

Effect of resin coating on the surface roughness and stain resistance of resin composite material

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,
Ain Shams University
For the partial fulfillment of the requirements of
The Master Degree in Operative Dentistry

By
Hanaa Mahmoud Elsaid Elmahdy Elgamily
B.D.S. Ain Shams University
2003

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Dentistry
Department of Operative Dentistry
2011

Supervisors

Pro. Dr.Mokhtar Nagy Ebrahiem

Professor of Operative Dentistry

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Hussein Zaazou

Assistant researcher professor of operative dentistry

Restorative and dental material research department

National Research Center

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Nagy**. Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his valuable ideas, stimulating advices, constant encouragement and keen supervision throughout the research program.

My deepest appreciation and sincere gratitude go to **Dr. Mohamed Hussein Zaazou**. Assistant researcher professor of operative dentistry, Restorative and dental material research department, National Research Center. For his unforgettable help, advice, guidance and co-operation.

Dedicated to

- My Father and My Mother for their unlimited Love and Giving.
- My Husband and My Kids for their love and patience.

*

||

||

*

(1.7-1.5)

LIST OF CONTENTS

<i>Contents</i>	<i>Page</i>
<i>List of Content</i>	i
<i>List of Tables</i>	ii
<i>List of Figures</i>	v
<i>Introduction</i>	1
<i>Review of literature</i>	4
<i>Aim of the study</i>	30
<i>Materials and Methods</i>	31
<i>Results</i>	44
<i>Discussion</i>	66
<i>Summary and Conclusions</i>	74
<i>References</i>	76
<i>Appendix</i>	85
<i>Arabic summary</i>	

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Tables</i>	<i>Page</i>
Table (1): The materials used in this study.	31
Table (2): Levels of the study.	42
Table (3): Factorial design and variables interaction for color detection.	43
Table (4): Factorial design and variables interaction for surface roughness experiment.	43
Table (5): Descriptive statistic and test of significance for the effect of different types of composite's surface treatments, storage media, storage time and their interactions on mean color change (ΔE) of resin composite.	45
Table (6): The means and statistically analysis of color change (ΔE) values of different composite's surface treatments regardless of other variables.	46
Table (7): The means color change (ΔE) and the results of comparison between composite's surface treatments with each solution and time.	48
Table (8): The means (ΔE) and the results of comparison between storage solutions regardless of other variables.	49
Table (9): The means (ΔE) and the results of comparison between storage solutions with each composite's surface treatments and time.	50
Table (10): The means (ΔE) and the results of comparison between storage times regardless of other variables.	51

Table (11): The means (ΔE) and the results of comparison between storage times with each composite's surface treatments and solution.	52
Table (12): The means (ΔE) and the results of comparison between the different interactions.	53
Table (13): Descriptive statistic and test of significance for the effect of different types of composite's surface treatments, storage media, storage time and their interactions on mean surface roughness (R_a) of resin composite.	54
Table (14): The means and statistically analysis of surface roughness (R_a) values of different composite's surface treatments regardless of other variables.	55
Table (15): The means (R_a) and the results of comparison between different composite's surface treatment with each solution and time.	56
Table (16): The means (R_a) and the results of comparison between storage solutions regardless of other variables.	57
Table (17): The means (R_a) and the results of comparison between storage solutions with each composite's surface treatments and time.	58
Table (18): The means (R_a) and the results of comparison between storage times regardless of other variables.	59
Table (19): The means (R_a) and the results of comparison between storage times with each composite's surface treatments and solution.	61

Table (20): The means and the results of comparison between the different interactions (Ra).	63
Table (21): Results of Pearson's correlation coefficient for the correlation between (ΔE) and (Ra).	64

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figures</i>	<i>Page</i>
Fig. 1: Tetric N-Ceram nanohybrid composite (shade A2).	32
Fig. 2: OptiGuard surface sealant.	32
Fig. 3: AdheSE Bond.	33
Fig. 4: Teflon split mold of 2 mm thickness and 5 mm in diameter.	34
Fig. 5: Mylar strip was placed on the top surface of the specimen and covered by a glass slide to assure a flat top surface.	35
Fig. 6: Color-coded grits of Sof-Lex Disc: Sequenced from dark (coarse) to light (fine).	37
Fig. 7: Specimens in labeled, air-tight jar containing either 20 ml distilled water or black coffee.	37
Fig. 8: Form Talysurf i60 (Taylor Hobson precision Co, England).	39
Fig. 9: The movement of the profile stylus over the surface of specimen.	39
Fig. 10: UV- Shimadzu 3101 PC-Spectrophotometer (Japan).	40
Fig. 11: CIE L,*a* b* color scale.	41
Fig. 12: Bar chart representing mean (ΔE) with different types of composite's surface treatments.	46
Fig. 13: Bar chart representing mean (ΔE) of different types of composite's surface treatments with each solution and time.	48
Fig. 14: Bar chart representing mean (ΔE) with storage solutions.	49
Fig. 15: Bar chart representing mean (ΔE) of the two storage solutions with each composite's surface treatments and time.	50

