

# **BIODIVERSITY OF RHIZOBIA IN NORTH SINAI DESERT**

**By**

**TAREK SAYED RAGAB**

**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Biotechnology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 2002.**

**THESIS**

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

**In**

**Agricultural Sciences  
(Microbiology)**

**Department of Microbiology  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Cairo University  
EGYPT**

**2008**

## **APPROVAL SHEET**

### **BIODIVERSITY OF RHIZOBIA IN NORTH SINAI DESERT**

**M. Sc. Thesis**  
**By**

**TAREK SAYED RAGAB**

**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Biotechnology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 2002**

Approved by:

**Prof. Dr. Daa El-Dean Soilem**.....  
Research Professor of Microbiology, Institute of Soil, Water and  
Environmental Sciences, A.R.C.

**Prof. Dr. Aziz Mohamed Higazy** .....  
Professor of Microbiology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fayez Fouad** .....  
Professor of Microbiology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.

**Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Alim Ali**.....  
Assistant Professor of Microbiology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.

**Date:**    /    /

**SUPERVISION SHEET**

**BIODIVERSITY OF RHIZOBIA IN NORTH SINAI  
DESERT**

**M. Sc. Thesis**  
**By**

**TAREK SAYED RAGAB**

**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Biotechnology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 2002**

**SUPERVISION COMMITTEE**

**Dr. Mohamed Fayez Fouad**

**Professor of Microbiology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.**

**Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Alim Ali**

**Associate Professor of Microbiology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.**

<b>Name of Candidate:</b> Tarek Sayed Ragab	<b>Degree:</b> M.Sc.
<b>Title of Thesis:</b> Biodiversity of Rhizobia In North Sinai Desert	
<b>Supervisors</b> Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fayez Fouad, Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Alim Ali	
<b>Department:</b> Microbiology	
<b>Branch:</b> Microbiology	<b>Approval:</b> / /

### ABSTRACT

*Acacia saligna* trees and 9 different wild herbaceous legumes grown in El-Ahrash protectorate, North Sinai, were surveyed for nodulation. Sixty five rhizobia were isolated from naturally occurring root-nodules of *Acacia saligna* trees and the different wild annuals. According to their cultural, morphological and physiological characteristics as well as SDS-PAGE of total cell proteins, the isolates were phenotypically categorized into two distinct groups. The first includes those isolated from *Acacia saligna* which were differentiated into three distinct clusters. The second group comprises isolates from wild annual legumes; analysis of their phenotypic characters building dendrograms divided them into two distinct clusters. Eight rhizobial isolates representing the various *Acacia*-rhizobia clusters were examined for inoculation of *Acacia saligna* seedlings grown in sandy soil plastic bags under sunlight or shade conditions. After 6 months, all seedlings, whether inoculated or not, formed from 4 to 87 nodules seedling<sup>-1</sup> with lower number recorded with uninoculated seedlings. In the sunny nursery, Ac22p and Ac18p were the most infective isolates inducing the formation of up to 87 and 75.6 nodules seedlings<sup>-1</sup> with maxima nodule biomass, shoot and root length and biomass. Shading severely affected nodulation of *Acacia* seedlings either with indigenous or introduced rhizobia. Uninoculated seedlings formed 17 nodules seedling<sup>-1</sup> under sunlight and 4.4 nodules seedling<sup>-1</sup> under shade conditions. Seedlings grown in the shaded nursery showed a very poor growth after 6 months. A promoting effect on growth of *Acacia* seedling roots was displayed by the isolates Ac-22p, Ac-23s, Ac-17s and Ac-17f where significantly taller roots were recorded with seedlings drenched with these bacterial inocula. For the first time in Egypt, sugarcane bagasse pith was used as rhizobia inocula carrier. Data recommended pre-sterilization of bagasse pith incorporated in the inocula formula. Survival of rhizobia in pith-based inocula was good or better than that recorded with peat based inocula. Instead of the imported Irish peat moss, the present data recommend sugarcane bagasse pith for rhizobial inoculant formulation.

