



**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Geology Department**

**Effect of stabilizers in the improvement of
engineering properties of soil in some localities
of the Nile Delta, Egypt.**

By
Zeinab Lotfy El Sayed Belal
(B. Sc., M. Sc., Geology)

A Thesis

For

The Doctor of Philosophy Degree of Science in Geology

**Department of Geology
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
2013**



**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Geology Department**

APPROVAL SHEET

Ph.D Thesis

Name of student: Zeinab Lotfy El Sayed Belal

Thesis title: Effect of stabilizers in the improvement of engineering properties of soil in some localities of the Nile Delta, Egypt.

Supervisors

Approval

1- Prof. Dr. Mohamed. I. El- Wakeel.

**Professor of Geology, Geology Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University.**

2- Prof. Dr. Ahmed. S. A. Abu El-Atta

**Professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University.**

3- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ali. I. M. Ismail

**Associate Professor of Engineering Geology, Geological Sciences
Department, National Research Centre**

Head of Geology Department

Prof. Yasser Abd El- Hakim El- Safoury



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Geology Department

APPROVAL SHEET

Ph.D Thesis

Name of student: Zeinab Lotfy El Sayed Belal

Thesis title: Effect of stabilizers in the improvement of engineering properties of soil in some localities of the Nile Delta, Egypt.

SUPERVISORS:		Approval
1-	Prof. Dr. Mohamed. I. El- Wakeel. Professor of Geology, Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.	
2-	Prof. Dr. Ahmed. S. A. Abu El-Atta Professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.	
3-	Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ali. I. M. Ismail Associate Professor of Engineering Geology, Geological Sciences Department, National Research Centre.	

REFEREE COMMITTEE:

		Approval
1-	Prof. Dr. Mohamed. R.G. El Tahlawy Professor of Mining and Metallurgical Geology, Mining and Metallurgical Department, Faculty of Engineering, Assiut University.	
2-	Prof. Dr. Laila A.M.Fayed Professor of Engineering Geology, Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University.	
3-	Prof. Dr. Mohamed. I. El- Wakeel. Professor of Geology, Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.	
4-	Prof. Dr. Ahmed. S. A. Abu El-Atta Professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.	

Head of Geology Department

Prof. Yasser Abd El- Hakim El- Safoury

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All gratitude is due to almighty "**ALLAH**" the lord of the world who guided aided me to finish this thesis. Thanks God for everything in my life.

Thanks to Dr. Yasser Abd El- Hakim El- Safoury Professor of Geology and Head of Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for his encouragements.

My deep thanks to board members of the National Research Centre for offer me a chance to finish my thesis through the principal supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ali I. M. Ismail.

Special gratitude and deep thanks to Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abu Atta, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for supervising the work and valuable advices.

All deep thanks are due to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Al Wakeel, Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for his supervision, guidance and support.

I express my sincere and very deep thanks and gratitude to my principal supervisor Dr. Ali I. M. Ismail, Associate Professor of Engineering Geology, Geological Sciences Department, National Research Centre for suggesting and planning this work. His wise guidance through the field and experimental work, offering me standards methods and software used in this work, choosing the stabilizers used in this study, facilities offered during the thesis work from his national and international projects especially Swedish project, provided solutions to different problems, valuable enthusiastic discussion throughout the present work, reading and correcting of the manuscripts.

Gratefulness and my deep thanks to my late father, my lovely mother and my lovely sister for their continuous moral support.

Zeinab lotfy



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Geology Department

Ph. D. Thesis:

Name of Student: Zeinab Lotfy El Sayed

Title of thesis: Effect of stabilizers in the improvement of engineering properties of soil in some localities of the Nile Delta, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The present work is concerned with the study of engineering parameters, through the mineralogical composition of four soil groups from the Nile Delta, as well as evaluating the effectiveness of Cement Kiln Dust (CKD) and Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GBFS) in stabilizing the selected soils. From the laboratory test results, five major soil groups were recognized on the basis of similarity in geo-engineering behaviours. The soils are generally fine grained and they are essentially clays with varying proportions of sand, silt and organic matter. The values of the various parameters indices are mainly influenced by the amounts of clay (and/or sand) and organic matter. Accordingly, the collected soil samples from specific localities of the Nile Delta were classified into four groups; group 1 is represented by extremely high plasticity, low compressive strength, high optimum moisture content and low maximum dry density; group 2 and group 3 are very high plasticity and high plasticity, respectively, whereas group 4 is characterized by medium plasticity. Clay fraction, liquid limit, plastic limit, plasticity index, swelling, shrinkage and the optimum moisture content values decrease through the soil groups from group 1 to group 4, whereas the compressive strength and maximum dry density increase in the same direction.

