



Cairo University

ELECTROCHEMICAL DIAGNOSIS OF GALVANIC CORROSION IN OIL WELL STRINGS

By

Nabil Adil Tayeb Ubaid

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
Petroleum Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015



Cairo University

ELECTROCHEMICAL DIAGNOSIS OF GALVANIC CORROSION IN OIL WELL STRINGS

By
Nabil Adil Tayeb Ubaid

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
Petroleum Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. S. M. El-Raghi

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Alim H. El-Sayed

.....
Prof. of Metallurgical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University

.....
Prof. of Petroleum Engineering
Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University

Dept. Of MPM (Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgical Engineering)
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015



Cairo University

ELECTROCHEMICAL DIAGNOSIS OF GALVANIC CORROSION IN OIL WELL STRINGS

By

Nabil Adil Tayeb Ubaid

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
Petroleum Engineering

Approved by the

Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. S. M. El-Raghi,
Prof. of Metallurgical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University

Main Supervisor

.....

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Alim H. El-Sayed,
Prof. of Petroleum Engineering
Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University

Supervisor

.....

Prof. Dr. Fouad Khalaf Mohamed,
Prof. of Petroleum Engineering
Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University

Member

.....

Prof. Dr. Muhamed Esam Muhamed Kandil
Projects General Director, GUPCO

Member

.....

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

Engineer: Nabil Adil Tayeb Ubaid
Date of Birth : 1/ 1/ 1962
Nationality: Sudanese
E-mail : tayeb.nabil@gmail.com
Phone. : 00201008300986
Address : El-Haram, Giza, Egypt
Registration Date : 16/ 3/ 2010
Awarding Date : / /
Degree: Doctor of Philosophy
Department : Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgy

Supervisors: Prof. Dr. S. M. El-Raghi,
Prof. Dr. Abdel-Alim H. El-Sayed

Examiners: Prof. Dr. S. M. El-Raghi,
Prof. Dr. Abdel-Alim H. El-Sayed ,
Prof. Dr. Fouad Khalaf Mohamed,
Prof. Dr. Muhamed Esam Muhamed Kandil
(Projects General Director, GUPCO)

Title of Thesis: Electrochemical Diagnosis of Galvanic Corrosion in Oil
Well Strings

Key Words: Casing, Tubing, Drillpipe, Galvanic corrosion, NDT

Summary:

Manufacturing and standards permit producing of oil well pipes holding various microstructures and stresses on their inner and outer walls, this assumed to be insignificant in premature string's failures. Full-Ring specimen was approved to be best representative of tubular good's corrosion. Tubular Dual Wall Corrosion Cell Kit and Full Ring Galvanic Corrosion Kit were innovated and effective galvanic corrosion was detected between walls. The study proposed introducing Jointal Corrosion Stability Index to tubular specifications, pipe joints with low index shall be excluded before marketing or assembling in strings.

Acknowledgements

I start with the name of Allah Who is the most gracious and most merciful. I am very thankful to Allah for his blessing and guidance to give me strength to achieve and finish this milestone in my life.

I would like also to express my heartfelt thanks to my supervisors, Prof. Abdel-Alim H. El-Sayed and Prof. Saad M. El-Raghi, Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgical Engineering Dept.MPM, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University. Prof. El-Sayed, I will forever be thankful for your guidance, encouragement, endless help and support towards the completion of this work. Prof. El-Raghi, you have been a tremendous mentor for me. I would like to thank you for encouraging my research out of my basic specialty as a petroleum engineer and allowing me to grow as a metallurgical and electrochemical researcher. Your patience and advice on both research, as well as on my career have been invaluable. I hope that I could be as lively, enthusiastic, and energetic as Prof. El-Raghi and to someday be able to manage an audience as well as he can.

I would also like to thank my committee members, Professor Fouad Khalaf and Professor M. Essam Kandil for serving as my committee members and for letting my defense be an enjoyable moment, and for your brilliant comments and suggestions, thanks to you.

