

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering

Dowel action effect on the shear capacity of reinforced concrete beams

By **Eng. Dina Nabil Wadie**

B.Sc. 2002, Structural Division Civil Engineering Department – Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements of the Degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Osama Hamdy Abdel Wahed

Professor of RC Structures, Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Nabil Mohamed

Assistant Professor of RC Structures, Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Cairo – Egypt 2009

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University in partial fulfilment

of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Structural

Engineering.

The work included was carried out by the author at Reinforced

Concrete Laboratory of the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams

University.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a

qualification at any other university or institution.

Date : / / 2009

Name : Dina Nabil Wadie

Signature : Dina Nabil

AUTHOR

Name : Dina Nabil Wadie

Date of birth : 17 June 1980 Place of birth : Cairo, Egypt.

Academic Degree : B.Sc. in Structural Engineering

University : Ain Shams University

Date : June 2002

Experiences : **2002-2008:** Senior Estimator – Tendering Dep.

ORASCOM Construction Industries

Current Job: Deputy Technical Office

Manager – Engineering Construction Office

(ENCO)

Other Certificates : PRMG Diploma, Professional Courses in

Project Management, the American University

in Cairo, Cairo, Egypt (2004 – 2006)

EXAMINERS COMMITEE

	Signature
Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Galal Shaaban Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures Head of Structural Department Faculty of Engineering – Sobra University	
Prof. Dr. Omar Ali Moussa El Naway Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Prof. Dr. Osama Hamdy Abdel Wahed Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University	•••••

Date: / /

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I thank GOD who guided and helped me to finish this work in the proper shape.

I would like to thank my mother, my sister, brother in law and my whole family for their continuous support and encouragement.

I would like to express my deep appreciation to my dear Professor Dr. Osama Hamdy, Professor of Concrete Structures, Ain Shams University, EGYPT, for his experienced advice, valuable suggestions, continuous support and deep encouragement through all phases of the work.

I am also extremely grateful to Dr. Mohamed Nabil, Assistant Professor of concrete structures, faculty of engineering, Ain Shams University, for his experienced advice, continuous support and deep encouragement through all phases of the work.

I would like to thank the technicians of the reinforced concrete laboratory, Ain Shams University, for their kind help during the experimental phase of this study.

Finally, I would like to thank my dear friends and colleagues who helped me in the completion of this work, especially Ahmed Akl and Amir Nassif.

ABSTRACT

TITLE: "Dowel Action Effect on the Shear Capacity of Reinforced

Concrete Beams"

Submitted by: Eng. Dina Nabil Wadie

Supervised by: Prof. Dr. Osama Hamdy Abdel Wahed

Dr. Mohamed Nabil Mohamed

If the longitudinal reinforcement of a beam is loaded by a component

of a force acting perpendicular to the reinforcement bars this is called

dowel action.

Shear capacity of reinforced concrete beams depends on Concrete

strength, Stirrups volume and Presence of longitudinal steel which can

lead to a better reinforcement distribution in order to develop new

economical design.

Since most of codes and especially Egyptian code do not take into

consideration the effect of dowel action of longitudinal bars on the

shear capacity of reinforced concrete beams and due to lack of study of

the dowel action effect on the shear capacity of reinforced concrete

beams therefore we need more studies and research in this field.

The main objective of this thesis is to examine, experimentally and

theoretically, effect of cross section area of longitudinal steel on the

shear capacity of reinforced concrete beams, effect of location and

distribution of longitudinal steel on the shear capacity of reinforced

concrete beams and effect of Concrete Strength on the shear capacity of

reinforced concrete beams under two eccentric concentrated load, from

zero up to failure load (causing combined bending, torsion and shear stresses).

The experimental program consisted of testing eight medium scale models of reinforced concrete beams. All the specimens had a clear span of 2.00 m and a total length of 2.30 m. The cross section dimensions were 150×300 mm. All the tested beams had the same bottom reinforcement of $2\phi16$ and variable additional reinforcement. Stirrups were $5\phi6/m$ in all beams except specimen B6 which is without stirrups to examine the effect of the dowel action.

All beams were simply supported and symmetrically loaded with two concentrated loads with distance 500 mm from the support and consequently, the shear force is one-half the total applied load.

The analytical program considered in this study includes performing nonlinear finite element models using ABAQUS v6.7 program, taking into consideration the non-linear stress-strain curve of the concrete and steel. These models are performed to simulate the beams behaviour and to extend study of the behaviour of such beams. The FE results were compared to those obtained from the experiments and the results agreed in a satisfactory way.

