DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE FERTILITY OF MALE DESERT ANIMALS USING MOLECULAR GENETIC TECHNIQUES

By

HEBA MOHAMED NOUR ELDIN SAAD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 2010

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirement for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Agricultural Sciences

(Genetics)

Department of Genetics Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE FERTILITY OF MALE DESERT ANIMALS USING MOLECULAR GENETIC TECHNIQUES

By

HEBA MOHAMED NOUR ELDIN SAAD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 2010

| ion |
|---------|
| ms |
| \in |
| ms |
| |

Date of Examination: 18/9/2017

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE FERTILITY OF MALE DESERT ANIMALS USING MOLECULAR GENETIC TECHNIQUES

By

HEBA MOHAMED NOUR ELDIN SAAD

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 2010

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Salam Rashed

Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Essmat Bakry Abdalla

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Physiology, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Sherif Abd-Elrazak Rateb

Researcher of Animal Physiology, Department of Animal and Poultry Physiology, Animal Production Division, Desert Research Center

ABSTRACT

Heba Mohamed Nour Eldin Saad: Determination of Relative Fertility of Male Desert Animals Using Molecular Genetic Techniques. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, In Shams University, 2017.

Camels are unique animals in many aspects and cannot be compared with other farm animals in their physiological responses and adaptation to arid environments. the Arabian camel is a better provider of food than cattle and sheep, which are severely affected by the heat, scarcity of water and forage. The reproductive efficiency of camels under natural conditions is generally regarded to be low. This is probably due to the relatively short breeding season, a longer prepubertal period. Animal fertility is a measure of reproductive success. Due to the complexity of the fertilization process, a single semen evaluation test is not enough to predict fertility. The development of molecular biology techniques during the past decades created exciting new means for studying livestock genetics and animal breeding. However, genetic studies on camels are scarce. Dromedary populations are genetically differentiated is poorly documented. fluorescent differential display is a rapid, specific, nonradioactive method in which fluorescently differentially labeled anchored oligo(dT) downstream primers are used in the reaction, with subsequent analysis of fluorescently labeled PCR products on an automated sequencer. Complete gene expression profiles, containing multiple mRNA fingerprints are possible by the simultaneous comparison of the multicolored banding patterns of the fluorescently differentially labeled products from several primer combinations. This modification of the differential display technique simplifies the assay and increases the throughput of high sample volumes required for comparative gene expression studies in various clinical applications (FDD) technology offers an unprecedented accuracy, sensitivity, and throughput in comprehensive and quantitative analysis of gene expression. These major improvements will allow

researchers to find differentially expressed genes of interest, both known and novel, quickly and easily. Identification of differentially expressed genes to identify relative fertility genes probably to be .Using a highthroughput fluorescent differential display (FDD) system based on an automated DNA sequences, we analysed global patterns of gene expression for dromedary camel. Initial screening of ~2604 cDNA bands displayed with 72 primer combinations.has revealed 135 fragments showing differential expression patterns, suggesting that ~5% of transcripts are modulated in their expression level. With average of 217 per primer combination; a minimum of 45 (PC4) and a maximum of 431 (PC3) expression bands. Total UmRNA showed changes in gene expression among samples were 111 expression bands, 19 were upregulated (appeared in season and disappeared off season) and 5 were downregulated (appeared off season and disappeared in season). Study was designed to find out the possible correlations between genes and semen characteristics of three dromedary camels using fluorescent differential display. The study aimed to identify expression-related molecular markers for fertility using fluorescently labeled differential display technique (FDD) to detect mRNAs. that are differentially expressed for male dromedary camels in relation to semen quality as an indicator for fertility.

Key words: Dromedary Camels, Semen characteristics, Fluorescent Differential Display

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and before all, Praise be to Allah, the master of the world, most gracious.

I would like to express my grateful thanks and appreciation to **Prof.**Mohamed Abdel-Salam Rashed, Professor of Genetics, Fac. of Agric.,
Ain Shams University, for his critical reading of the manuscript and offering valuable suggesting and continuous guidance throughout the work which made this work possible.