I would like also to thank Prof. Randa Abdel-Karim the director of Metal Surfaces and Corrosion Laboratory, MPM Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University for her guidance and permission to carry out the experimental work and use of the laboratory's electrochemical devices and facilities. Thanks are extended to the entire laboratory's staff and the researchers who helping me in one way or another during my three years continuous experimental work.

I also would like to express my grateful appreciation to Dr. Hamed Muhammed Jassim Professor of mining engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Koya University for his detailed linguistic revision of the thesis.

My thanks are also extended to the directors, engineers and technicians of the metallurgical test laboratories, MPM Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University for their help in carrying out the mechanical, chemical and microstructural tests.

Particular thanks are extended to SINO THRWA DRILLING COMPANY which supplied the pipe material to the testing program.

I would like also to express my heartfelt thanks to Mr. Hushyar Rasoul who enabled my official study leave by mortgaging his valuable house to me. Thanks also extend to my faithful friends Mr. Ali M. Ahmad, Dr. Abdolah Abdolkareem, Dr. Hussein Ali, Dr. Lukman M. Gardi and Kakarash E. Gardi for their encouraging and financial support. At the end, I express my regards and blessings to all those who supported me in any way concerning this work.

Special thanks to my family. Words cannot express how grateful I am to my beloved wife Mahera for her support. I am deeply indebted to my mother for all of the sacrifices. Your prayer for me was what sustained me thus far.

Dedication

I dedicate this work to those who believe in human dignity over religious, races and nations, to those who feel pain for every tear drops worldwide and please for any smile drawn on any lip overseas.

Table of Contents

Title	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	I
DEDICATION	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	VII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VIII
NOMENCLATURE	XII
ABSTRACT	XIII
 CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	2
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	2
1.2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	4
1.3. OBJECTIVE	4
 CHAPTER 2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1. CORROSION SCOPE AND COST IN OIL INDUSTRY	6
2.2. OIL WELL STRING’S CORROSION MEDIA	7
2.3. OIL WELL STRING’S STEEL NATURE.....	8
2.4. OIL WELL STRING’S DESIGN.....	9
2.4.1. Drill String.....	9
2.4.2. Casing String	11
2.4.3. Tubing String.....	12
2.5. OCTG JOINTS SPECIFICATIONS AND MORPHOLOGY	13
2.6. OCTG MANUFACTURING	15
2.7. OCTG HANDLING AND LOADS	20
2.8. IN-SITU LOAD (POINT LOAD).....	20
2.9. CORROSION OF OCTG	21
2.9.1. Corrosion Definition.....	21
2.9.2. Electrochemical Cell.....	21
2.9.3. General Corrosion of Oil Well Strings	22
2.10. CORROSIVE AGENTS REACTIVITY DOWN-HOLE	23
2.10.1. Water Cut.....	23
2.10.2. Dissolved Oxygen	24
2.10.3. Dissolved CO ₂	25
2.10.4. Hydrogen Sulfide.....	25
2.10.5. Strong Acids (direct chemical attack).....	26

