



Cairo University

SIMULATION OF SEDIMENT TRANSPORT IN HIGH ASWAN DAM LAKE

By

Rajaa Mohammed Sabri

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2014

SIMULATION OF SEDIMENT TRANSPORT IN HIGH ASWAN DAM LAKE

By
Rajaa Mohammed Sabri

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed S. El-Manadely

Dr. Mohamed H. El-Gamal

.....
Professor of Hydraulics
Head of the Irrigation and Hydraulics
Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Associate Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2014

SIMULATION OF SEDIMENT TRANSPORT IN HIGH ASWAN DAM LAKE

By
Rajaa Mohammed Sabri

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Soliman, External Examiner

Prof. Dr. M. Mokhles Abou-Seida, Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Mohamed S. El-Manadely, Thesis Main Advisor

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2014

Engineer's Name: Rajaa Mohammed Sabri
Date of Birth: 28/ 6/1976
Nationality: Iraqi
E-mail: Rajaa_7672@yahoo.com
Phone: 01117588233
Address: Baghdad- Iraq
Registration Date: 01/10/2011
Awarding Date: / /2014
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Irrigation and Hydraulic Engineering

Insert photo here

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed S. El- Manadely

Dr. Mohamed H. El- Gamal

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Soliman (External examiner)
Prof. Dr. M. Mokhles Abou- Seida, Irrigation and
Hydraulics Department Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University) (Internal examiner)

Prof. Dr. Mohamed S. El- Manadely, Head of the
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department Faculty of
Engineering, Cairo University (Thesis main advisor)

Title of Thesis:

SIMULATION OF SEDIMENT TRANSPORT IN HIGH ASWAN DAM LAKE

Key Words:

Sediment Transport, High Aswan Dam Lake, GSTARS3, Scenarios, Numerical Model

Summary:

High Aswan Dam is the most important construction on the Nile River for water storage and control. The dam experiences sedimentation problem upstream the lake. The rate of reservoir sedimentation depends mainly on the size of a reservoir relative to the amount of sediment flowing into it. Through this study, a number of scenarios has been tested to numerically study the expected morphological changes that might take place in HADL as a result of the possible reduction of the incoming flow and/ or the sediment loads due to any possible dam construction activities upstream. The mathematical model was first verified to represent the conditions of the lake second; the model was evaluated then applied to the developed scenarios to predict the sediment transport in the longitudinal direction and sedimentation zone extension along the lake and to estimate of the expected total sediment volume.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Mohamed S. El Manadely who supported my M.Sc. study and provided me with valuable suggestions and guidance to improve my understanding of sediment transport theories and engineering. His advices not only improved my academic development but also guided me to become a better engineer. It is a great honor for me to be his student.

I also wish to express my gratitude to Dr. Mohammed H. El-Gamal for his advices and guidance to complete my course work and dissertation.

I am grateful to Eng. Mokhtar Ali Morad- Head Engineer- Nile protection and development sector- Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation for providing me software facilities that were used to perform this study.

I wish to express my appreciation to my uncle chief Eng. Mohammed Karam Al Sayyad who encouraged me during my studies. I am grateful to my parents for their support and encouragement of my M.Sc. studies.

Dedication

To My Father's Soul,

Mother,

My Husband

and Lovely Kids

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
DEDICATION.....	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VI
NOMENCLATURE.....	VII
ABSTRACT.....	XI
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. GENERAL BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF HIGH ASWAN DAM LAKE.....	2
1.3. SEDIMENTATION IN THE HADL.....	2
1.4. PROBLEM DEFINITION.....	4
1.5. OBJECTIVES.....	5
1.6. THESIS OUTLINES.....	5
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	7
2.1. GENERAL MODEL IN LAKES.....	7
2.2. REVIEW OF THE STUDIES RELATED TO THE HADL.....	15
CHAPTER 3: AVAILABLE DATA.....	21
3.1. INTRODUCTION.....	21
3.2. THE CROSS SECTIONS.....	21
3.3. FLUCTUATION IN WATER LEVEL AT HADL.....	24
3.4. OUTFLOW DISCHARGE.....	26
3.5. INFLOW DISCHARGES TO THE LAKE.....	28
3.6. HYDROGRAPH AND SEDIMENT INFLOW DATA.....	30
3.7. GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF BED SAMPLES.....	31
3.8. WATER TEMPERATURE DATA.....	33
CHAPTER 4: NUMERICAL MODEL CALIBRATION AND VERIFICATION.....	34
4.1. THE NUMERICAL MODEL.....	34
4.1.1. Introduction.....	34
4.1.2. Purpose and Capabilities.....	35
4.1.3. Hydraulic Computation of GSTARS3.....	35
4.1.4. Reservoir Routing.....	37
4.2. SEDIMENT ROUTING AND CHANNEL ADJUSTMENT OF GSTARS3.....	39
4.2.1. Sediment Transport Equations.....	42
4.2.2. Ashida and Michiue Method (1972).....	43
4.2.3. Non- equilibrium Sediment Transport.....	45
4.3. MATHEMATICAL MODEL CALIBRATION.....	47
4.3.1. Flow Mode Calibration Phase.....	49
4.3.2. Morphology Mode Calibration phase.....	53
4.3.2.1. Equilibrium Sediment Transport.....	54
4.3.2.2. Recovery Factor.....	54
4.3.2.3. Model Sensitivity for Time Step.....	59
4.3.2.4. Optimization Methods.....	64