Finally, design guidelines, analysis of test results of RC beams and recommendations were presented.

<u>Keywords:</u> Dowel action, Longitudinal bars, Shear Strength, Reinforced Concrete beams, nonlinear finite element models, Confinement effect.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT		•••••
ACKNOWLEI	DGMENT	
ARSTRACT		
	ONTENTS	
LIST OF FIGU	JRES	V
LIST OF TAB	LES	XI
LIST OF PHO	TOS	XII
CHAPTER 1		1
	ION	
	[ERAL	
	ECTIVES AND AIMS OF THE PRESENT STUDY	
1.3 THE	SIS LAYOUT	3
CHAPTER 2		6
	E REVIEW	
	[ERAL	
	AR BEHAVIOR OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS	
2.2.1. M	echanisms of Shear Transfer in Reinforced Concrete Beam	
2.2.1.1.	Shear stress of concrete in compression zone, V _{cz}	9
2.2.1.2.	Aggregate interlock across diagonal crack plane, Va	10
2.2.1.3.	Dowel action of longitudinal reinforcement, V _d	
2.2.1.4.	Shear reinforcement, Vs	
2.2.1.5.	Arch action	
2.2.2. Sh	near Failure Modes	
2.2.2.1.	Beams without Shear Reinforcement	
2.2.2.2.	Beams with Shear Reinforcement	
2.2.3. Fa	actors 999Affecting Shear Behavior and Capacity of Concrete	Beams
24	.	
2.2.3.1.	Concrete strength	24
2.2.3.2.	Longitudinal reinforcement	25
2.2.3.3.	Web Reinforcement	26
2.2.3.4.	Shear Span-to-Depth Ratio, (a/d)	27
2.2.3.5.	Size of Beam	28
2.2.3.6.	Axial forces	29
2.2.4. Ro	ole of Web Reinforcement in Concrete Beams	30
2.3 PRO	VISIONS FOR SHEAR DESIGN IN DIFFERENT	
INTERNATI	ONAL CODES	30

2.3.1. Shear Strength Provided By Concrete in RC Beams	31
2.3.1.1. American Code, ACI 318-05	31
2.3.1.2. Egyptian Code for Concrete Structures ECCS 203-2009	32
2.3.1.3. British Standards BS 8110	32
2.3.1.4. European Code EC2	33
2.3.1.5. Australian Code, AS 3600	34
2.3.1.6. New Zealand Code, NZS 3101	34
2.3.1.7. Canadian Code CSA CAN3-A23.3	35
2.3.1.8. Norwegian Code, NS 3473E	
2.3.2. Minimum Shear Reinforcement In Beams	
2.3.2.1. American Code, ACI 318-05	
2.3.2.2. Egyptian Code for Concrete Structures ECCS 203-2009	
2.3.2.3. British Standards BS 8110	
2.3.2.4. European Code EC2	
2.3.2.5. Canadian Code CSA CAN3-A23.3	
2.4 PREVIOUS WORK	
2.4.1. Design of Member without Stirrups	
2.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS	50
CHAPTER 3	
EXPERIMENTAL WORK	51
2.1 INTRODUCTION	£ 1
3.1 INTRODUCTION	
3.3.1. Concrete	
3.3.1.1. Concrete Mix Proportions	
3.3.1.2. Quality Control Tests	
3.4 CASTING AND CURING OF THE TESTED SPECIMENS	
3.5 EQUIPMENT AND INSTRUMENTATION	
3.6 TEST SETUP	
3.7 LOADING PROCEDURE	
5./ LOADING PROCEDURE	09
CHAPTER 4	70
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	
	70
4.1 GENERAL	70
4.2 BEHAVIOR OF THE TESTED BEAMS	
4.2.1. Cracking, Crack Patterns and Failure Load	
4.2.2. Load-Deflection Response	
4.2.2.1. Load-deflection response of first group	
4.2.2.2. Load-deflection response of the second group	
4.2.2.3. Load-deflection response of the third group	
4.2.2.4. Load-deflection response of the fourth group	
4.2.2.5. Load-deflection response of the fifth group	
4.2.2.6. Load-deflection response of the sixth group	
4.2.3. Longitudinal Concrete Strains	
4.2.4 Effect of the Variation of Concrete Compressive Strength	