2.10.6.	Biochemical Sulfide	26
2.10.7.	Salinity.....	27
2.11.	CORROSION ALTERING FACTORS AND TYPES	27
2.11.1.	Electrolytic Corrosion Altering Factors.....	27
2.11.1.1.	pH Effect	28
2.11.1.2.	Dissolved Gas Concentration and Partial	28
2.11.2.	Environmental Factors Altering Corrosion Process	29
2.11.2.1.	Pressure Effect.....	29
2.11.2.2.	Temperature	30
2.11.2.3.	Velocity Effect	31
2.11.3.	Mechanical Factors Altering Corrosion Precess.....	32
2.11.3.1.	Corrosion Fatigue	32
2.11.3.2.	Sulfide Stress Corrosion	33
2.11.3.3.	Chloride Stress Cracking (CSC)	33
2.11.3.4.	Stress Corrosion Cracking	33
2.11.4.	Inter Granular Corrosion Altering Factors	33
2.11.5.	Galvanic Current Corrosion Altering Factor	33
2.11.5.1.	Bi-Metallic Galvanic Corrosion	33
2.11.5.2.	Bi-Metallurgic Galvanic Corrosion	34
2.11.5.3.	Bi-Environmental Galvanic Corrosion	34
2.11.5.4.	Bi-Concentration Galvanic Corrosion	34
2.11.5.4.1.	Constructional Trapped Electrolyte.....	34
2.11.5.4.2.	Under Scale Trapped Electrolyte.....	35
2.11.5.4.3.	Under Solid Deposit's Trapped Area	35
2.11.5.4.4.	Remote Concentration Change	36
2.11.6.	Stray-Current Corrosion	36
2.11.7.	Earth Current (Natural Current)	37
2.12.	MONO-METALLIC GALVANIC CORROSION THEORY	37
2.12.1.	Corrosion Potential and Corrosion Kinetics	38
2.12.2.	Electrolyte Properties	40
2.12.3.	Dissolved Oxygen and Fluid Velocity.....	40
2.12.4.	Fluid Conductivity	41
2.12.5.	Exposed Areas	42
2.12.6.	Condition of Surface.....	43
2.13.	MONO-JOINT DIFFERENTIAL CORROSION POTENTIAL.....	43
2.13.1.	MJDGC due to OCTG COMPOSITION.....	43
2.13.2.	MJDGC due to RESIDUAL STRESS.....	44
2.13.3.	MJDGC due to MICROSTRUCTURE	47
2.13.4.	MJDGC due to Mechanical and Dimension Tolerance	48
2.13.5.	Differential Cooling at Heat Treatment.....	49
2.14.	EFFECT OF MISREPRESENTATIVE SPECIMENS ON TUBULAR GOOD'S FAILURE ANALYSIS	50
2.15.	CONCLUSIONS OF LITERATURE REVIEW.....	50

CHAPTER 3

EXPERIMENTAL52

3.1.	ELECTROCHEMICAL TEST SPECIMEN	52
3.1.1.	Traditionally Cut Specimens	53
3.1.1.1.	Cylinder Segment Shape Specimen.....	53
3.1.1.2.	Cuboid Shape	54

3.1.2.	O-Ring Specimen	54
3.1.3.	Full-Ring Specimen.....	55
3.1.4.	1.1.4. Steel Analysis Test Specimen.....	56
3.2.	PREPARATION OF TEST SPECIMEN.....	56
3.3.	STEEL ANALYZING TECHNIQUES	57
3.3.1.	Microstructure	57
3.3.2.	Hardness Measurement.....	58
3.3.3.	Chemical Composition	59
3.4.	ELECTROCHEMICAL DIAGNOSIS.....	60
3.4.1.	Corrosion Rate Measurements.....	60
3.5.	FULL-RING ELECTROCHEMICAL MEASUREMENT.....	62
3.5.1.	Tubular Dual Wall Corrosion Cell Kit Design	62
3.5.2.	Data Logging System	63
3.5.3.	Full-Ring Corrosion Rate Measurements	64
3.5.3.1.	First Trial	64
3.5.3.2.	Second Trial	64
3.5.3.3.	Third Trial	65
3.5.3.4.	Fourth Trial	66
3.5.4.	Full-Ring Specimen Potentio-scanning	66
3.5.5.	Environmentally Controlled Galvanic Corrosion Measurements.....	67
3.5.6.	Dynamic Environmentally Controlled Rig System	68
3.5.7.	Dynamic Galvanic Corrosion Test Running Set	69