4.3.2. Mathematical Model Verification.....	67
4.3.2.1. Flow Model Verification Phase.....	67
4.3.2.2. Morphology Model Verification Phase.....	68
CHAPTER 5: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	71
5.1. INTRODUCTION.....	71
5.2. SCENARIOS ANALYSIS	72
5.3. SCENARIOS APPLICATION.....	72
5.3.1. Scenarios 1 (base run)	72
5.3.2. Scenarios 2.....	74
5.3.3. Scenarios 3.....	76
5.3.4. Scenarios 4.....	79
CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	83
6.1. SUMMARY.....	83
6.2. CONCLUSIONS.....	84
6.3. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	87
REFERENCES.....	88

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Summary of Selected Research Activities Done on the HADL	20
Table 3.1: Distance of Fixed Cross Sections Upstream HAD.....	22
Table 3.2: Discharges at Dongola and Inflow to the Lake in Period (1964-2010).....	30
Table 3.3: Median Diameter (D_{50}) of Measured Bed Material Samples in Micron.....	31
Table 3.4: Percentage of Sand, Silt, and Clay in Bed Material (October 81).....	32
Table 4.1: Sediment Transport Equations used for GSTARS3.....	42
Table 4.2: Combination of Recovery Factor.....	56
Table 4.3: Comparison between Thalweg Bed Elevation Modeled and Measured for Cross Sections in (1/3/1990).....	57
Table 4.4: Comparison between Thalweg Bed Elevation Calculated and Measured for Cross Sections in (20/12/1994).....	69
Table 5.1: Total Sediment Volume, the Average Annual Sedimentation Volume and Years to Fill Dead Storage (Scenario 3).....	77
Table 5.2: Total Sediment Volume, the Average Annual Sedimentation Volume and Years to Fill Dead Storage (Scenario 4).....	79
Table 5.3: Comparison between the Scenarios Results.....	81

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Basic Types of Deposition.....	1
Figure 1.2: Location of High Aswan Dam and its Reservoir.....	3
Figure 1.3: HADL and its Main Khors in both East and West Banks.....	4
Figure 3.1: Map Showing the Location of Cross Sections Upstream HAD.....	23
Figure 3.2: Annual Fluctuation of Water Level in HADL between 1970-2010 (Max. and Min. Water Level) / Year.....	25
Figure 3.3: The Mean 10-days of Water Level just Upstream HAD (1995).....	25
Figure 3.4: The relation between Water Level U/S HAD and the Water Volume of the Lake.....	26
Figure 3.5: Outflow and Inflow Discharges for the Period (1986-1994).....	27
Figure 3.6: Monthly Average of Outflow Discharge at HAD (1995).....	28
Figure 3.7: Inflow Discharges at Dongola Station for Different Years.....	29
Figure 3.8: Monthly Temperature in HADL.....	33
Figure 4.1: Schematic Representation Illustrating the Use of Stream Tube Concept.....	34
Figure 4.2: Definition of variables.....	36
Figure 4.3: Representation of a Hydrograph by a Series of Steps with Constant Discharge and Finite Duration.....	37
Figure 4.4: Delineation of Volumes to Build the Capacity Table Used to Determine <i>H_{res}</i>	38
Figure 4.5: Definition of Variables for a Cross Section.....	41
Figure 4.6: Provides Ratio between Non-equilibrium Concentration and Carrying Capacity as a Function of Sediment Particle Size.....	46
Figure 4.7: Variation of non-equilibrium effects as a function of distance between cross sections for aggradation (a) and for erosion (b).....	47
Figure 4.8: Simplified flow chart of GSTARS3 model.....	48
Figure 4.9: Spatial Variation of (<i>d₅₀</i>) along the Sedimentation Zone U/S HAD....	50
Figure 4.10: Adopted Spatial Variation of Manning's <i>n</i> Values for this study....	51
Figure 4.11: Longitudinal Water Surface Profile throughout HADL (After Calibration Dec. 1985).....	51
Figure 4.12: Comparison between Actual and Calculated Capacities for HADL..	52
Figure 4.13: Temporal Variation of Longitudinal bed Profile while Adopting Equilibrium sediment Equation Assumption.....	54
Figure 4.14: Relationship between recovery factor and (a) shear velocity, (b) sediment fall velocity, and (c) ω_s / U^*	55
Figure 4.15: The Predicted Longitudinal Bed Profile after the Calibration while Assuming Non-Equilibrium Sediment Condition and Measure.....	58
Figure 4.16-a: Comparison of Longitudinal Profiles in HADL using Different time Steps ($\Delta t = 10$, and 30 days).....	59

