Comparison Evaluation of the Effect of Resilient Attachments Versus Rigid Attachments on Muscle Activity in Mandibular Implant Retained Overdentures Cases

Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University, For the Partial Fulfillment of the Doctoral Degree In oral and maxillofacial Prosthodontics

By **Amr Abd El Bary Mahdy Emarah**

B.D.S Cairo University (2002) M.D.S Cairo University (2011)

> Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University 2016

Supervisors

DR. Hany I. Eid

Emeritus Professor of Prosthodontics Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University

Dr. Fardos Nabel Fathy Rizk

Assistant professor and Head of Prosthodontic

Department

Faculty of Dentistry

British University

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

(قَالُوا سُبْخَانَكَ لاَ عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلاَّ مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْثَ العَلِيمُ (كَكِيمُ))

صدق الله العظيم البقرة الآية (٣٢)

ACKNOLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I am greatly thankful to ALLAH for granting me the perseverance to accomplish this work.

I was fortunate to conduct this work under the supervision of **Dr. Hany Ibrahim Eid** *Professor of Prosthodontics*, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University; I am in a deep gratitude for his faithful supervision and guidance, as well as beneficial advice throughout the whole work. He treated me like a son rather than a student.

I am deeply grateful to **Dr. Fardos Nabel Fathy Rizk**, Ass. *Professor of Prosthodontics*, Chairman of Prosthodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, British University, for her unlimited patience, remarkable effort, considerable help and for all the valuable time she has generously given me.

A special gratitude and thanks are owed to **Dr. Elsayed Hassan Mahdy**, *Lecturer of electronics and Control engineering at Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority*, for his valuable advises devoted efforts and co-operations.

A special thanks are expressed to **Mr. Ayman Mahmoud Ammar**, *Dental technician*, for his efficient help and effort throughout the clinical part of the study.

DEDICATION

To My Dear Parents

For their sincere pray to Allah
for me

To My wife, My two sisters and
my brother

Amr Emarah

List of Contents

				Page
Int	roductio	o n		1
Re	view Of	Literatu	ire	3
1.	Comp	lete Eder	ntulism	3
2.	_		omplete Denture	4
3.			s in Edentulous Mandibular Cases	4
	3.1.	-	ication of root form implant	5
		3.1.1.	Classification according to design	5
		3.1.2.	Classification according to implant surface treatment, microstructure, or surface topography	5
		3.1.3.	Classification according to the insertion technique	5
		3.1.4.	Classification of implants according to the surgical technique	6
		3.1.5.	Classification according to time of prosthetic loading	7
4.	Prosth	etic ontic	ons of implants retained prosthesis	7
•			edentulous cases	•
	4.1.		vable overdenture versus fixed	8
		4.1.1	Advantages of overdenture over fixed restoration	8
		4.1.2	Drawbacks of overdentures when compared to fixed restorations	10
5.	Implai	nt retaine	d overdentures	11
	5.1		tages over conventional complete	11
6.	5.2. Attach	Suppor	t in implant retained overdentures	14 16
	6.1	Classif	ication of implant attachments	17

		6.1.1.	Classification according to mode	17
			of fabrication	
		6.1.2.	Classification according to	17
			amount of movement allowed by	
			attachment	
	6.2.	Types of	of attachments	18
		6.2.1.	Stud attachments	18
			6.2.1.1. Classification of stud	18
			attachments	
		6.2.2.	Telescopic attachment	23
		6.2.3.	Bar attachment	24
		6.2.4.	Magnet attachment	26
7.	Evalua	tion of de	ental implants	27
	7.1.	Clinical	l evaluation	27
		7.1.1.	Mobility	28
		7.1.2.	Percussion	28
		7.1.3.	Pocket depth	28
	7.2.	Radiog	raphic evaluation	29
8.	Mastica	ation and	Muscles of mastication	30
9.		myograp	bhy	35
	9.1.	The cor	ncept of electromyography	36
	9.2.	Value o	of electromyography in dentistry	36
	9.3.	• •	of electromyography	37
	9.4.	-	nents of electromyographic system	37
	9.5.		tion between EMG activity and	39
		muscle		
	9.6.		tion between EMG signals and	40
		muscle	C	
	9.7.		affecting the reliability of surface	40
		EMG		
	9.8.		affecting electromyographic	41
		•	of the masticatory muscles	
			Patient related factors	42
		9.8.2.		48
		9.8.3.	Procedure related factors	50
	9.9.		terized electromyography	51
			iter based system)	
	9.10.	Limitat	ions of the electromyography	52
	0.777	G		
A im	of The	Study		5/

Ma	nterials And Methods	55
1.	Patient Selection	57
2.	Patient Examination	57
	2.1. Medical History	57
	2.2. Dental History	57
	2.3. Extra-oral examination	57
	2.4. Intra-oral examination	57
	2.4.1. Visual assessment	57
	2.4.2. Digital assessment	58
	2.5. Diagnostic cast evaluation and fabrication	58
	of radiographic stent	
	2.6. Radiographic examination	58
3.	Steps of complete denture construction	61
4.	Surgical stent construction	65
5.	Surgical procedure	66
6.	Grouping the study	74
7.	Recording the electromyographic activities	85
	7.1. Maximum Voluntary Teeth Clenching (MCV)	87
	7.2. Chewing data collection	87
	7.3. Data Analysis- EMG Power Spectrum	87
8.	Clinical evaluation (Pocket depth evaluation)	88
Re	sults	90
1.	Comparison between muscle activity of right and	91
2.	left sides of masseter and temporalis muscles	96
۷.	Comparison between the effect of time on the EMG record of the masseter and temporalis muscles	90
3.	Comparison between the effect of function: on the overall EMG activity of masseter and temporalis	102
	muscles.	
4.	Comparison between both groups in different periods on overall EMG activity of masseter and	105
	temporalis muscles	
5.	Effect of time on pocket depth	107
6.	Effect of different retention modalities Ball and Socket in group I and Telescopic in group II on pocket depth	109

Discussion	111
Summary	122
Conclusion	124
References	125
Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

		Page
Figure 1	Intra-oral preoperative view	56
Figure 2	Diagnostic mounted casts used to evaluate interarch distance	59
Figure 3	Radiographic stent with radiopaque marker	60
Figure 4	Cone beam Computerized Tomography	60
Figure 5	Upper and lower final impressions	62
Figure 6	Upper and lower casts mounted on the articulator	62
Figure 7	The finished upper and lower dentures in the patients' mouth	64
Figure 8	Surgical stent	65
Figure 9	Marking the implant sites	67
Figure 10	Semilunar flap	68
Figure 11	Drilling of the site	68
Figure 12	Drilling of the site	69
Figure 13	Implant was carried manually to the prepared surgical site	69
Figure 14	Implant was carried manually to the prepared surgical site	70
Figure 15	Ratchet was used to completely seat the implant	70
Figure 16	Ratchet was used to completely seat the implant	71