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....73

4.1.	OCTG SAMPLES MORPHOLOGY AND PROPERTIES.....	73
4.1.1.	Morphology	73
4.1.2.	Chemical Composition	73
4.1.3.	Hardness	74
4.1.4.	Metallography.....	74
4.1.5.	Physical Residual Stress	79
4.2.	SMALL SCALE CORROSION RATE VOLTAMETRY.....	80
4.3.	FULL- RING GENERAL CORROSION RATE MEASUREMENTS	82
4.4.	FULL-RING SPECIMEN EVALUATION BY POTENTIO-SCANNING	85
4.4.1.	Free Immersed Full-Ring Test.....	85
4.4.2.	Bottom Closed Full-Ring Test.....	85
4.4.3.	Full Closed Full-Ring Potentiostating.....	87
4.5.	FULL-RING OPEN CIRCUIT POTENTIAL	87
4.6.	TUBULAR GALVANIC CORROSION	88
4.6.1.	Galvanic Corrosion at Static Condition.....	88
4.6.2.	Dynamic Tests Running Problems	90
4.7.	VELOCITY EFFECT ON MJDGC	90
4.7.1.	Relative Velocity Effect on Galvanic Corrosion at Fixed Flow Rate.....	90
4.7.2.	NaCl Effect on Galvanic Corrosion.....	93
4.7.3.	Mixed Grade Galvanic Corrosion.....	95
4.7.4.	Fluid Path Geometry Effect on Galvanic Corrosion.....	97
4.7.5.	Sucker Rod-Casing Galvanic Corrosion.....	98

4.7.6.	Temperature Effect on Tubular's Galvanic Corrosion	99
4.7.7.	Variable Flow Rate.....	100
4.8.	DISCUSSION	101
CHAPTER 5		
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		109
5.1.	CONCLUSIONS:.....	109
5.2.	RECOMMENDATIONS	109
REFERENCES		111
الملخص		1

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Some API and Non-API OCTG grades.....	14
Table 2.2: Some API and Non-API OCTG grades manufacturing method	16
Table 2.3: Chemical compositions (%) of API 5A.....	44
Table 3.1: The Test pipe sample grades and specifications	53
Table 4.1. : Chemical composition comparison between pipes inner and outer walls...	73
Table 4.2: Hardness comparison of pipe samples inner, outer and cross sectional surfaces.....	74
Table 4.3: Corrosion rate measurements of small cut and O-Ring specimens.....	80
Table 4.4: The effect of EC on dynamic galvanic cell's potential and current.....	103
Table 4.5: The effect of EC on static galvanic cell's potential and current	103
Table 4.6: Temperature effect on cells current and potentials	105