	4.2.5. Transverse Steel Strains	
	1.5 CONCEOUNG REMINIO	• //
C	CHAPTER 5	100
F	INITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	100
	5.1 GENERAL	100
	5.2 FORMULATION	
	5.2.1. Finite Element Method	
	5.2.2. Plasticity	
	5.3 COMPUTER PROGRAM	109
	5.3.1. Coordinate system	110
	5.3.2. Element Types	
	5.3.2.1. Concrete Element Degree of Freedom	
	5.3.2.2. Steel Rebar Element Degree of Freedom	113
	5.3.3. Meshing	
	5.3.3.1. Concrete Mesh	
	5.3.3.3. Steel Plates Mesh	
	5.3.4. Material Models	
	5.3.4.1. Concrete Model	
	5.3.4.2. Steel Model	
	5.3.4.3. Steel Plates Model	120
	5.3.5. Solution Strategy	
	5.3.5.1. Nonlinear Solution Methods in ABAQUS	
	5.3.5.2. Increments, and Iterations	
	5.3.5.3. Convergence of the Linear System of Equations	123
	5.3.6. Program's Input and Output	
	5.4 FINITE ELEMENT IDEALIZATION	
	5.4.1. Finite Element Meshes	
	5.4.3. Loading	
	5.4.4. Interactions	
	5.4.4.1. Concrete Beam and Steel Reinforcement	
	5.4.4.2. Concrete Beam and Steel Plates	
	5.4.5. Finite Element Models	
	5.5 FINITE ELEMENT RESULTS	
	5.5.1. Synopsis	133
	5.6 DETAILS OF THE ANALYZED BEAMS	
	5.6.1. Geometry, Loading and Supports	
	5.6.2. Material properties	
	5.6.3. Shear Capacity	
	5.6.4. Failure Modes	
	5.6.6. Longitudinal Concrete Strain	
	5.6.7. Transverse Steel Strains	
	5.0.7. Transverse steel status	133
C	THADTED 6	157

COMPARISON BETWEEN THE EXPERIMENTAL AND THE FINITE		
ELEME	NT RESULTS	157
6.1	GENERAL	
6.2	CRACKING AND FAILURE LOADS	157
6.3	DEFLECTION CHARACTERISTICS	165
6.4	LONGITUDINAL CONCRETE STRAINS	175
6.5	TRANSVERSE STEEL STRAINS	179
6.6	PARAMETRIC STUDY	182
6.6.1	1. General	182
6.6.2		
6.6.3	3. Concluding Remarks	185
CILADTI		
CHAPII	ER 7	186
	ER 7USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	186
CONCL	USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONSSUMMARY	186 186
7.1	SUMMARY	186 186 186
7.1 7.1.1	SUMMARY	
7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2	SUMMARY	
7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.2 7.3	SUMMARY	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (2.1): Component of Shear Force over Crack Plane (MacGregor et al., 2000)
Figure (2.2): Walraven's Model of Crack Friction
Figure (2.3): Predicted and Observed Strengths of a Series of Reinforced Concrete
Beams Tested by Kani (Collins and Mitchell 1997)
Figure (2.4): Distribution of Internal Shears of Beam with Shear Reinforcement
(MacGregor and Bartlett, 2000)
Figure (2.5): Types of Inclined Cracks
Figure (2.6): Effect of (a/d) on Shear for Beams without Shear Reinforcement
(MacGregor, 1988)
Figure (2.7): Shear Failure Modes (ASCE-ACI 426, 1973)
Figure (2.8): Shear Strength vs. Longitudinal Reinforcement (MacGregor et al, 2000)
Figure (2.9): Shear Strength vs. a/d (Kani et al., 1979)
Figure (2.10): Size Effect in Shear (Kuchma and Collins, 1998)
Figure (2.11): Effect of Axial Loads in Inclined Cracking Shear (MacGregor and
Bartlett, 2000)
Figure (2.12): Relationship between shear capacity and shear demand
Figure (2.13): Distribution of compressive and tensile stresses
Figure (2.14): Influence of Reinforcement on Spacing of Diagonal Cracks (ASCE-
ACI Committee 445 on Shear and Torsion)
Figure (2.15): Values of β and θ for RC beams containing less than the Minimum
Amount of Stirrups (ASCE-ACI Committee 445 on Shear and Torsion)
Figure (2.16): Example of Cracked Web of Beam Failing in Shear (ASCE- ACI
Committee 445 on Shear and Torsion)
Figure (3.1): Details of tested specimens
Figure (3.2-a): Details of Reinforcement of specimens B1 to B4, with f_{cu} =25 MPa 54
Figure (3.2-b): Detail Details of Reinforcement of specimens B5 to B6, with f_{cu} =25
MPa and $f_{cu} = 75$ MPa for specimens (B7 & B8)55

