



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Physics Department

Preparation and Characterization of Mg-based Alloys for Bone Substitution

Thesis submitted to faculty of Science- Ain Shams University for
Degree of M.Sc. in Biophysics

By

Aya Bahaa El-Deen Mahmoud Ahmed

B.Sc. in Biophysics (2011)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr.

Mona Salah El-Din Hassan Talaat

Prof. of Biophysics, Physics Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr.

Gamila Al-Sayed Ahmed Al-Ganainy

Prof. of Solid State physics, Physics Department, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr.

Mohamed Abd El- Wahab Mohamed Waly

Prof. of Casting Technology, Central Metallurgical Research and
Development Institute

**Department of physics
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
(2018)**

Acknowledgement

*First of all, all glory is to Almighty **Allah**, the most merciful, who gave me the capabilities to complete this research work*

*I would like to thank my thesis advisor **Prof. Dr. Mona Salah El-Din Hassan**, professor of biophysics, faculty of science, Ainshams university. The door to **Prof. Mona** office was always open whenever I ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my research or writing. She steered me in the right the direction whenever she thought I needed it.*

*I would like to sincerely thank **Prof. Dr. Gamila Al-Sayed Al-Ganainy**, professor of solid state, faculty of science, Ainshams university. There is an old Chinese saying that 'Teaching a man how to fish is better than giving him a fish'. Now, I get the true meaning. **Prof. Gamila** enlightening suggestions and innovative ideas which broadens my views and enhances my practical ability during my research .*

*I would also like to thank **Prof.Dr. Mohamed Waly**, professor of casting technology,Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute. I am gratefully indebted to him for his very valuable comments on this thesis.*

*Also, I would like to thank all professors in our department, who helped and encouraged me, **Prof. Dr. Abd El-Sattar Sallam**, **Prof. Dr.Elsayed Mahmoud Elsayed** and **Prof.Dr. Ibrahim Hassan**.*

*Special thanks go to **Dr. Hadeer Ibrahim**, lecturer of biophysics, faculty of science, Ainshams university who was involved in the validation survey for this thesis. I really admired her interest in scientific research.Without her passionate participation and input, I could not have been succeeded .*

*My appreciation and gratitude go to **Dr. Mohamed Eissa**, a researcher at the metal casting department, Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute for his helpful discussion and support in the experimental work .*

*Also, it is a pleasure to thank **Dr. Amina Yousef Omar**, and **Dr. Heba Kahil**, lecturers of biophysics, faculty of science, Ainshams university, for their encouragement, support and advices.*

*I would like to thank all my colleagues **Mohamed Marzouk**, **Mohamed Adel**, **Ibrahim Gamal**, **Asmaa**, **Nada**, and **Somia**, who directly or indirectly helped me or provided encouragement.*

*Many thanks to my friends **Hadeel**, **Manar**, **Menna**, **Reem**, and **Sara**. Their endless support, patience and love, which encourages me to be optimistic and enthusiastic of my study .*

*Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to **my father eng. Bahaa**, **my mother Aml**, **my mother in law Fatma**, and to **my sisters; Rahaf**, **Omnia** and **Rody**, for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.*

*Very special thanks to my sweet daughter **Mariam**, praying to be safe and blessed.*

Dedication

*I would like to dedicate this thesis
to my lovely husband Waleed*

Contents

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|---------------|
| List of Figures..... | I |
| List of Tables..... | VII |
| List of Abbreviations..... | VIII |
| List of Equations..... | X |
| Abstract..... | XII |
| <u>Chapter 1: Introduction and literature review</u> | 1 |
| 1.1.Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.2.Literature review..... | 4 |
| 1.2.1. Biodegradable Metals..... | .4 |
| 1.2.2. Most common alloying element of Mg implant..... | 5 |
| 1.2.3. Most common coating techniques..... | 8 |
| 1.3.Aim of work | 10 |
| <u>Chapter 2: Theoretical aspects</u> | 13 |
| 2.1. Introduction to biomaterials..... | 13 |
| 2.1.1. The concept of Biomaterials..... | 13 |
| 2.1.2. Biocompatibility | 13 |
| 2.1.3. Classification of Biomaterials..... | 14 |
| 2.2. Orthopedic Biomaterials..... | 16 |
| 2.2.1. Bone and Bone Fractures..... | 16 |
| 2.2.2. Orthopedic Metallic Implant..... | 17 |
| 2.3. Advantages of Mg and its alloys as implants..... | 20 |
| 2.3.1. Biodegradable..... | 20 |
| 2.3.2. Biocompatible..... | 20 |