CKD, GBFS and CKD-GBFS mixture was added to two different soil types, in which the results show that, CKD, GBFS and CKD-GBFS mixture reduced the plasticity index, as compared to the untreated soil. With increasing the curing time, the plasticity index (PI) decreases with increasing the percentage of stabilizer whether CKD or GBFS. According to the engineering characteristics, the first one is mainly clay in composition and the second is mainly silt and fine sand. The effects of the used stabilizers (CKD and GBFS) on the two types of soils are completely different. Soil I, that located at Nasayma Village (Daqahlia Governorate) is mainly affected by CKD and the mixture of CKD and GBFS. On the other hand, the slag is an effective stabilizer on the soil II at El Mahala El Kobra area (Gharbyia Governorate), whereas CKD improved the soil workability. It is noticed that, the behaviour of slag is comparable to lime and CKD like cement in the soil improvement. The slag is used for the clayey soil, whereas the CKD is utilized in the sandy-silt soils in the stabilization purposes of the areas under investigation. The mixture of both additives is highly recommended for the stabilization of the selected soil materials, where the results of compressive strength increase at all curing times.

Key words: Engineering parameters, Construction problems, Plasticity index, Nile Delta.

CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	
ABSTRACT.....	I
CONTENTS.....	II
LISTS OF FIGURES.....	V
LISTS OF TABLES.....	VII
PLATES.....	VIII
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.....	
1.1 General Outline	1
1.2 Problem Definition.....	1
1.3 Tests procedure.....	5
CHAPTER II: GEOLOGIC SETTING AND SITE INVESTIGATION.....	
2.1 General.....	10
2.2 Soils selection.....	10
2.3 Site investigation.....	12
2.4 Nile Delta Formation.....	14
2.5 River Nile Quaternary sediments.....	17
2.6 Lithofacies Distribution and paleogeography.....	18
2.6.1 Pleistocene fluvial sands.....	18
2.6.2 Pleistocene – Holocene boundary.....	18
2.6.3 Holocene Nile mud.....	19
CHAPTER III: NATIVE MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION....	
3.1 Introduction.....	23
3.2 Experimental program.....	23
3.2.1 Field test.....	23
3.2.2 Laboratory Test.....	24
3.2.3 Physical and engineering behaviour of the soil materials	24
3.2.3.1 PH.....	24
3.2.3.2 Specific Gravity of Soils.....	24
3.2.3.3 Organic Content.....	25
3.2.3.4 Grain-Size Analysis.....	25
3.2.3.5 Atterberg Limits.....	26
3.2.3.6 Linear shrinkage.....	29
3.2.3.7 Free swelling.....	29
3.2.3.8 Compaction Tests	30
3.2.3.9 Soil strength.....	31
3.3 Soil characteristics.....	32

					Page
3.4	Geo-engineering characteristics of soil materials.....				35
	3.4.1	Soil characterization.....			35
		3.4.1.1	Grain-size analysis and soil classification.....		35
		3.4.1.2	Atterberg limits.....		38
			3.4.1.2.1	Liquid limit.....	38
			3.4.1.2.2	Plastic limit.....	38
			3.4.1.2.3	Relation between PI and L.L.....	38
			3.4.1.2.4	Relation between Atterberg limits and clay content.....	39
	3.4.2	Swelling and shrinkage.....			39
	3.4.3	Soil compaction.....			43
	3.4.4	Unconfined compressive strength.....			46
3.5	Mineralogical constituents of soil materials.....				47
	3.5.1	Clay minerals.....			49
		3.5.1.1	Specific surface area.....		50
		3.5.1.2	Clay Mineral Structure.....		51
			3.5.1.2.1	Kaolinite.....	52
			3.5.1.2.2	Illite.....	53
			3.5.1.2.3	Smectite group.....	53
		3.5.1.3	Structural arrangement of clay minerals.....		54
	3.5.2	Relation to physical properties and engineering aspects...			55
	3.5.3	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD).....			55
		3.5.3.1	Introduction.....		55
		3.5.3.2	Results of XRD and SEM.....		56
			3.5.3.2.1	Clay minerals and Non clay minerals.....	57
3.6	Correlation between engineering parameters and clay mineralogy.....				64
3.7	Classification system of clays				65
	3.7.1	Geological classification.....			65
	3.7.2	Engineering classification.....			65
		3.7.2.1	AASHTO.....		65
		3.7.2.2	Unified soil classification systems.....		66
3.8	Classification of soil under investigation.....				68
CHAPTER IV: BACKGROUND ON SOIL STABILIZATION.....					
4.1	General consideration.....				72
4.2	Stabilizing agent.....				72
	4.2.1	Classification.....			73
4.3	Literatures review of slag and CKD in soil stabilization.....				73
	4.3.1	Cement Kiln Dust (CKD).....			74

