



# NUMERICAL STUDY ON PLASTIC STRENGTH OF STEEL PLATE SHEAR WALLS

By

Hazem Mohamed Ahmed Abdelhady

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

# NUMERICAL STUDY ON PLASTIC STRENGTH OF STEEL PLATE SHEAR WALLS

By

### Hazem Mohamed Ahmed Abdelhady

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hassanien Serror

.....

Associate Professor Structure Engineering Department Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

# NUMERICAL STUDY ON PLASTIC STRENGTH OF STEEL PLATE SHEAR WALLS

By

### Hazem Mohamed Ahmed Abdelhady

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the examining committee
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hassanien Serror. Thesis Main Advisor (Structural Engineering Department-Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University)
Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad. Internal Examiner (Structural Engineering Department-Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nabeel Abdel-Salam. External Examiner (Structural Engineering Department -Housing and Building National Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2017 **Engineer's Name:** Hazem Mohamed Ahmed Abdelhady

**Date of Birth:** 03/04/1990 **Nationality:** Egyptian

E-mail: Hazem.Abdelhady90@Gmail.com

**Phone:** 0100 812 6371

**Address:** 126<sup>th</sup> Family District, Al Shorouk City, Cairo

**Registration Date:** 01/03/2014 **Awarding Date:** ----/2017

**Degree:** Master of Science **Department:** Structural Engineering

**Supervisors:** 

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hassanien Serror

**Examiners:** 

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hassanien Serror (Thesis Main Advisor)

Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad (Internal Examiner)

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nabeel Abdel-Salam (External Examiner)

(Housing and Building National Research Center)

#### **Title of Thesis:**

Numerical Study on Plastic Strength of Steel Plate Shear Walls

#### **Key Words:**

Steel Plate Shear Wall; Plastic Analysis; Boundary Frame; Boundary Element; Infill-Plate.

#### **Summary:**

The strength of steel plate shear wall (SPSW) introduced by design codes assumes that the acting lateral loads are resisted by only the infill plate, which results in uneconomic solution. In addition, the design codes require that any opening within in the infill plate should be surrounded by both horizontal and vertical stiffeners to anchor the infill plate tension. This, in turn, further affects the cost effectiveness of the SPSW solution. The objective of this study is to evaluate the plastic strength of the unstiffened perforated SPSWs considering the boundary frame (BF) moment resisting (MR) action. SPSW FE models have been established and designed according to AISC design guide20. A parametric numerical study has been performed on the SPSW with different geometrical and mechanical characteristics, using ABAQUS software. Equations have been formulated and proposed based on the plastic collapse mechanism to quantify the plastic strength of SPSWs with solid infill plate, considering the BFMR action. Furthermore, a reduction factor has been proposed to evaluate the reduction in the plastic strength due to infill plate perforations.



### Acknowledgments

I would first like to thank GOD for giving me this opportunity to acquire education and to give me strength, health, energy, wisdom and everything I need to complete this thesis. May you continue providing needs in my life along your will. Thank you.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to my father who contributed to the fulfillment of this work by his guidance, encouragement, support, and help. I wish if he was alive now, but I know that he is in a better place now. Thank you my father. May Allah have mercy on your soul.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hassanien Serror** for giving me the opportunity to do my M.Sc studies under his supervision at the Cairo university. Thanks a lot doctor for your continuous guidance, encouragement, support, and help. It was really great working with you.

With my most sincere heart, I would like to express my deepest appreciation for my mother, for giving me all the means to success. Thank you for giving me everything you have, just to make me happy.

Finally, I would like to thank my brothers for their continuous support and help in everything in my life.

**Hazem Mohamed** 

## **Table of Contents**

Ackno	owledgments	.i
Table	of Contents	ii
List of	f Tables	V
List of	f Figuresvi	ii
Abbre	eviations	X
Nome	nclatures	X
Abstr	actxi	V
Chapt	ter 1: Introduction	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Objectives and Scope of the Study	4
1.3	Structure of the Thesis	5
Chapt	ter 2: Literature Review	6
2.1	Design Codes	6
	2.1.1 CAN/CSA S16	6
	2.1.2 AISC 341	7
2.2	Research Work	8
Chapt	ter 3: Methodology3	4
3.1	Part 1: Overall Plastic Strength of SPSWs with Solid Infill Plate Considering the BFMR Action	4
	3.1.1 Over-strength factor for single-story SPSWs with VBEs hinged to the ground	3
	3.1.2 Over-strength factor for multistory SPSWs with VBEs hinged to the ground	5
	3.1.3 Over-strength factor for single-story SPSWs with VBEs fixed to the ground4	6
	3.1.4 Over-strength factor for multistory SPSWs with VBEs fixed to the ground4	9
3.2	Part 2: Reduction in the Overall Plastic Strength of Unstiffened SPSWs due to Circular Perforations	2
3.3	Part 3: Overall Plastic Strength of Perforated SPSWs Considering the BFMR Action	
3.4	Finite Element Model 5	
٥	3.4.1 Characteristics of the finite element model 5	