Fig.16: Bar chart representing mean (ΔE) after the two storage times.	51
Fig.17: Bar chart representing mean (ΔE) of the two storage times with each composite's surface treatments and solution.	52
Fig.18: Bar chart representing mean (ΔE) with different variables interactions.	53
Fig.19: Bar chart representing mean (R_a) with different types of composite's surface treatments.	55
Fig.20: Bar chart representing mean (R_a) of different types of composite's surface treatments with each solution and time.	57
Fig.21: Bar chart representing mean (R_a) with storage solutions.	57
Fig.22: Bar chart representing mean (R_a) of the two storage solutions with each composite's surface treatments and time.	59
Fig.23: Bar chart representing mean (R_a) after the two storage times.	60
Fig.24: Bar chart representing mean (R_a) of the two storage times with each composite's surface treatments and solution.	61
Fig.25: Bar chart representing mean (R_a) with different variables interactions.	64
Fig.26: Scatter diagram showing positive correlation between (ΔE) and (R_a).	65

INTRODUCTION

Resin composites have been widely used esthetic materials because they are mercury free, thermally non-conductive and resist corrosion. These resin materials have progressed from macrofills to microfills and from hybrids to microhybrids. New materials such as packable and nanofilled composites have been introduced to the dental market ^(5,10). Each type of resin composite has certain advantages and limitations ⁽⁴⁷⁾.

Finishing and polishing of resin composite restorations are essential steps in restorative dentistry ⁽⁶⁴⁾. Finishing refers to the gross contouring or reduction of the restoration to obtain the desired anatomy ⁽⁷¹⁾. Polishing refers to the reduction of the roughness and scratches created by the finishing instruments ^(63,80).

Proper finishing and polishing of restorations are desirable not only for esthetic considerations but also for oral health ⁽⁸⁰⁾.

The marginal finish of a restoration, surface roughness and surface integrity as well as the physicochemical properties of the material itself can affect plaque retention. This, in turn, plays a significant role in periodontal disease and recurrent decay ^(15,54). Therefore, maintaining the smooth surface of a restoration is important for its success ⁽³⁹⁾.

Resin composites are finished and polished in order to establish a functional occlusal relationship and a contour physiologically in harmony with supporting tissues. In addition, proper contour and high gloss give the restoration the appearance of natural tooth structure. Thus, it is important to determine which finishing systems offer the best results for esthetic restorations ⁽⁸⁰⁾.

However, it is difficult to obtain a smooth surface on tooth colored materials because they are not all the same ⁽⁹⁾. The type of inorganic filler, the size of the particles and the extent of the filler loading vary widely among these materials. These factors influence their polishability ^(9,15). The difference in hardness between the filler particle and the matrix contributes to a roughened surface in these materials. The advent of visible-light-polymerizing resin and the usage of finer filler particles permit tooth-colored restoratives to be polished to a higher degree ⁽⁹⁾.

The use of unfilled resins for covering resin composites was first suggested 20 years ago. These were autopolymerized resins with Bisphenol-A glycidyl dimethacrylate matrix (bis-GMA), called glazes and were primarily recommended to improve the optical properties of resin composite restorations ^(8,76).

Various surface defects can appear, such as microcracks and irregularities due to removal of some of the surface particles during finishing. With the purpose to fill in these microstructural defects and to improve the resistance to abrasion of posterior resin composites, application of liquid resin to the surface of the material after finishing has been recommended ⁽⁶⁵⁾. Also to seal restorations while leaving a smooth polished surface without leaving a sticky, air-inhibited layer ⁽⁴⁾.

Staining of resin composite surfaces is a complex phenomenon that can involve several mechanisms. Rough surfaces may be discolored by adsorption of stains, although there is not always a relation between surface roughness and staining ⁽⁷⁴⁾.

Staining may result from a chemical interaction between the resin surface and the stain. Poorly polymerized resin composite surfaces may exhibit high surface reactivity enabling this type of reaction. Freshly polymerized resin composite surfaces may be more susceptible to stains than aged surfaces ⁽⁴⁹⁾.

Coffee may stain both by adsorption and absorption of its colorants onto/into the organic phase of resin composites. Beverages and rinses containing alcohol may soften the resin matrix and contribute to staining in that manner⁽⁸³⁾.

Therefore this study will be conducted to investigate the effect of resin surface sealant and bonding agent on the surface roughness and stain resistance of nanohybrid resin composite .

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

I-Effect of finishing and polishing on the surface roughness and the color stability of resin composite:

The esthetics and life span of tooth-colored restorative materials are heavily dependent on the quality of surface finish. The presence of irregularities on the surface of restorative materials may influence appearance, plaque retention, surface discoloration and gingival irritation. The goal of finishing and polishing procedures is obtaining the desired anatomy, proper occlusion, the reduction of roughness and scratches that were produced by the contouring and finishing instruments **(Yap and Mok, 2002 and Anusavice, 2003)^(1,86)**.

Although a smooth surface can be obtained after polymerizing the material against a matrix, it is difficult to adjust the matrix correctly without removing excess material. Moreover, the surface layer is essentially composed of organic matrix and is thus, less dense than the underlying layer. Therefore removing the superficial layer increases surface resistance to roughness and staining **(Joniot et al, 2000)⁽³⁹⁾**.

Surface roughness can be assessed by optical or mechanical profilometry. Mechanical profilometry gives a two-dimensional representation of the surface. It is a tactile method using a diamond-tipped stylus. Whereas, optical profilometry is a three-dimensional analysis method that provides both a qualitative and quantitative representation of the surface. It is a method without mechanical contact and the measuring device is the optical beam **(Joniot et al, 2006)⁽⁴⁰⁾**. Spectrophotometers and colorimeters have been used to measure color changes in the resin composites with different surface conditions **(Lee et al, 2002)⁽⁴⁶⁾**.

Chung, 1994⁽¹⁶⁾, investigated the effects of finishing and polishing