			Page
	4.3.2	Slag.....	78
4.4	Stabilizer used in this study.....		80
	4.4.1	Cement kiln dust.....	81
	4.4.1.1	The variability in the chemical properties of CKD.....	83
	4.4.2	Slag.....	83
CHAPTER V: IMPROVEMENT OF ENGINEERING PARAMETERS OF SOIL MATERIALS.....			
5.1	General.....		88
5.2	Stabilization methods.....		88
5.3	Industry waste products.....		89
5.4	Identification of materials.....		90
	5.4.1	By-product.....	90
	5.4.2	Soils.....	92
5.5	Effect of the additives on the PH		92
5.6	Effect of additive on the Atterberg limits		94
	5.6.1	Effect of stabilizers curing time on Atterberg limit of soils (I & II).....	98
5.7	Soil strength.....		98
	5.7.1	Testing methods, sampling preparation and mixing procedures.....	98
	5.7.2	Effect of stabilizers on the compaction.....	103
	5.7.3	Effect of stabilizers on the unconfined compressive strength.....	105
5.8	Scanning electron microscope (SEM).....		108
CHAPTER VI: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....			113
REFERENCES.....			121
APPENDIX.....			
APPENDIX I.....			136
	APPENDIX I A1 Grain size analysis (Wet sieving and Hydrometers).....		137
	APPENDIX I A2 Atterberg limits.....		140
	APPENDIX I A3 Compaction test for untreated soil.....		144
APPENDIX II.....			123
	APPENDIX II A4 Compaction test for treated soil.....		150
	APPENDIX II A5 Atterberg limits curing time.....		153
ARABIC SUMMARY.....			

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.No	Title	Page
1.1	Flow chart of the field and laboratory tests on the studied soil samples.....	8
2.1	Location map of studied soil samples	11
2.2	Some type of soils illustrated in Fig.2.1 showing some construction problems in the area of study.....	12
2.3	Composite Neogene-Quaternary stratigraphic column including the average thickness and environment of the examined formations	15
2.4	Schematic cross section showing the characteristics of upper Quaternary features of some localities in the Nile Delta	20
3.1	Atterberg Limits Relationships	27
3.2	Measurments of unconfined compressive strength	31
3.3	Ternary diagram of the clay-silt-sand composition of the studied soil samples.....	37
3.4	Plasticity classification of the studied soil	40
3.5	Showing the relation between plasticity index and clay	40
3.6	Showing the relation between free swelling & plasticity index	42
3.7	Showing the relation between free swelling & clay fraction	42
3.8	Compaction curves for the studied soil	44
3.9	Showing the relation between unconfined compressive strength and mud fraction and the engineering parameters	47
3.10	Sheet structure of clay minerals	51
3.11	Major type of clay minerals, a: Kaolinite, b:Illite and c: Montmorillonite.....	52
3.12	Structure arrangements of clay minerals, a: dispersed, b: flocculated, c: bookhouse, d: turbostratic and e: example of natural clay soil	54
3.13	X-Ray diffraction of the analyzed bulk samples soil in the Nile Delta	58
3.14	Clay fraction versus Liquid limit, Plastic limit, free swelling and shrinkage limit for the untreated samples	64
3.15	Free swelling versus Liquid limit and Plastic limit, for the untreated samples	64
3.16	General guidance for AASHTO classification	66