

Role of Chest Ultrasonography in Assessment of Diaphragmatic Mobility in COPD Patients on Pulmonary Rehabilitation Program

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the
MD Degree in Chest Diseases*

By

Yahia Rabea Yousef Mostafa

M.B.,B.CH.,M.Sc.

Under Supervision of

Prof. Adel Mohammed Saeed

Professor of Chest Diseases

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Nermine Mounir Riad

Assistant prof. of Chest Diseases

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Ashraf Adel Gomaa

Lecturer of Chest Diseases

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2017

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببناك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



*First of all, thanks for **Allah** who gave me the power to complete this work,*

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Prof. Adel Mohammed Saeed**, Professor of Chest Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for his encouragement, his kind support and appreciated suggestions that guided me to accomplish this work. Many thanks & gratitude for him.*

*I am also grateful to **Dr. Nermine Mounir Riad**, Assistant Professor of Chest Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, who freely gave her time, effort and experience along with continuous guidance throughout this work.*

*I would like also to express my thanks for **Dr. Ashraf Adel Gomaa**, Lecturer of Chest Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous follow up and guidance throughout the work.*

*Many thanks for **Prof. Mona Mansour**, Professor of Chest diseases, Ain Shams University and **Prof. Amal Amin**, Professor of Chest Diseases, Menofeya University for their acceptance to discuss this work.*

*Last but not least, many thanks to **My Family and My Colleagues** for their continuous support.*

✍️ Yahia Rabea Yousef

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures	7
List of Abbreviations	9
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	15
Review of Literature	
▪ Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.....	16
▪ Pulmonary Rehabilitation.....	51
▪ Ultrasound and the Diaphragm.....	69
Subjects and Methods	78
Results	97
Discussion	112
Summary	122
Conclusion.....	124
Recommendations	125
References	126
Arabic summary.....	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Revised Borg scale for grading severity of dyspnea before 6MWT	88
Table (2):	Age of the patients included in the study.	97
Table (3):	Comparison between pulmonary function tests before and after pulmonary rehabilitation program.	97
Table (4):	Comparison between baseline data and different follow up periods regarding US findings.	99
Table (5):	Symptoms evaluation by clinical COPD questionnaire (CCQ) and modified medical research council (mMRC) among study group before pulmonary rehabilitation program, at (2,4,6 weeks) and after pulmonary rehabilitation program.	102
Table (6):	Comparison between six minute walk distance (6MWD) before and after pulmonary rehabilitation program.	103
Table (7):	Comparison between mMRC before and after pulmonary rehabilitation program.	104
Table (8):	Comparison between CCQ before and after pulmonary rehabilitation program.	104
Table (9):	Correlation between FEV1 at 8 weeks (end of pulmonary rehabilitation program) and diaphragmatic assessment data.	105
Table (10):	Correlation between DE (quiet breathing) at the end of pulmonary rehabilitation program (after 8 weeks) and Pi MAX, CCQ, mMRC and 6MWD.	107
Table (11):	Correlation between DE (forced respiration) at the end of pulmonary rehabilitation program (after 8 weeks) and Pi MAX, CCQ, mMRC and 6MWD.	109

List of Tables cont...

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (12):	Correlation between age and diaphragmatic assessment data before pulmonary rehabilitation.....	110
Table (13):	Correlation between age and diaphragmatic assessment data at 8 weeks (end of the program).	111

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Cells and Mediators Involved in the Pathogenesis of COPD	22
Figure (2):	Combined assessment of COPD	31
Figure (3):	Combined assessment of COPD 2017	31
Figure (4):	Upper limb exercise training.....	60
Figure (5):	Lower extremities exercise	61
Figure (6):	Incentive spirometer	64
Figure (7):	Power breath device for inspiratory muscle training.....	64
Figure (8):	A Probe position for B and M mode diaphragmatic excursion measurements with 3.5–5 MHz probe. b B-mode diaphragm sonography. c M-mode diaphragm sonography	71
Figure (9):	A Probe position for B and M mode diaphragmatic thickness measurements in the zone of apposition with 10–12 MHz probe. b B-mode sonography of the diaphragm in the zone of apposition. A Echogenic diaphragmatic pleura, B non-echogenic central layer, C echogenic peritoneal layer	73
Figure (10):	Sonography of the diaphragm in the zone of apposition, in B-mode (right) and M-mode (left) during quiet breathing. E and I arrows indicates expiration and inspiration, respectively	74
Figure (11):	Clinical COPD questionnaire (CCQ)	81
Figure (12):	Modified medical research council (mMRC) dyspnea scale	82
Figure (13):	VIASYS Masterscreen-PFT device.	85
Figure (14):	Position of the patient during US examination.....	90