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 2.4. Challenges for magnesium alloys as orthopedic implant | 22 |
| 2.4.1. Principles of Metallic Corrosion..... | 23 |
| 2.4.1.1. Electrochemical Corrosion..... | 23 |
| 2.4.2 Corrosion mechanisms of Mg and its alloys..... | 25 |
| 2.4.2.1. Influence of impurities on corrosion..... | 27 |
| 2.4.2.2. Influence of second phase..... | 28 |
| 2.4.3 Techniques to measure corrosion rate..... | 28 |
| 2.5.Ways to improve the performance of magnesium implants | 30 |
| 2.5.1 Alloying elements..... | 30 |
| 2.5.1.1. Element selection..... | 32 |
| 2.5.2 Surface modification (surface coating)..... | 34 |
| 2.5.2.1. Calcium phosphates..... | 34 |
| 2.5.2.1.1. Dicalcium phosphate dehydrate..... | 34 |
| 2.5.2.1.2. Octacalcium phosphate (OCP)..... | 36 |
| 2.5.2.1.3. Tricalcium phosphate (TCP)..... | 36 |
| 2.5.2.1.4. Hydroxyapatite (HA)..... | 37 |
| 2.5.2.2. Coating techniques..... | 38 |
| 2.5.2.2.1. Thermal Spray Process..... | 38 |
| 2.5.2.2.2. Plasma Spray method..... | 39 |
| 2.5.2.2.3. Biomimetic coating | 40 |
| 2.5.2.2.4. Sol–gel | 41 |
| 2.5.2.2.5. Electrodeposition Process..... | 41 |
| 2.5.2.2.6. Autocatalytic Technique..... | 42 |
| 2.6. Principles of analysis and characterization..... | 43 |

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| 2.6.1.1.X- ray diffraction (XRD)..... | 43 |
| 2.6.2. Morphological analysis..... | 44 |
| 2.6.2.1. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) | 44 |
| 2.6.3. Chemical analysis..... | 45 |
| 2.6.3.1. X-ray fluorescence (XRF)..... | 45 |
| 2.6.3.2. UV- Spectrophotometer | 46 |
| 2.6.4. Mechanical properties principles..... | 47 |
| 2.6.4.1. Stress strain curve..... | 47 |
| <u>Chapter 3: Material and method</u> | 51 |
| 3.1. Materials | 52 |
| 3.1.1. Sample preparation..... | 52 |
| 3.1.2. Sample Coating..... | 52 |
| 3.1.3. Preparation of Simulated body fluid (SBF)..... | 54 |
| 3.2. Material preparation..... | 55 |
| 3.2.1. Melting and Casting alloys | 55 |
| 3.2.2. Metallographic preparation and etching for characterization | 59 |
| 3.3. Microstructure Characterization..... | 59 |
| 3.3.1 Optical Microscope | 59 |
| 3.3.2. X-ray diffraction (XRD)..... | 59 |
| 3.3.3. Scanning electron microscope and EDS | 60 |
| 3.4.Mechanical tests..... | 60 |
| 3.5. Sample Coating..... | 61 |