**Key words:** Biodiversity, rhizobia, *Acacia saligna*, wild legumes, sugarcane bagasse pith, Sinai desert.

## DEDICATION

*I dedicate this work to whom my heart felt thanks; to my wife Eman and my daughter Laila for their patience and help, as well as to my father and brothers for all the support they lovely offered along the period of my post graduation.*

## *ACKNOWLEDGEMENT*

*I wish to convey my sincere thanks, deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Mohamed Fayez** and **Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Alim**. Professors of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for supervision, continued assistance and their guidance through the course of study and revision of the manuscript.*

*Sincere thanks to **Dr. Nabil A. Hegazi** Professor of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for suggesting the problem, providing all the required facilities particularly the out-reach and field trips as well as his guidance through the course of study.*

*Sincere thanks to **Dr. Waffaa Ameer**, Professor of Botany, Faculty of Science, Cairo University for her help and support in the classification of plants used in the study.*

*Grateful appreciation is also extended to all staff members of Microbiology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.*

# CONTENTS

	Page
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> .....	3
1. Nodulation of <i>Acacia</i> .....	5
2. Microsymbionts of <i>Acacia</i> .....	5
3. Environmental influences on <i>Acacia</i> symbiosis.....	9
4. Future perspective.....	11
5. El-Ahrash protectorate.....	12
6. Pith as a carrier for <i>Rhizobium</i> inoculants.....	13
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> .....	15
1. <b>Soil</b> .....	22
2. <b>Carriers</b> .....	23
3. <b>Collection site and host plants</b> .....	24
4. <b>Isolation of rhizobia</b> .....	28
5. <b>Characterization of rhizobia isolates</b> .....	29
a. Cultural properties, colony morphology and pH reaction .....	29
b. Carbon source utilization.....	29
c. Tolerance to NaCl concentrations.....	29
d. Growth at different pH levels.....	30
e. Growth at different incubation temperatures .....	30
f. SDS-PAGE of total bacterial proteins.....	30
6. <b>Plant infection</b> .....	31
7. <b>Growth and nodulation of <i>Acacia saligna</i> as         affected by rhizobial inoculation and shading</b> .....	31
8. <b>Sugarcane bagasse pith-based inoculant for         <i>Acacia</i> rhizobia</b> .....	32
9. <b>Statistical analysis</b> .....	34
10. <b>Media and nutrient solutions</b> .....	35
<b>RESULTS</b> .....	39
1. <b>Collection site</b> .....	39
2. <b>Characterization of rhizobia isolates</b> .....	40
a. Morphological characteristics.....	40

b. Tolerance to NaCl concentrations.....	41
c. Growth at different incubation temperatures	47
d. Carbon source utilization.....	50
3. Numerical analysis.....	50
4. Plant infection test.....	57
5. Growth and nodulation of <i>Acacia saligna</i> as affected by rhizobial inocula and shading.....	58
6. Sugarcane bagasse pith-based inoculant for <i>Acacia</i> rhizobia	72
DISCUSSION.....	77
SUMMARY.....	88
REFERENCES.....	92
Arabic summary .....	



## LIST OF TABLES

No	Title	Page
1.	Mechanical and chemical analysis of soil samples .....	21
2.	Chemical analysis for Pith and Peat.....	22
3.	Sampling sites, altitude and season of isolation.....	25
4.	Water holding capacity for each carrier used in the study.....	33
5.	Some colony morphology characteristics of <i>Acacia</i> rhizobia....	42
6.	Some colony morphology characteristics of rhizobia from some wild legumes .....	44
7.	Motility (M) in YMB and growth of the different <i>Acacia</i> rhizobial isolates on YMA with different pH values and Peptone Glucose Agar (P) .....	45
8.	Tolerance of <i>Acacia</i> rhizobia to different NaCl concentration in YMA.....	47
9.	Tolerance of rhizobia isolated from some wild legumes to NaCl concentration in YMA. ....	48
10.	Growth of the different <i>Acacia</i> Rhizobia isolates at different incubation temperatures. ....	49
11.	Growth of rhizobial isolates on YMA with different carbon sources .....	51
12.	Some traits of the rhizobia isolates used for inoculating <i>Acacia saligna</i> seedlings gown under direct sunlight or shade conditions. ....	58
13.	Some physical and chemical properties of the different inocula carriers.....	86