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (15):	Mindray M7 Ultrasound device.....	91
Figure (16):	Diaphragmatic excursion during quiet breathing by M mode US.....	92
Figure (17):	Diaphragmatic excursion during forced respiration using M mode US.	93
Figure (18):	Diaphragmatic thickness by M mode US.....	94
Figure (19):	Incentive spirometer device.....	96
Figure (20):	Comparison between baseline Pi MAX and after 8 weeks (at the end of pulmonary rehabilitation program).....	98
Figure (21):	Comparison between baseline data and different follow up periods regarding DE (quiet breathing).	100
Figure (22):	Comparison between baseline data and different follow up periods regarding DE (forced respiration).	100
Figure (23):	Comparison between baseline data and different follow up periods regarding diaphragmatic thickness.	101
Figure (24):	Comparison between 6MWD before and after pulmonary rehabilitation program.	103
Figure (25):	Correlation between FEV1 at 8 weeks (at the end of pulmonary rehabilitation program) and DE at (forced respiration).	106
Figure (26):	Correlation between DE (quiet breathing) in (cm) and CCQ at the end of pulmonary rehabilitation program (after 8 weeks).....	108

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
%	Percentage
>	More than
2D.....	Two dimensional
³¹ P- MRS	Phosphorous magnetic resonance spectroscopy
6MWD.....	Six minute walking distance
6MWT	Six minute walk test
ACLS	Advanced Cardiac Life Support
ACSM.....	American College of Sports Medicine
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
ANOVA.....	Analysis of variance
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
ATS	American Thoracic Society
BCLS.....	Basic Cardiac Life Support
BD	bronchodilator
BUN	Blood urea nitrogen
CAT.....	COPD assessment test
CBC.....	Complete blood count
CCQ	Clinical COPD questionnaire
CD	Cluster of differentiation
COPD.....	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CRQ	Chronic respiratory questionnaire
CSF	Colony stimulating factor
DE.....	Diaphragmatic excursion
DLCO.....	Diffusion lung capacity for carbon monoxide
ECG	Electrocardiogram
ERS.....	European Respiratory Society
FEV1.....	Forced expiratory volume in 1 st second
Fig.....	Figure
FRC	Functional residual capacity
FVC.....	Forced vital capacity
GOLD.....	Global initiative for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

List of Abbreviations cont...

Abb.	Full term
h.....	hour
H ⁺	Hydrogen ion
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus
HRQoL.....	Health care related quality of life
HS	Highly statistically significant
ICU	Intensive care unit
IGF.....	Insulin like growth factor
IL8.....	Interleukin 8
IMP	Inosine monophosphate
K ⁺	Potassium ion
Kg.....	Kilogram
L	Liter
LTB4	Leukotriene B
m	Meter
mg	Milligram
MHZ.....	Mega Hertz
mm	Millimeter
mMRC.....	Modified medical research council
N	Number
NHANES	National Health And Nutrition Examination Survey
NO	nitric oxide
NS	Non statistically significant
O ₂	Oxygen
PC	Personal computer
PCO ₂	Partial pressure of carbon dioxide
Pe MAX.....	Maximal expiratory pressure
PFT	Pulmonary function tests
Pi MAX	Maximal inspiratory pressure
PR	Pulmonary rehabilitation
R.....	correlation
ROI.....	Reactive oxygen intermediates
RV	Residual volume

List of Abbreviations cont...