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 3.5.1.1. Cleaning..... | 61 |
| 3.5.1.2. Etching | 61 |
| 3.5.1.3. Alkali treatment..... | 62 |
| 3.5.2. Coating by Autocatalytic bath | 62 |
| 3.5.3. Characterization of Coating..... | 63 |
| 3.6. Corrosion Evaluation by Immersion in (SBF) | 64 |
| 3.6.1. Preparation of SBF..... | 64 |
| 3.6.2 Immersion of sample in SBF..... | 65 |
| 3.6.3. Study ionic release after immersion..... | 66 |
| <u>Chapter 4: Results and Discussion</u> | 67 |
| 4.1. Part 1: As-Cast Alloys | 67 |
| 4.1.1 Chemical analysis..... | 67 |
| 4.1.2. Phases and Microstructure Characterization..... | 67 |
| 4.1.3. Mechanical properties characterization..... | 77 |
| 4.1.4. Degradation rate analysis of the as-cast alloy samples | 81 |
| 4.1.5. The microstructure of the alloys after immersion | 81 |
| 4.2. Discussion of part 1..... | 84 |
| 4.3. Part 2 : Coated Alloys | 90 |
| 4.3.1.Characterization of coating..... | 90 |
| 4.3.2.Degradation rate analysis of coated alloys | 96 |
| 4.3.3.The microstructure of coated alloys after immersion | 97 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 4.4. Discussion of part 2 | 99 |
| 4.5. Part 3: Comparison between the degradation behavior of un-coated and coated alloys..... | 102 |
| Conclusion..... | 107 |
| References | 109 |
| Appendix..... | |
| Arabic summary..... | |