	3.4.2	Verification of the finite element model	.61
	3.4.3	Snap shots for some finite element models constructed in this study	67
Chapt	er 4 :	Results and Discussion	.69
4.1	Eva	lluating the Factor (Ø)	69
	4.1.1	Values of the factor (Ø) obtained from the numerical analysis	.69
	4.1.2	Verifying Equation (3.15) for calculating the factor (Ø)	70
4.2		ifying the Proposed Equations to Evaluate the Overall Plastic Strength of SWs with Solid Infill Plate	71
	4.2.1	Verifying Equation (3.12) for evaluating the overall plastic strength of SPSW with VBEs hinged to the ground	
	4.2.2	Verifying Equation (3.13) for evaluating the overall plastic strength of SPSW with VBEs fixed to the ground	
	4.2.3	Verifying Equation (3.28) & Equation (3.33) for evaluating the overall plastistrength of SPSWs with VBEs hinged to the ground	
	4.2.4	Verifying Equation (3.48) & Equation (3.59) for evaluating the overall plastistrength of SPSWs with VBEs fixed to the ground	
4.3	Cal	culating the Factors ( $\beta_{TH}$ ) and ( $\beta_{TF}$ ) for Perforated SPSWs	75
	4.3.1	Calculating the factor ( $\beta_{TH}$ ) for perforated SPSWs with VBEs hinged to the ground	75
	4.3.2	Additional models to verify the average value calculated for the factor ( $\beta_{TH}$ )	
			.78
	4.3.3	Calculating the factor ( $\beta_{TF}$ ) for perforated SPSWs with VBEs fixed to the ground	79
	4.3.4	Additional models to verify the value calculated for the factor $(\beta_{TF})$	82
4.4		rifying the Proposed Equations to Evaluate the Overall Plastic Strength of forated SPSWs	83
	4.4.1	Verifying Equation (3.62) for perforated SPSWs with VBEs hinged to the ground	83
	4.4.2	Verifying Equation (3.62) for perforated SPSWs with VBEs fixed to the ground the second secon	
	4.4.3	Verifying Equation (3.63) & Equation (3.64) for perforated SPSWs with VB hinged to the ground	
	4.4.4	Verifying Equation (3.65) & Equation (3.66) for perforated SPSWs with VB fixed to the ground	
4.5	Disc	cussion about the Numerical Results	.95
	4.5.1	Infill plate and boundary frame contributions to the total base shear of SPSW	/ <sub>S</sub>

4.5.2	Perforation effect	98
Chapter 5:	Summary and Conclusion	99
Chapter 6:	Recommendations and Future Work	101
References.		102