Figure 4.16-b: Zoom in for Upstream Lake by using different time steps ($\Delta t = 10$ and 30 days).....	60
Figure 4.17: Cross Sec. 19 (466 km u/s HAD) Year 1990	61
Figure 4.18: Cross Sec. 16 (448 km u/s HAD) Year 1990	61
Figure 4.19: Cross Sec. 13(431 km u/s HAD) Year 1990	62
Figure 4.20: Cross Sec. 8 (403 km u/s HAD) Year 1990	62
Figure 4.21: Cross Sec. 6 (394 km U/S HAD) Year 1990	63
Figure 4.22: Cross Sec. D (372 km u/s HAD) Year 1990	63
Figure 4.23: Comparison of Longitudinal Profiles with / without Minimization Option.....	65
Figure 4.24: Comparison of Cross Sec. 13 (431 km U/S HAD) Year 1990 by Using Minimization and without.....	66
Figure 4.25: Comparison of Cross Sec. 8 (403 km U/S HAD) Year 1990 by Using Minimization and without.....	66
Figure 4.26: Longitudinal Water Surface Profile throughout HADL (after Verification Dec. 1994)	67
Figure 4.27: Comparison between Actual and Calculated Capacities for HAD....	68
Figure 4.28: Comparison of longitudinal profiles for Verification Phase (Dec. 1994)	70
Figure 5.1: Predicted Thalweg Line at 2050 (as per Scenario 1)	73
Figure 5.2: Example of Monthly Average Inflow Discharges to HADL (Year 1998).....	74
Figure 5.3-a: Predicted Thalweg Line at 2050 (as per Scenario2).....	75
Figure 5.3-b: Zoom in for Upstream Lake reach (as per Scenario2).....	76
Figure 5.4-a: Predicted Thalweg Line at 2050 (as per Scenario3).....	78
Figure 5.4-b: Zoom in for Upstream Lake Reach (as per Scenario 3).....	79
Figure 5.5: Predicted Thalweg Line at 2050 (as per Scenario 4).....	80

Nomenclature

A	Cross section area
A_d	Ineffective cross sectional area
As	Volume of sediment in suspension at the cross section per unit length
A_k	Surface area of the reservoir represented by cross section k
ARV	Annual runoff coefficient
$amsl$	Above mean sea level
B	Channel width
BCM	Billion cubic meters
C_{ai}	Concentration at a reference level
C_i	Concentration of sediment in transportation at cross section i
c	Number of particles per unit bed area at time
C_t	Total sediment concentration
$C_{t,i}$	Sediment transport capacity at cross section i
C_v	Suspended sediment concentration by volume, including wash load
d	Sediment particle diameter
d_{50}	Mean bed material size
Dg	Primary grain diameter
E_n	Artificial damping coefficient
g	Gravitational acceleration
G	Specific gravity of sediments in water
H	Elevation of the energy line above the datum
HAD	High Aswan Dam
$HADL$	High Aswan Dam Lake
h	Water surface elevation
i	Cross section index
j	Time index
K	Total number of size fractions
k	Size fraction index
M_j	Mass of particle
$MinF$	Minimizing sedimentation
MAR	Mean annual runoff
MAP	Mean annual precipitation
n	Manning's roughness coefficient
N	Number of size fraction
Q	Water discharge
Q_i	Time weighted discharges
Q_s	Volumetric sediment discharge
$Q_{s,i}$	Sediment transport rate at cross section i
$Q_{s,i,k}$	Computed volumetric sediment discharge for size k at cross section i
q	Discharge of flow per unit width
q_{lat}	Lateral inflow per unit length of channel
q_{bi}	Bed load transport rate for size fraction i
P	Wetted parameter