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Oil well down-hole strings.....	7
Figure 2.2: Oil well drilling string assembly, after [27].....	11
Figure 2.3: Oil well casing strings.....	12
Figure 2.4 OCTG components, after[31]	13
Figure 2.5: Coupling connection (top) and Integral connection (bottom) after [31].....	15
Figure 2.6: OCTG upset types.....	15
Figure 2.7: Seamless OCTG manufacturing method after [35]	18
Figure 2.8: Electric resistance welded OCTG manufacturing method after [35].....	19
Figure 2.9: Oil well down-hole strings after [36].....	20
Figure 2.10: Geological profile of wells (II173—II146) in Yuejin II Oil Field.....	21
Figure 2.11: Corrosion on a steel surface after [14].....	23
Figure 2.14: Water cut effect on OCTG corrosion [80]	24
Figure 2.15: Rust tubercles formed by sulfate-reducing bacteria [14]	27
Figure 2.16: pH effect on corrosion rate [80].....	28
Figure 2.17: Dissolved gas concentration effect on OCTG corrosion rate[80].....	29
Figure 2.18: Dissolved partial pressure effect on some steel corrosion	30
Figure 2.19: Temperature effect on N-80 casing corrosion	31
Figure 2.20: Effect of velocity on corrosion rate, after [42]	32
Figure 2.21: Bi-Concentration corrosion between coupling threads gap[14]	34
Figure 2.22: Bi-Concentration barnacle corrosion under iron sulfide scale [14]	35
Figure 2.23: Bi-Concentration corrosion under iron carbonate scale [55]	35
Figure 2.24: Direct-current stray-current interference.	36
Figure 2.25: Earth and casing current in N.W Burnett field [73].....	37
Figure 2.26: Multi-metallic mono-metallic galvanic corrosion diagram	39
Figure 2.27: Velocity effect on bi-metallurgic galvanic corrosion	41
Figure 2.28: Relation of electrolyte conductivity effect on galvanic current area	42
Figure 2.29: Schematic illustration of: tubular manufacturing net residual stresses.....	45
Figure 2.31: Determination of residual stresses in thin walled tube by deflection methods	47
Figure 2.32: 3 X-ray pole figures for the four surfaces through the pipe wall.....	48
Figure 2.33: a. Cooling cross section b. Cooling during longitudinal transportation	49
Figure 3.1: Different test specimens.....	52
Figure 3.2: Traditional specimens a) cylinder segment b) cuboid shape	53
Figure 3.3: Adopted specimen by Nippon labs for corrosion study of 4 types of pipes,	54
Figure 3.4: O-Ring specimens a) inner b) outer c) cross-sectional	55
Figure 3.5: Full-Ring specimens a) Un-coated b) Isolated Ends.....	56
Figure 3.6: Tubular polishing machine	57
Figure 3.7: Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (XJP-6A).....	58
Figure 3.8: Shimadzu hardness tester HMv-2T	59
Figure 3.9: Thermo Scientific ARL 3460 metal analyzer	59
Figure 3.10: Volta lab 10 (Tacussel- Radiometer PGZ 100) potentiostat.....	60
Figure 3.11: Traditionally small cut specimens installation in corrosion cell kit	61
Figure 3.12: O-Ring specimens installation in corrosion cell kit.....	61
Figure 3.13: Tubular Dual Wall Corrosion Cell Kit (TDWCK)	63
Figure 3.14: PC interfaced UNI UT61b multi-meter	64
Figure 3.15: Polarization of TDWCK cell by Arduino micro controller	65

Figure 3.16: CPA 7662 integrated circuit on Arduino module circuit for polarization applications	65
Figure 3.17: Fourth trial developed circuit with Arduino microcontroller for polarization applications	66
Figure 3.18: Full-Ring specimen potentio-scanning set.....	67
Figure 3.19: Full Ring corrosion cell kit (FRGCK)	68
Figure 3.20: Rig system's components	69
Figure 3.21: Circulation diagram through FRGCK clockwise.....	70
Figure 3.22: Full- Ring galvanic corrosion test run clockwise with 1 ½ inch PVC pipe connection electrolyte bridge	70
Figure 3.23: Circulation diagram through FRGCK anticlockwise.....	71
Figure 3.24: Full- Ring galvanic corrosion test run anticlockwise with ½ inch pipe connection electrolyte bridge	71
Figure 4.1: Microstructure comparison between sectional, inner and outer wall of G-105 drill pipe.	75
Figure 4.2: Microstructure comparison between sectional, inner and outer wall of E-75 drill pipe.	76
Figure 4.3: Microstructure comparison between inner(left) and outer wall (right) of L-80 Tubing for used sample (top) and blank samples (down).....	77
Figure 4.4: Microstructure comparison between sectional, inner and outer wall of L-80 Tubing	77
Figure 4.5: Microstructure comparison between sectional, inner and outer wall of x-95 drill pipe.	78
Figure 4.6: Microstructure comparison between the body and upset end at inner wall of E-75 drill pipe.	78
Figure 4.7: Microstructure comparison between the body and upset end at inner wall of E-75 drill pipe.	79
Figure 4.8: Microstructure comparison between the body and upset end at inner wall of X-95 drill pipe.	79
Figure 4.9: Residual stress test	80
Figure 4.10: Polarization curve of small scale and O-Ring samples.....	81
Figure 4.11: Corrosion rate diagram of O-Ring types and traditional specimens.....	81
Figure 4.12: OCP before corrosion tests	82
Figure 4.13: Manual control over potential polarization curve for L-80 Specimen first trial	83
Figure 4.14: Arduino control over potential polarization curve for L-80 Specimen first trial	84
Figure 4.15: Arduino control over potential polarization curve for L-80 specimen third trial selected example.....	84
Figure 4.16: Free Full-Ring potentio-scanning for L-80 specimen.....	85
Figure 4.17: Semi closed Full-Ring potentio-scanning For L-80 specimen	86
Figure 4.18: Comparison Between Free And Semi Closed Full-Ring Potentioscanning For L-80 Specimen.....	86
Figure 4.19: Full closed ends Full-Ring Potentio-scanning for L-80.....	87
Figure 4.21: Free corrosion potential of tubular specimens	88
Figure 4.23: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 by Full ring corrosion cell kit (FRCK).....	89
Figure 4.24 Exp40: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 grade (Sample A In B Out).....	91