## **List of Tables**

Table 2.1: Properties of the eight specimens prepared by Valizadeh et al. (2011)	20
specimens prepared by Valizadeh et al. (2011)	20
Table 2.3: Ductility factors for the eight specimens prepared by Valizadeh et al. (2011).	
Table 2.4: Shear strength of the SPSWs models constructed by Bhowmick et al. (2013).	
Table 2.5: Ratio of the shear strength of the perforated infill plate to the solid infill plate	
(V <sub>OP</sub> /V <sub>SP</sub> ) (Bhowmick et al., 2013).	
Table 2.6: Infill plate contribution percentage to the total base shear for SPSWs models	
constructed by Hosseinzadeh and Tehranizadeh (2014)	31
Table 2.7: Infill plate contribution percentage to the total shear force at each story of the	
story and the 6-story SPSWs models constructed by Hosseinzadeh and Tehranizadeh (20	
Table 3.1: Parameters and Range of Study	
Table 3.2: Characteristics of the built up sections selected for the VBEs	
Table 3.3: Characteristics of the built up sections selected for the HBEs	
Table 3.4: Infill Plates Thicknesses Assigned to SPSWs Models (mm)	
Table 3.5: Boundary Elements Assigned to SPSWs Models	
Table 3.6: Range of the study associated with the characteristics of the perforated SPSW	
models	
Table 3.7: Characteristics of the additional perforated SPSWs models	
Table 3.8: Characteristics of the selected material	
Table 3.9: Gravity loads applied on the VBEs	
Table 3.10: Material properties adopted by Behbahanifard et al. (2003) (taken from Driv	
al.,1997)	
Table 4.1: Values of the factor Ø calculated for each model	
Table 4.2: Comparison between the Values of the factor (Ø) calculated by	
ABAQUS/Standard and the values calculated by Equation (3.15)	70
Table 4.3: Comparison between the overall plastic strength of SPSWs calculated by Equ	ation
(3.12) and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard	
Table 4.4: Comparison between the overall plastic strength of SPSWs calculated by Equ	
(3.13) and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard	72
Table 4.5: Comparison between the overall plastic strength of SPSWs calculated by	
Equations (3.28 & 3.33) and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standar	rd73
Table 4.6: Comparison between the overall plastic strength of SPSWs calculated by	
Equations (3.48 & 3.59) and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standar	rd74
Table 4.7: Values of the constant $\beta_{TH}$ calculated for each model – Part 1 of 2	75
Table 4.7: Values of the constant $\beta_{TH}$ calculated for each model – Part 2 of 2	76
Table 4.8: Comparison between the perforation reduction ratio ( $\lambda_{TH}$ ) calculated by Equat	
(3.60) and the perforation reduction ratio ( $\lambda_{TH}$ ) calculated by ABAQUS/Standard	78
Table 4.9: Values of the factor $\beta_{TF}$ calculated for each model – Part 1 of 2	79
Table 4.9: Values of the factor $\beta_{TF}$ calculated for each model – Part 2 of 2	80

Table 4.10: Comparison between the perforation reduction ratio ( $\lambda_{TF}$ ) calculated by Equation
(3.61) and the perforation reduction ratio ( $\lambda_{TF}$ ) calculated by ABAQUS/Standard82
Table 4.11: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equation (3.62)
and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs with
VBEs hinged to the ground – Part 1 of 3
Table 4.11: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equation (3.62)
and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs with
VBEs hinged to the ground – Part 2 of 3
Table 4.11: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equation (3.62)
and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs with
VBEs hinged to the ground – Part 3 of 3
Table 4.12: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equation (3.62)
and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs with
VBEs fixed to the ground – Part 1 of 386
Table 4.12: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equation (3.62)
and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs with
VBEs fixed to the ground – Part 2 of 3
Table 4.12: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equation (3.62)
and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs with
VBEs fixed to the ground – Part 3 of 3
Table 4.13: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equations (3.63 &
3.64) and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs
with VBEs hinged to the ground – Part 1 of 3
Table 4.13: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equation (3.63 &
3.64) and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs
with VBEs hinged to the ground – Part 2 of 390
Table 4.13: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equations (3.63 &
3.64) and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs
with VBEs hinged to the ground – Part 3 of 3
Table 4.14: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equations (3.65 &
$3.66) \ and \ the \ overall \ plastic \ strength \ calculated \ by \ ABAQUS/Standard \ for \ perforated \ SPSWs$
with VBEs fixed to the ground – Part 1 of 3
Table 4.14: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equation (3.65 &
3.66) and the overall plastic strength calculated by ABAQUS/Standard for perforated SPSWs
with VBEs fixed to the ground – Part 2 of 3
Table 4.14: Comparison between the overall plastic strength calculated by Equations (3.65 &
$3.66) \ and \ the \ overall \ plastic \ strength \ calculated \ by \ ABAQUS/Standard \ for \ perforated \ SPSWs$
with VBEs fixed to the ground – Part 3 of 3
Table 4.15: Infill Plate Contribution Percentage to the Total Base Shear for SPSWs with
Hinged Base95
Table 4.16: Infill Plate Contribution Percentage to the Total Base Shear for SPSWs with
Fixed Base95
Table 4.17: Boundary Frame Contribution Percentage to the Total Base Shear for SPSWs
with Hinged Base 96

Table 4.18: Boundary Frame Contribution Percentage to the Total Base Shear for SPSWs	
with Fixed Base	.96
Table 4.19: Fixed Base Contribution Percentage to the Total Base Shear for SPSWs with	
Fixed Base	.96
Table 4.20: Additional Shear Strength Obtained from the BFMR action for SPSWs with	
Hinged Base	.97
Table 4.21: Additional Shear Strength Obtained from the BFMR action for SPSWs with	
Fixed Base	.97
Table 4.22: Expected Reduction Percentage that Occurs due to the Perforation Effect for	
SPSWs with hinged base	.98
Table 4.23: Expected Reduction Percentage that Occurs due to the Perforation Effect for	
SPSWs with fixed base	.98