p_i	Percentage of material of size fraction i available in bed
P_i^*	Percentage of material of size fraction i incoming to the reach
ppm	Part per million
Rh	Hydraulic radius
r_T	Turbulent diffusivity
$R_{j,t}$	Reservoir release
ROC	Runoff coefficient
S	Specific gravity
S_e	Slope of the energy line
$S_{j,t}$	The largest storage level for the terminal storage state
S_y	Sediment yield
STD	Soil type and drainage
t	Time
u_i	Velocity of particle of class i
u_s	Sediment transport velocity
u^*	Shear velocity
u_e^*	Effective shear velocity
u_{c50}^*	Non dimensional critical shear velocity for the d_{50} fraction
V	Flow velocity
VC	Vegetation condition
x	Length along the flow direction
y	Water depth
z	Bed elevation
τ_j	Particle strength
α	Recovery factor
α_d	Recovery factor for deposition
α_k	Recovery factor of sediment size group k
α_s	Recovery factor for scour
γ_s	Specific weights of sediment
γ_m	Specific weights of sediment-laden flow
Δt	Time step interval
Δx	Distance between cross sections
Δx_i	Distance between cross section i and $i+1$
Δz	Change in bed elevation (positive for aggradation, negative for scour)
ε and ζ	Coefficients for the determination of recovery factor
η	Volume of sediment in a unit bed layer volume (one minus porosity)
$\eta_{i,k}$	Volume of sediment in a unit bed layer for size k at cross section i
κ	Coefficient for the determination of Manning's n
ν	Kinematic viscosity of clear water
ν_m	Kinematic viscosity in a sediment-laden flow
$a, b, \text{ and } c$	Weighting factors that must satisfy $a + b + c = 1$
ρ	Fluid density
ρ_g	Sediment grain density
ρ_s	Specific density of sediment particles
ρ_m	Specific density of sediment particles

Φ	Total rate of energy dissipation
Φ_w	Rate of energy dissipation due to water movement
Φ_s	Rate of energy dissipation due to sediment movement
ω	Sediment particle fall velocities in clear water
ω_k	Fall velocity of sediment size k
ω_i	Fall velocity of sediment particles I with diameter d_i
ω_m	Particle fall velocity in a sediment-laden flow

Abstract

The major and significant morphological changes of any river might be due to the construction of a huge structure across it like the High Aswan Dam (HAD) on the Nile River (NR). At a level of 182m amsl, the High Aswan Dam Lake (HADL) extends 500 km upstream dam location. HADL has a surface area of nearly 6500 km² and a capacity of 162 billion m³.

The objectives of this study:

To simulate the water and sediment transport in HADL using a numerical model and to test the effect of reducing water flow or/and sediment loads on the morphology of the lake bed.

The study presented in this work was undertaken using historical and field data measurements that were utilized in the numerical model (GSTARS3). The one – dimensional, GSTARS3 model was selected because of its capability in carrying out long term hydrodynamics and sediment transport simulations throughout the entire HADL in a semi-two dimensional manner while adopting the stream tube concept.

The model was calibrated and validated using Han's (1980) non-equilibrium sediment transport equation and Ashida and Michiue formula (1972). Generally the model fitted well measurements however; it predicted more deposition than the measured at the lake entrance. The model was used also to simulate a number of probable future scenarios; such scenarios include: repeating the last 40 years records, reducing the flow discharge in flood seasons by 10% and decreasing sediment loads entering the lake by (10, 25, 50 and 75%), decreasing discharge by (10%) in flood seasons and sediment loads by (10, 25, 50%) respectively.

The model results showed that: repetition of the last 40 years flow rates and sediment loads will result in a general increase in sediment deposition at lake entrance and the upstream sedimentation zone will be extended closer to the dam site up to a distance of 105km from the HAD location.

For the case of 10% reduction in flow discharge in flood seasons, it is noted that the deposition of sediment decreased from lake entrance till 394 km from the dam location.

Decreasing sediment loads entering the lake will decrease the depths of deposition at the lake entrance till km122 from the dam. It was notable that decreasing the entering sediment load by 75% will lead to the lowest deposition depths. Decreasing both flow and sediment loads with different ratios (10, 25 and 50%) will cause some erosion zones to appear/emerge in the upstream reach of the lake and deposition depths will reduce till 402 km upstream the dam.