List of Figures

| | | |
|--------------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 | An overview of biomaterial classes | 14 |
| Figure 2.2 | Biomaterial applications in different human body organ | 16 |
| Figure 2.3 | Reactions between magnesium alloys and aqueous environment | 26 |
| Figure 2.4 | Effect of iron content on the corrosion rate of commercially pure Mg subjected to immersion in 3 % NaCl | 28 |
| Figure 2.5 | Schematic illustration of the procedure to measure the corrosion rate by measuring the volume of hydrogen evolved | 30 |
| Figure 2.6 | Schematic diagram of thermal spray process | 39 |
| Figure 2.7 | Schematic diagram of the plasma spray process | 40 |
| Figure 2.8 | Electrodeposition process using a DC power source | 42 |
| Figure 2.9 | X- ray tube | 44 |
| Figure 2.10 | UV- Spectrophotometer | 47 |
| Figure 2.11 | Tensile specimen'dog-bone'shape | 48 |
| Figure 2.12 | Stress –strain curve | 49 |
| Figure 2.13 | Comparison between stress –strain curve of ductile and brittle material | 50 |
| Figure 3.1 | Flow sheet of experimental work | 51 |
| Figure 3.2 | Electric resistance furnace | 56 |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|----|
| Figure 3.3 | The melt protection by tetrafluoroethane ($\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{F}$, HFC-134a) and CO_2 gas mixture blowing through the stainless steel tube adjusted on the surface of the molten magnesium alloy. | 56 |
| Figure 3.4 | Remelting of Mg-4 wt.%Zn alloy in a graphite crucible using an electric resistance furnace | 58 |
| Figure 3.5 | Pouring process of melt under protective gas | 58 |
| Figure 3.6 | Dimensions of the tensile specimen | 60 |
| Figure 3.7 | Samples in form of discs | 61 |
| Figure 3.8 | Sample immersion in acidic bath coating at 60°C under magnetic stirrer | 63 |
| Figure 3.9 | Schematic diagram shows the preparation of SBF using a magnetic stirrer | 65 |
| Figure 4.1 | XRD patterns of Mg-4 wt.%Zn alloy (a) without addition and with the addition of 0.5wt% of each (b) Sb, (c) Sn and (d) Bi | 68 |
| Figure 4.2 | Optical micrographs of Mg-4 wt.%Zn alloy (without addition and with the addition of 0.5wt% of each Sb, Sn and Bi). | 70 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.3 | The variation of average grain size of the investigated Mg-4 wt. %Zn alloy with the addition of 0.5wt% of each (Sb, Sn and Bi) | 71 |
| Figure 4.4 | SEM micrographs with EDS point analysis in as-cast alloys (a) Mg-4wt% Zn and (b) Mg-4wt%Zn-0.5wt% Sb. | 73 |
| Continue Figure 4.4 | SEM micrographs with EDS point analysis in as-cast alloys (C) Mg-4wt% Zn-wt.%Sn and (d) Mg-4wt%Zn-0.5wt% Bi. | 74 |
| Figure 4.5 | a) SEM micrograph and EDS elemental mapping of Mg-4wt% Zn (b) Mg and (c) Zn in as-cast alloy Mg-4wt% Zn and (d) SEM micrograph and EDS elemental mapping of Mg-4wt% Zn-0.5wt% Sb. (e) Mg, (f) Zn and (g) Sb in as-cast alloy Mg-4wt% Zn-0.5wt% Sb | 75 |
| Continue Figure 4.5 | (h) SEM micrograph and EDS elemental mapping of Mg-4wt% Zn -0.5wt%Sn (i) Mg and (j) Zn (k) Sn in as-cast alloy Mg-4wt% Zn -0.5wt%Sn and (l) SEM micrograph and EDS elemental mapping of Mg-4wt% Zn-0.5wt% Bi. (m) Mg, (n) Zn and (o) Bi in as-cast alloy Mg-4wt% Zn-0.5wt% Bi. | 76 |
| Figure 4.6 | The data curves of tensile strength test of (a) Mg-4Zn, (b) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sb, (c) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sn and (d) Mg-4Zn-0.5-Bi. | 78 |
| Figure 4.7 | The stress-strain curves of compression strength test of two samples of each alloy; (a) Mg-4Zn, (b) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sb, (c) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sn and (d) Mg-4Zn-0.5-Bi. | 79 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|----|
| Figure 4.8 | Effect of different alloying elements on the ultimate tensile strength of the investigated Mg-4wt%Zn alloy in compare with pure Mg | 80 |
| Figure 4.9 | Effect of different alloying elements on compressive strength of the investigated Mg-4wt%Zn alloy in comparison with pure Mg | 80 |
| Figure 4.10 | The average degradation rate during immersion of un-coated alloys for 14 days | 82 |
| Figure 4.11 | Figure 4.11: SEM micrographs of un-coated alloys surface after immersion in SBF for 14 days. ((a)-(c)) Mg-4Zn, ((d)-(f)) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sb and ((g)-(i)) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sn. | 83 |
| Figure 4.12 | Schematic diagram illustrates the general corrosion mechanism of bare alloys during immersion in SBF | 87 |
| Figure 4.13 | The release of H ₂ gas bubbles after immersion of (un-coated) alloys | 87 |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.14 | SEM micrographs with EDS showing the coat formed on the surface of Mg-4wt% Zn | 91 |
| Figure 4.15 | SEM micrographs with EDS showing the coat formed on the surface of Mg-4wt% Zn-0.5wt%Sb | 92 |
| Figure 4.16 | SEM micrographs with EDS showing the coat formed on the surface of Mg-4wt% Zn-0.5wt%Sn | 93 |
| Figure 4.17 | SEM micrographs with EDS showing the coat formed on the surface of Mg-4wt% Zn-0.5wt%Bi | 94 |
| Figure 4.18 | XRD pattern of coated alloys (a) Mg-4Zn, (b) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sb, (c) Mg-4Zn-0.5Bi and (d) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sn | 95 |
| Figure 4.19 | The average degradation rate during immersion of coated alloys | 96 |
| Figure 4.20 | SEM micrographs of coated surface of alloys after immersion in SBF for 14 days. ((a)-(c)) coated Mg-4Zn, (d,e) coated Mg-4Zn-0.5Sb, (f,g) coated Mg-4Zn-0.5Sn, and (h,i) coated Mg-4Zn-0.5Bi | 98 |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.21 | Schematic diagram illustrates the corrosion mechanism of coated alloys during immersion in SBF ,(a and b) Mg-4Zn, Mg-4Zn-0.5Sn and Mg-4Zn-0.5Bi , (c and d) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sb | 101 |
| Figure 4.22 | SEM images of the un- coated alloys before and after immersion (a,b)Mg-4Zn ,(c,d)Mg-4Zn-0.5Sb and (e,f) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sn | 103 |
| Figure4.23 | SEM images of the coated alloys before and after immersion (a,b)Mg-4Zn ,(c,d)Mg-4Zn-0.5Sb, (e,f) Mg-4Zn-0.5Sn and (g,h) Mg-4Zn-0.5Bi | 103 |
| Figure 4.24 | The average degradation rate at first week of immersion of the alloys under investigation in (mm/hr) | 105 |
| Figure 4.25 | Average concentrations of Ca and P ions in SBF after immersion of alloys in SBF for 14 days. | 106 |