My Heartily sincere thanks, are also to **Prof. Essmat Bakry Abdalla**, Professor of Animal Physiology, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision and his helpful, cooperation which made this work possible.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to **Dr. Sherif A. Rateb**, Researcher of Reproductive Physiology, DRC, for his supervision, constructive criticism and helping.

I also thank **Dr. Khalid El-Bahrawy**, Associate Professor of Reproductive Physiology, DRC, for his supervision, supporting, helping and guidance throughout the work .

Besides my advisors, I would like to express my grateful thanks to **Dr. Mahmoud Magdy Elmosallamy**, Lecturer of Genetics, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University for his guidance helped me in all the time and writing of this work and gave access to the laboratory and research facilities. Without his precious support, it would not be possible to conduct this work

Special thanks to **Prof. Eman Fahmy** for her moral support and her kind guidance.

Special thanks to **Prof. Mohamed Tarek Abd El-Fatah** for his moral support and help.

Special thanks to **Prof. Ahmed Lofty Hashem** for his moral support and helping me in all the time .

Special thanks to **Dr. Ali Saber** for his helping and his guidance.

My vocabulary utterly fails in expressing my accolade to my revered parents who brought me to this stage. I fall short of words for the moral support extended by them encouragement, support and for creating a good atmosphere during the different phases of this work. I also gratefully acknowledge the help and moral support rendered by all family members my brothers, special thanks to my second mother my aunt Hafsa Abdel Halim you always drive me forward and always encourage me and special thanks to my husband **Ibrahim Zakzouk** for his patience, encouragement, support and for creating a good atmosphere during the different phases of this work.

I also thank my friends **Samah Mohamed, Hager Elhefnawy** and **Kariman Azzam** work was great with you in the lab. And I would like to thanks **Dr. Marwa Khalifa** Researcher of Reproductive Physiology, DRC. For helpful during the practical part of this work

Prof. El-Sayed Hassan Hassanein my most heartfelt condolences, God's mercy you

My thanks also go to the **Department of Animal Production, Department of Genetics** and all faculty members, for their help and support.

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| LIST OF TABLES | IV |
| LIST OF FIGURES | V |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | VI |
| I- INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II- REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 4 |
| 2.1. Phenotypic Characterization. | 4 |
| 2.1.1. Sexual Maturity | 4 |
| 2.1.2. The Breeding Season | 5 |
| 2.2. Behavioral and semen characteristics disorders in camels | 6 |
| 2.3. Reproductive performance in normal dromedary camels | 7 |
| 2.3.1. Semen physical characteristics | 8 |
| 2.3.1.1. Physical characteristics inspection | 10 |
| 2.4. Genetic diversity | 12 |
| 2.5. Molecular markers for male fertility | 14 |
| 2.6. Differential display technique | 14 |
| III- MATERIALS AND METHODS | 17 |
| 3.1. Animals and management | 17 |
| 3.2. Experimental design | 17 |
| 3.2.1. Sample collections | 17 |
| 3.2.1.1. Blood sample collections | 17 |
| 3.2.1.2. Semen sample collections | 18 |
| 3.2.1.2.1. Semen evaluation. | 18 |
| 3.2.2. Molecular genetics analysis | 19 |
| 3.2.2.1. RNA extraction from frozen blood | 19 |
| 3.2.2.2.RNA purification | 19 |
| 3.2.2.3. Florescent differential display of mRNA | 20 |
| 3.2.2.3.1. Fragment analysis method. | 22 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 26 |
| 4.1. Fresh semen evaluation. | 26 |

| | Page |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| 4.2. Differential display analysis | 28 |
| 4.2.1 RNA Expression profile | 28 |
| 4.2.2. Band scoring summary | 127 |
| 4.2.3. UmRNA comparative expression | 128 |
| SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION | 132 |
| REFERENCES | 134 |
| ARABIC SUMMARY | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1. | Determination of the score for wave motion of semen | 19 |
| 2. | The amplification conditions | 21 |
| 3. | PC Combination | 22 |
| 4. | Physical semen characteristics of male dromedary camels. | 24 |
| 5. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC1), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 26 |
| 6. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC2), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 38 |
| 7. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC3), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 44 |
| 8. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC4), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 59 |
| 9. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC5), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 62 |

| Table | | Page |
|------------|--|------|
| 10. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC6), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 65 |
| 11. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC7), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 78 |
| 12. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC8), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 82 |
| 13. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC9), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 87 |
| 14. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC10), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 99 |
| 15. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC11), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 109 |