Figure (5.1): Three-dimensional hexaheded brick element for concrete	. 110
Figure (5.2): Truss Element for steel reinforcement	. 111
Figure (5.3): Abaqus Solid element Library (Abaqus User Manual 2007)	. 112
Figure (5.4) Abaqus truss element Library (Abaqus User Manual 2007)	. 113
Figure (5.5) 3D Meshing of concrete part (3D view and cross section)	. 114
Figure (5.6): Meshing of both loading and supporting plates	. 115
Figure (5.7): Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion	. 117
Figure (5.8): Mohr-Coulomb yield surface in meridional and deviatoric planes	. 119
Figure (5.9): Load-displacement diagrams with snap-back using constant increm	ent
size and (a) load control, (b) displacement control and (c) arc-length control	. 122
Figure (5.10): Nonlinear Load Displacement Curve	. 122
Figure (5.11): First Iteration in an Increment	. 124
Figure (5.12): Second Iteration	. 126
Figure (5.13): Loading Profile and Boundary Conditions	. 130
Figure (5.14): Steel reinforcement (Truss Elements) embedded in concrete beam	
(Solid elements)	. 131
Figure (5.15): FE models for beam specimens having shear span-to-depth ratios	(a/d)
= 2	. 133
Figure (5.16): Shear compression failure mode for beam specimens having	
compressive strength fcu=25 MPa	. 133
Figure (5.17): Shear compression failure mode for beam specimens having	
compressive strength fcu=75 MPa	. 133
Figure (5.18): Mid-Span Load-deflection curves for beam specimens (B1, B2 and	l B3)
	. 142
Figure (5.19): Mid-Span Load-deflection curves for beam specimens (B2 and B7)).143
Figure (5.20): Mid-Span Load-deflection curves for beam specimens (B3 and B8)). 144
Figure (5.21): Mid-Span Load-deflection curves for beam specimens (B3, B4 and	<i>B5</i>)
	. 145
Figure (5.22): Mid-Span Load-deflection curves for beam specimens (B5 and B6)	
Figure (5.23): Mid-Span Load-deflection curves for beam specimens (B7 and B8)	
Figure (5.24): Load-Strain curves for beam specimens (B1, B2 and B3) on top of	each
hoam	148

Figure (5.25): Load-Strain curves for beam specimens (B2 and B7) on top of each	
beam	!9
Figure (5.26): Load-Strain curves for beam specimens (B3 and B8) on top of each	
beam	ϵ
Figure (5.27): Load-Strain curves for beam specimens (B3, B4 and B5) on top of each	h
beam	1
Figure (5.28): Load-Strain curves for beam specimens (B5 and B6) on top of each	
beam	52
Figure (5.29): Load-Strain curves for beam specimen (B7 and B8) on top of each	
beam	iŝ
Figure (5.30): Transverse Steel Load-Strain Curves for (B1, B2 and B3)	14
Figure (5.31): Transverse Steel Load-Strain Curves for (B2 and B7)	14
Figure (5.32): Transverse Steel Load-Strain Curves for (B3 and B8)	
Figure (5.33): Transverse Steel Load-Strain Curves for (B3, B4 and B5)	
Figure (5.34): Transverse Steel Load-Strain Curvse for (B7 and B8)	
Figure (6.1): Contour lines for deflection of (B1)16	0
Figure (6.2): Contour lines for deflection of (B2)16	0
Figure (6.3): Contour lines for deflection of (B3)16	0
Figure (6.4): Contour lines for deflection of (B4)16	1
Figure (6.5): Contour lines for deflection of (B5)	1
Figure (6.6): Contour lines for deflection of (B6)	1
Figure (6.7): Contour lines for deflection of (B7)	2
Figure (6.8): Contour lines for deflection of (B8)	2
Figure (6.9): Mises Stress Contour for Concrete Parts at Failure of (B1)16.	3
Figure (6.10): Mises Stress Contour for Concrete Parts at Failure of (B2)	3
Figure (6.11): Mises Stress Contour for Concrete Parts at Failure of (B3)	3
Figure (6.12): Mises Stress Contour for Concrete Parts at Failure of (B4)	1
Figure (6.13): Mises Stress Contour for Concrete Parts at Failure of (B5)	1
Figure (6.14): Mises Stress Contour for Concrete Parts at Failure of (B6)164	1
Figure (6.15): Mises Stress Contour for Concrete Parts at Failure of (B7)165	5
Figure (6.16): Mises Stress Contour for Concrete Parts at Failure of (B8)	5