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1.1: Typical SPSW system	1
Figure 1.2: Fish plate connection detail (Driver et al., 1997)	2
Figure 1.3: Diagonal tension strips formation in the infill plate	
Figure 1.4: Perforated steel plate shear wall	
Figure 2.1: The equivalent truss model (Thorburn et al., 1983)	
Figure 2.2: The Strip Model Proposed by Thorburn et al. (1983)	
Figure 2.3: Single-story plastic collapse mechanism proposed by Berman and Bruneau (200	93)
Figure 2.4: Plastic collapse mechanisms of multistory SPSWs proposed by Berman and Bruneau (2003)	
Figure 2.5: Deformed shape, loading, and moment diagrams for HBEs (Vian and Bruneau, 2005)	
Figure 2.6: Proposed cases for the ultimate behavior of HBEs (Vian and Bruneau, 2005)	
Figure 2.7: Perforation pattern proposed by Vian and Bruneau (2005)	
Figure 2.8: Details of typical perforated diagonal strip (Vian and Bruneau, 2005)	
Figure 2.9: Relationship between $\Omega_k$ and $k$ (assuming $\alpha=45^\circ$ and $\eta=1.0$ ) (Qu and Bruneau 2009)	l,
Figure 2.10: Relationship between aspect ratio (L/h) and $k_{balanced}$ (assuming $\eta = 1.0$ ) (Bing C	
and Bruneau, 2009)	
Figure 2.11: Specifications and dimensions of the SPSW specimen, and details of the plate	
connection by Valizadeh et al. (2011)	20
Figure 2.12: Load-displacement diagrams for particular SPSWs specimens (Valizadeh et al.	.,
2011) – Part 1 of 2	
Figure 2.11: Load-displacement diagrams for particular SPSWs specimens (Valizadeh et al.	
2011) – Part 2 of 2	
Figure 2.13: Strip cut by perforation (Bhowmick et al., 2013)	
Figure 2.14: Perforation patterns proposed by Bhowmick et al., 2013- Part 1 of 3	
Figure 2.14: Perforation patterns proposed by Bhowmick et al., 2013- Part 2 of 3	
Figure 2.14: Perforation patterns proposed by Bhowmick et al., 2013- Part 3 of 3	
Figure 2.15: $\beta$ value corresponding to each $V_{OP}/V_{SP}$ of each model (Bhowmick et al., 2013)	
Figure 2.16: Four-story SPSWs models with type 6 perforation (Bhowmick et al., 2013)?	28
Figure 2.17: Relation between base shear and roof displacement of a low-rise SPSW	
(Hosseinzadeh and Tehranizadeh, 2014)	30
Figure 2.18: Relation between base shear and roof displacement of a high-rise SPSW	30
(Hosseinzadeh and Tehranizadeh, 2014)	
Figure 2.19: Typical SPSW with Column-Restrainers	33
Figure 3.1: Proposed Plastic collapse mechanism of SPSWs	40
Figure 3.2: Four-story SPSW ABAQUS model loaded till 2.5% drift, while plastic hinges no	
formed at the VBEs bases yet.	41

Figure 3.3: Single-Story SPSW ABAQUS model loaded till 2.5% drift, in which plastic	
hinges formed at the VBEs bases	41
Figure 3.4: Internal forces acting on the boundary elements	43
Figure 3.5: Stresses acting on the boundary elements due to infill plate yielding	45
Figure 3.6: Forces acting on the right VBE in a single-story SPSW due to plastic hinge	
formation in the HBE	47
Figure 3.7: Forces acting on the right VBE in a multistory SPSW due to plastic hinge	
formation in the HBEs.	51
Figure 3.8: Strip model for perforated infill plate.	53
Figure 3.9: Characteristics of the perforated SPSWs models	54
Figure 3.10: Stress-Strain Curve for the selected material	59
Figure 3.11: Loads applied on the SPSW finite element model	61
Figure 3.12: Four-story SPSW model constructed by Driver et al., 1997 (all dimensions are	e in
mm)	62
Figure 3.13: Three-story SPSW model constructed by Behbahanifard et al., 2003 (all	
dimensions are in mm)	63
Figure 3.14: Verification of The Finite Element Model Using The Experimental Test	
Conducted By Behbhanifard et al. (2003)	64
Figure 3.15: Comparing The Results of The Finite Element Model By This Study to The	
Results of The Finite Element Model By Behbhanifard et al. (2003)	65
Figure 3.16: Verification of the finite element model constructed in this study	66
Figure 3.17: Deformed and Unreformed Shapes for Some Finite Element Models Construc	cted
in This Study – Part 1 of 2	67
Figure 3.17: Deformed and Unreformed Shapes for Some Finite Element Models Construc	cted
in This Study – Part 2 of 2	68
Figure 4.1: Values of the factor β <sub>TH</sub> calculated for each model	77
Figure 4.2: Values of the factor $\beta_{TF}$ calculated for each model	81