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 16. | Number of Peaks scored for primer combination (PC12), | |
| | its molecular weight (MW) in base pairs (bp) and rate | |
| | fluorescence unit, are shown for each of three studied | |
| | specimens (SM, RL and SH) during the fertility season | |
| | (In) and off the fertility season (Off) | 112 |
| 17. | Number of total scored fragments, min and max | |
| | molecular weights, no. of fragments marked as (changes | |
| | in gene expression, up-regulated and Down-regulated) | |
| | and total no. of retained fragments | 125 |
| 18. | Heatmap with mini charts of 35 UmRNA that showed | |
| | changes in gene expression related to fertility over all year | 126 |
| 19. | Heatmap with spark lines of UmRNA that showed a | |
| | seasonal expression, whether in-season or off-season in all | |
| | three animals | 127 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| No. | | Page |
|-----|---|------------|
| 1. | Illustration of each physical semen characteristics per animal, demonstrated as histograms per samples and trendlines between all three samples. | 24 |
| 2. | PC1 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (F1: SH-In; F2: SM-Off; F3: RL-In; F4: RL-Off; F5: SH-In; F6: SH-Off). | 25 |
| 3. | PC2 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (F1: SH-In; F2: SM-Off; F3: RL-In; F4: RL-Off; F5: SH-In; F6: SH-Off). | 37 |
| 4. | PC3 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (F1: SH-In; F2: SM-Off; F3: RL-In; F4: RL-Off; F5: SH-In; F6: SH-Off). | 43 |
| 5. | PC4 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (F7: SH-In; F8: SM-Off; F9: RL-In; F10: RL-Off; F11: SH-In; F12: SH-Off) | 58 |
| 6. | PC5 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (F7: SH-In; F8: SM-Off; F9: RL-In; F10: RL-Off; F11: SH-In; F12: SH-Off) | 61 |
| 7. | PC6 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (F7: SH-In; F8: SM-Off; F9: RL-In; F10: RL-Off; F11: SH-L-F12 SH-Off) | <i>c</i> 4 |
| | In; F12: SH-Off) | 64 |

| No. | | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| 8. | PC7 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (G1: SH-In; G2: SM-Off; G3: RL-In; G4: RL-Off; G5: SH-In; G6: SH-Off) | 77 |
| 9. | PC8 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (G1: SH-In; G2: SM-Off; G3: RL-In; G4: RL-Off; G5: SH-In; G6: SH-Off) | 81 |
| 10. | PC9 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (G1: SH-In; G2: SM-Off; G3: RL-In; G4: RL-Off; G5: SH-In; G6: SH-Off) | 86 |
| 11. | PC10 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (G7: SH-In; G2: SM-Off; G3: RL-In; G4: RL-Off; G5: SH-In; G6: SH-Off) | 98 |
| 12. | PC11 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (G7: SH-In; G2: SM-Off; G3: RL-In; G4: RL-Off; G5: SH-In; G6: SH-Off) | 108 |
| 13. | PC12 visualized chromatogram of differentially displayed cDNA fragments for the three specimens in and off season (G7: SH-In; G2: SM-Off; G3: RL-In; G4: RL-Off; G5: SH-In; G6: SH-Off) | 111 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations : Meaning

LH: : Luteinizing Hormone

FSH: : Follicle-Stimulating Hormone

SM: : Animal No.1

RL: : Animal No.2

SH: : Animal No.3

GnRH: : Gonadotropin-releasing hormone

AV: : Artificial Vagina

PC: : Primer Combination

MtDNA : Mitochondrial DNA