showing either of them for primer combination E-ACG(JOE)/M-CAG.	104
4.6	Positive and negative unique alleles, their sizes and genotypes showing either of them for primer combination E-ACC(NED)/M-CTA.	106
4.7	Total number of unique alleles and genotypes identified by each primer combination	108
4.8	Genetic similarity matrix among the 18 <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes as computed according to Dice's coefficient from AFLP data.	110
4.9	ISSR primer names, total number of bands, polymorphic bands, percentage of polymorphism and allele size range as revealed by ISSR analysis	123
4.10	Positive and negative unique alleles, their sizes and	125

	genotypes showing either of them for each primer.	
4.11	Genetic similarity matrix among the 18 <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes as computed according to Dice's coefficient from ISSR data	128
4.12	Genetic similarity matrix among the 18 <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes as computed according to Dice's coefficient from combined data	132
4.13	Levels of polymorphism and comparison of informativeness with AFLPs and ISSRs markers in the 18 lupin genotypes	136

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
3.1	310 Genetic Analyzer used for capillary electrophoresis	49
3.2	Capillary electrophoresis	51
3.3	Peak patterns of GeneScan500(-250)ROX fragments run under denaturing conditions.	63
3.4	An example of a raw data electropherogram	65
3.5	Peak detection in analysis method editor	67
3.6	Allele tab in analysis method editor	68
3.7	Quality Flags tab in analysis method editor	70
3.8	An electropherogram showing amplicons (blue) and size standard (red) DNA fragment peaks of an example sample as displayed in the samples plot illustrating how the software uses the size standard to size peaks.	73
4.1	Photograph of the extracted DNA	81
4.2	Photograph of DNA digestion and ligation products	82
4.3	Photograph of pre-selective amplification products	82
4.4	Photograph of selective amplification for the eighteen <i>Lupinus albus</i> L. accessions (1-18) amplified using E-ACT (FAM)/M-CAT	83
4.5	A snapshot for samples tab displayed in the GeneMapper software after the completion of the analysis for the 18 lupin genotypes amplified by the primer combination E-ACT(FAM)/M-CAT.	85
4.6	A snapshot for the "Size Match Editor" window showing peak patterns and sizing quality value for GS500(-250) size standard fragments that ran with sample 9 amplified by the primer combination E-	86

	ACT(FAM)/M-CAT.	
4.7	Overlaying view for the size standard of the eighteen genotypes	87
4.8	Electropherograms of three <i>Lupinus albus</i> AFLP samples (9, 10 & 11), amplified selectively by the primer combination E-ACC(NED)/M-CTA	89
4.9	Electropherograms of three <i>Lupinus albus</i> AFLP samples (13, 14 & 18), amplified selectively by the primer combination E-ACT(FAM)/M-CAT	90
4.10	Electropherograms of three <i>Lupinus albus</i> AFLP samples (7, 8 & 9), amplified selectively by the primer combination E-ACC(NED)/M-CTA	91
4.11	Overlaying multiple plots to view polymorphic peaks and allele calls	93
4.12	Overlaying view for primer combinations a) E-ACG(JOE)/M-CAG and b) E-ACC(NED)/M-CTA.	94
4.13	Final genotypes in a binary format for a subset of alleles for the 18 <i>Lupinus albus</i> samples, from the AFLP run with the primer pair E-ACT(FAM)/M-CAT as displayed in the Genotypes tab of the Gene Mapper software.	96
4.14	An example of how positive and negative unique markers were estimated for a subset of alleles produced by primer combination E-ACC(NED)/M-CTA.	99
4.15	Dendrogram for the 18 <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes constructed from the AFLP data using Unweighed Pair-group Arithmetic Average (UPGMA) and similarity matrix computed according to Dice's coefficient.	113
4.16.a	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer 17898-B	114

4.16.b	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer 3	115
4.16.c	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer HB-15	116
4.16.d	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer BEC	116
4.16.e	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer ISSR-1	117
4.16.f	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer ISSR-2	118
4.16.g	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer ISSR-3	118
4.16.h	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer UBC-807	119
4.16.i	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer UBC-808	120
4.16.j	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer UBC-809	120
4.16.k	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer UBC-815	121
4.16.l	ISSR profile for <i>Lupinus albus</i> genotypes using primer 17899-A	122