Abb.	Full term
S	Statistically significant
SD	Standard deviation
SGRQ.....	Saint George Respiratory Questionnaire
SID.....	Strong ion difference
Sig	Significance
SPSS	Statistical package for social science
Tdi.....	Diaphragmatic thickness
TF.....	Thickening factor
T _i	Inspiratory time
TLC	Total lung capacity
US	Ultrasound
V _E	Minute ventilation
V _T	Tidal volume
YLD.....	Years of living with disability

INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by chronic inflammation of the lung tissues accompanied by irreversible airflow limitation, and has emerged as a leading cause of chronic morbidity and disability worldwide (*Rabe et al., 2007*). Patients with COPD often experience dyspnea, decreased exercise capacity, and impairment of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) (*Chaouat et al., 2011*). The occurrence of exercise intolerance is frequently cited as the major determinant of impaired quality of life by COPD patients, and improvement in exercise capacity is a key goal of COPD disease management (*Moullec et al., 2011*).

Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) is considered one of the most important non pharmacological treatments for COPD and addresses both the chronic and progressive features of this disease. Combined PR interventions include behavior modification (smoking cessation and physical exercise), careful review and modification in medications and regimens, and psychological support (patient education, psychological and social support), and are carried out with the overall goal of achieving and maintaining the individual's maximum level of independence and ability to function in society (*Puhan et al., 2011*).

Currently, most pulmonary rehabilitation programs are multidisciplinary and are carried out in hospital settings or physical therapy facilities (*Ries, 2005*). Despite the recognized

benefits of such programs to COPD patients, they are largely underutilized, with participation rates as low as 1–2% being reported (*Brooks et al., 2007; Oh, 2003; Yohannes and Connolly, 2004*). Several factors have been proposed to explain this phenomenon, such as the inconvenience and cost of traveling to specialized rehabilitation centers, which directly affects patient compliance (*Fernandez et al., 2009*). In addition, the rehabilitation centers represent high set-up and running costs to the host facility, which may not recuperate the cost (*Griffiths et al., 2001*). Another challenge facing the on-site rehabilitation programs is demonstrated failure of patients to maintain the intervention regimen, and its benefits, after the rehabilitation program has been completed (*Hernandez et al., 2000*).

Home-based pulmonary rehabilitation is a promising alternative to the outpatient or hospital-based rehabilitation programs (*Maltais et al., 2008*). This type of program is preferred by patients as they can spend less time away from work or their families, do not have the added cost or hassle of travel, and can apply the practices in a setting that incorporates the normal routine of their daily life. If such a program were shown to effectively reduce disability in patients with COPD, the benefit of pulmonary rehabilitation could be made accessible to more of the patients, especially to those with severe COPD who are housebound or who cannot afford or reject hospitalization. Moreover, the cost of delivering a self-monitored, home-based pulmonary rehabilitation program is estimated to be much less

than that of hospital-based programs (*Busch and McClements, 1988*). Although many studies, to date, have investigated the efficacy of pulmonary rehabilitation, the majority have been carried out with patients receiving treatment in a hospital setting or other treatment facilities. In addition, the studies on pulmonary rehabilitation programs administered in the home setting have utilized small sample sizes (*Fernandez et al., 2009; Hernandez et al., 2000; Oh, 2003*). Thus, the efficacy of in-home pulmonary rehabilitation programs for COPD patients remains unclear (*Puhan et al., 2011*).

Since diaphragmatic motion plays a prominent role in spontaneous respiration, observation of the diaphragm kinetics seems essential. The use of tools previously available for this purpose is limited due to the associated risks of ionizing radiation (fluoroscopy, computed tomography) or due to their complex and/or highly specialized nature, requiring a skilled operator (trans-diaphragmatic pressure measurement, diaphragmatic electromyography, phrenic nerve stimulation, magnetic resonance imaging) (*Ayoub et al., 2002*).

Sonographic evaluation of the diaphragm has recently started to gain popularity in the ICU as specific needs for assessing diaphragmatic function arise in many clinical situations. Abnormal diaphragmatic motion is observed in conditions such as phrenic nerve injury, neuromuscular diseases, after abdominal or cardiac surgery and in critically ill patients under mechanical ventilation (*Grosu et al., 2012*).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study is to evaluate chest ultrasound as a simple, non-invasive test in assessment of diaphragmatic mobility and thickness in COPD patients undergoing pulmonary rehabilitation program and its correlation with maximal inspiratory pressure (Pi MAX), maximal expiratory pressure (Pe MAX), six- minute walk test (6MWT) and symptoms evaluation by clinical COPD questionnaire (CCQ) and modified medical research council (mMRC) dyspnea scale.