## LIST OF FIGURES

No	Title	Page
1	Satellite over view of El-Ahrash Protectorate, North Sinai....	24
2	The naturally occurring <i>Acacia saligna</i> trees in El-Ahrash Protectorate.....	26
3.	<i>Acacia saligna</i> tree.....	26
4.	Some wild annual legumes.....	27
5.	Nodule morphology of <i>Acacia saligna</i> nodules.....	39
6.	Colony morphology of Some Rhizobial isolates from <i>Acacia saligna</i> A, Ac11F; B, Ac 9; C, Ac 1; D, Ac15s.....	46
7.	UPGMA dendrogram of the phenotypic traits of <i>Acacia</i> and wild legume rhizobia isolates.....	54
8.	SDS-PAGE of the total protein profile of rhizobia isolates....	55
9.	SDS-PAGE dendrogram for rhizobia isolates from <i>Acacia</i> trees and wild legumes.....	56
10.	Growth chamber in which plant infection technique was conducted. ....	57
11.	The experimental nursery in Rafah, North Sinai.....	59
12.	Total nodule number (nodules. Seedling <sup>-1</sup> ) formed by the 6-month-old seedlings grown with or without rhizobial inocula under sunny or shaded nursery. ....	60
13.	Total and tap-root nodule numbers (nodules. Seedling <sup>-1</sup> ) formed by the 6-month-old seedlings grown with or without rhizobial inocula under sunny or shaded nursery. ....	62
14.	Dry weight (g. Seedling <sup>-1</sup> ) of nodules formed by the 6-month-old seedlings grown with or without rhizobial inocula under sunny or shaded nursery. ....	62
15.	Plant heights of the 6-month-old <i>Acacia</i> grown with or without rhizobial inoculation under shade or direct sunlight conditions.....	63

16.	Shoot heights of the 6-month-old <i>Acacia</i> grown with or without.....	64
17.	Shoot dry biomass (g.seedling <sup>-1</sup> ) of the 6-month old <i>Acacia</i> seedlings grown with or without rhizobial inocula under sunny or shaded nursery. ....	65
18.	Root length (cm.seedlings <sup>-1</sup> ) of the 6-month-old seedlings grown with or without rhizobia inoculation under direct sunlight or shade.....	66
19.	Root dry biomass (g.seedlings <sup>-1</sup> ) of the 6-month-old seedlings grown with or without rhizobia inoculation under direct sunlight or shade.....	67
20.	Numbers (nod.seedling <sup>-1</sup> ) of nodules formed by the 1-year old <i>Acacia</i> seedlings inoculated or not with different rhizobial Isolates.....	68
21.	Nodule biomass of the one-year old <i>Acacia</i> seedlings grown with or without rhizobia under sunlight. ....	69
22.	Plant heights (cm.seedling <sup>-1</sup> ) of the one-year old <i>Acacia</i> inoculated or not with different rhizobial isolates. ....	70
23.	Shoot heights (cm.seedling <sup>-1</sup> ) of the one-year old <i>Acacia</i> inoculated or not with different rhizobial isolates. ....	70
24.	Shoot dry weight of the one-year old <i>Acacia</i> seedlings grown with or without rhizobia under sunlight. ....	71
25.	Root dry weight of the one-year old <i>Acacia</i> seedlings grown with or without rhizobia under sunlight.....	71
26.	Root heights (cm.seedling <sup>-1</sup> ) of the one-year old <i>Acacia</i> inoculated or not with different rhizobial isolates. ....	71
27.	Different survival patterns of the isolate Ac15s in pith-based inocula stored at different temperatures.....	72
28.	Rhizobial colonies recovered from sterilized –pith based Inoculum with low number of contamination.....	73
29.	Survival of <i>Acacia</i> rhizobial isolate Ac-15s in different inocula formulations at 30°C.....	74
30.	Survival of <i>Acacia</i> rhizobial isolate Ac-15s in different inocula formulations at 4°C room temp.....	75
31.	Correlation coefficient between nodule dry weight and seedling shoot dry weight.....	83