#### **Abbreviations**

AISC American Institute of Steel Construction
ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

BFMR Boundary Frame Moment Resisting

HBE Horizontal Boundary Element
LBE Local Boundary Element
RBS Reduced Beam Section
SPSW Steel Plate Shear Wall

VBE Vertical Boundary Elements

#### Nomenclatures

A<sub>c</sub> Cross-sectional area of the VBE
A<sub>b</sub> Cross-sectional area of the HBE

A<sub>i</sub> Area of the equivalent truss member at story i

A<sub>CO</sub> Area of the VBE at which the yield load capacity equals to the vertical loads

resulting from the infill plate yielding

BC Boundary condition of the VBEs as being hinged or fixed

C<sub>r</sub> Number of column-restrainers

d Infill plate depth
D Perforation diameter

F Fixed Base

F<sub>v</sub> Yield strength of the infill plate

 $F_{yf}$  Yield strength of the boundary frame  $F_{u}$  Ultimate strength of the infill plate

h Story height

h<sub>i</sub> The elevation of story i measured from the SPSW base
 h<sub>si</sub> The elevation of soft story measured from the SPSW base

h<sub>1</sub> Height of story 1H Hinged Base

H<sub>panel</sub> Panel height measured between the HBEs flanges

 $I_b$  Moment of inertia of the HBE  $I_C$  Moment of inertia of the VBE

K Percentage of the shear force assigned to the infill plate

 $K_{balanced}$  Percentage of the shear force assigned to the infill plate, when  $\Omega_K = 1$ 

 $K_{op}$  Elastic stiffness of the perforated infill plate  $K_{sp}$  Elastic stiffness of the solid infill plate

L SPSW bay width measured from the center lines of the VBEs

Length of the HBE measured from the plastic hinges centerlines

L<sub>P</sub> Clear length of the infill plate

M<sub>L</sub> Left end moment acting on the HBE due to the frame sway

M<sub>max</sub> Maximum moment within the HBE span

M<sub>R</sub> Right end moment acting on the HBE due to the frame sway

 $M_{pb}$  Plastic moment of the HBE  $M_{pc}$  Plastic moment of the VBE

 $M_{pc1}$  Plastic moment of the VBE at story 1  $M_{pcn}$  Plastic moment of the VBE at story n  $M_{pb}$  Plastic moment of the HBE at story 1 Plastic moment of the HBE at story i

n Number of stories

N<sub>tension</sub> Gravity load applied on the VBE under tension

N<sub>compression</sub> Gravity load applied on the VBE under compression

N<sub>rc</sub> Maximum number of diagonal strips to be cut by perforations

N<sub>r</sub> Number of horizontal rows of perforations

P Perforation type

P<sub>u</sub> Ultimate concentrated load (if exist) acting vertically on the HBE

R Response modification factor

R<sub>v</sub> Factor to calculate the expected yield stress

S<sub>diag</sub> Diagonal strip spacing

S<sub>b</sub> Position of the plastic hinge formation in the HBE measured from the

centerline of the VBE

t<sub>w</sub> Thickness of the infill plate

t<sub>wi</sub> Thickness of the infill plate at story i

V Total base shear

V<sub>F</sub> Shear strength of the boundary frame

V<sub>FB</sub> Plastic strength of the boundary frame due to only the fixed base

 $V_{FF}$  Plastic strength of the boundary frame with VBEs fixed to the ground  $V_{FH}$  Plastic strength of the boundary frame with VBEs hinged to the ground

 $V_i$  Lateral load applied above story i  $V_{si}$  Lateral load applied at story i  $V_i$  Lateral load applied above story j

 $V_n$  Nominal shear strength of the infill plate  $V_{OP}$  Shear strength of the perforated infill plate

V<sub>P</sub> Shear force acting on the HBE at the position of the plastic hinge formation

and resulting from the full yielding of the infill plate

V<sub>SP</sub> Expected shear strength of the solid infill plate

V<sub>SPi</sub> Expected shear strength of the solid infill plate at story i