Figure 4.25: Exp41: (Left cell; B Out, Right cell; A In). Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80	91
Figure 4.26: Exp48: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 (Sample A In B Out) (EC 58 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl).....	92
Figure 4.27: Exp49: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 (Sample A In B Out) (EC 48 mS/cm 3.3%NaCl).....	92
Figure 4.28: Exp46: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 (Sample A In B Out)(EC 0mS)	93
Figure 4.29: Exp45: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 (Sample A In B Out)(EC 34mS)	93
Figure 4.30: Exp42: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 (Sample A In B Out)(EC 90mS)	94
Figure 4.31: Exp44: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 (Sample A In B Out) Galvanic Corrosion (EC 112mS).....	94
Figure 3.32: Exp43: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls of L-80 (Sample A In C In)Galvanic Corrosion (EC 90mS).....	95
Figure 4.33:Exp72: Galvanic corrosion between outer wall of 262 pipe of L-80 and outer walls of 263 pipe G-105 (EC 58 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl, T= 25).....	95
Figure 4.34: Exp74: Galvanic corrosion between outer wall of 262 pipe of L-80 and outer walls of 263 pipe G-105 (EC 58 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl, T= 25).....	96
Figure 4.35: Exp75:Static Galvanic corrosion between inner wall of 262 pipe of L-80 and inner wall of 263 pipe G-105 (EC 58 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl, T= 25).....	96
Figure 4.36: Exp76: Dynamic galvanic corrosion between inner wall of 262 pipe of L-80 and inner wall of 263 pipe G-105 (EC 58 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl, T= 25).....	97
Figure 4.37: Exp52 Semi dynamic: galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior - and interior walls of L-80 (Sample A262 In B262 Out).....	98
Figure 4.38: Exp47: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior walls (Sample A In B Out) reversed brine bridge position and high flowrate....	98
Figure 4.39: Exp54: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior 304 Rod and interior walls L-80 (EC 65 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl).....	99
Figure 4.40: Exp60:: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior wall of L-80 (EC 58 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl, T= 35)	99
Figure 4.41: Exp61: Galvanic corrosion measurement between exterior and interior wall of L-80 (EC 61 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl, T= 42)	100
Figure 4.42: Exp73: Galvanic corrosion between inner wall of 262 pipe L-80 and inner wall of 263 pipe G105 (EC 58 mS/cm 3.5%NaCl, T= 25)	100
Fig 4.43: Flow rate effect on Galvanic current between L-80 walls (cell impedance constant =1600 ohm).....	102
Figure 4.44: EC conductivity relative to salt concentration	103
Figure 4.45: NaCl concentration effect on anodic potential of the outer wall of b sample L-80 at annulus.....	104
Figure 4.46: NaCl concentration effect on cathodic potential of the inner sample of L-80 grade.....	104
Figure 4.47: NaCl concentration effect of galvanic potential difference between L-80 inner and outer walls	104
Figure 4.48: Temperature effect on galvanic current and walls potential of L-80 grade at static condition	105
Figure 4.49: Temperature effect on galvanic current and walls potential of L-80 grade at clockwise circulation condition.....	106

Figure 4.50: Temperature effect on galvanic current and walls potential of L-80 grade at anti clockwise circulation condition.....	106
---	-----