<b>32.</b>	Correlation coefficient between the total nodule numbers and seedling shoot heights.....	<b>83</b>
<b>33.</b>	Survival of rhizobial <i>Acacia</i> isolate Ac-15s in different inoculant formula at 4 or 30°C after 6 months.....	<b>85</b>

## INTRODUCTION

The leguminase constitutes one of the largest and most widely distributed families of flowering plants occupying habitats ranging from rain forests to arid zones (Raven and Polhill, 1981). Many members of the group are of considerable ecological and economical significance, due in part to their ability to form nitrogen-fixing symbioses with bacteria from the Rhizobiaceae. *Acacia* species are members of the Leguminosae comprising over 1500 of shrubs and trees with a wide distribution in Africa, Australia, South America and Asia. Their potential as multi-purpose plants in providing fire wood, pulp, timber, forage for cattle and fruit and gum has been well documented (Barnet and Catt, 1991). Most of these woody plants produce nodules and fix nitrogen in an endosymbiotic association with either rhizobia or bradyrhizobia (Njiti and Gliana, 1996). Despite the importance of such tree, there is little information on the nodulation status of the majority of Egyptian *Acacia* trees. The necessity of characterizing indigenous rhizobia cannot be overemphasized. It is crucial to establish which rhizobia nodulate host (s) and how efficiently in terms of N<sub>2</sub>-fixation, in order to develop broad host-range inocula for the various Egyptian sites. It has been already shown the wide spread occurrence of indigenous rhizobia and nodulation status of various tree host species. The need arises to isolate and characterize *Acacia* spp. root-nodulating bacteria to select the proper effective and competitive strains tolerant to different environmental stresses prevailing in Egyptian deserts.

Inoculation of legumes with rhizobia is a common international practice, which ensures and maximizes biological nitrogen fixation and enhances the plant yield potentials without using exogenous N fertilizers. Generally, inoculants are prepared by the addition of rhizobial cells to a powdered carrier followed by a period of incubation (Roughley and Plusford, 1982) to allow the development of maximum rhizobia cell numbers. Inoculants are commercially sold in powder, liquid and/or granular form. The powder form (rhizobial and peat powder) is the most popular method for industrial legume inocula production. However, liquid and granular products are available in limited quantities in North America and Europe (Stephens and Rask, 2000). Our objective was to study the feasibility of using pith as a carrier for bacterial inoculants following the common production and application procedures for most peat-based inoculant, peat was used as a reference carrier.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Leguminosae, with close to 20,000 species, is the third largest family in the plant kingdom (Doyle and Luckow, 2003). Legumes are important to many agronomic systems due to their symbiotic capacity for biological nitrogen fixation (Vance and Graham, 1995). Symbiosis is an interaction between the host legume root system and specialized soil bacteria, collectively referred to as rhizobia, resulting in the morphogenesis of nodules that harbor these nitrogen-fixing bacteria. There are six main genera of rhizobia, including *Allorhizobium*, *Azorhizobium*, *Bradyrhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium*, *Rhizobium*, and *Sinorhizobium*. Recent reports have expanded the list of nodulating symbiotic bacteria to include strains of *Blastobacter*, *Burkholderia*, *Devosia*, *Ensifer*, *Methylobacterium*, *Ochrobactrum*, and *Ralstonia* (Ngom *et al.*, 2004 and Sawada *et al.*, 2003). Much of our current understanding of legume-rhizobia symbiosis has resulted from coordinated research efforts on model systems using the legumes *Glycine max*, *Medicago truncatula*, and *Lotus japonicus*, along with their rhizobial symbionts (Choi *et al.*, 2004; Stacey *et al.*, 2004; Young *et al.*, 2003). These legumes have been selected either because of their agronomic importance or due to the practicalities associated with a relatively simple genome that is suitable for genetic manipulation. There are no model systems for woody legumes; however, a substantial amount of scientific information exists describing symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation in woody legumes. One of the most studied genera of woody legumes is *Acacia*.