



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science



Hesperidin as a Radioprotector Against Hepatocellular and DNA Damage Induced by γ -Irradiation in Rats: Biochemical, Histopathological and Molecular Studies

**Thesis Submitted to the Department of
Zoology, Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University**

by

Hazem Kamel Abdel-Aziz Mohamed Sarhan

B. Sc., Chemistry and Zoology (2002)

M. Sc., Zoology (Biotechnology) (2009)

**For the Award of the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy of Science in Zoology (Physiology)**

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Refaat
Mahmoud Ezzat**

Professor of Physiology,
Zoology Dept., Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Olfat Ali Ibrahim
Hammam**

Professor of Pathology, Pathology
Dept. Theodor Bilharz Institute,
Academy of Scientific Research
and Technology

**Prof. Dr. Tamer Mohamed
Mahmoud Saad**

Professor of Biochemistry, Head of
Medical and Radiation Research
Dept. Nuclear Material Authority

**Dr. Reham Hassaan Gomaa
Helwa**

Lecturer of Molecular Biology,
Zoology Dept., Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

2016

"Hesperidin as a Radioprotector Against Hepatocellular and DNA Damage Induced by γ -Irradiation in Rats: Biochemical, Histopathological and Molecular Studies"

Candidate Name: Hazem Kamel Abdel-Aziz Mohamed Sarhan

Thesis supervisors

Signature

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Refaat Mahmoud Ezzat

Professor of Physiology, Zoology Department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Olfat Ali Ibrahim Hammam

Professor of Pathology, Pathology Dept.
Theodor Bilharz Institute, Academy of Scientific Research
and Technology

Prof. Dr. Tamer Mohamed Mahmoud Saad

Professor of Biochemistry, Head of Medical and Radiation
Research Department Nuclear Material Authority

Dr. Reham Hassaan Gomaa Helwa

Lecturer of Molecular Biology,
Zoology Department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Approval sheet

"Hesperidin as a Radioprotector Against Hepatocellular and DNA Damage Induced by -Irradiation in Rats: Biochemical, Histopathological and Molecular Studies"

Candidate Name: Hazem Kamel Abdel-Aziz Mohamed Sarhan
Degree: Doctor of Philosophy of Science in Zoology (Physiology)
Date: 18/ 6/ 2016

Examiners Committee

Signature

Prof. Dr. Aman Mohamed Asaad Ali

Prof. of Physiology, Zoology Department,
Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Prof. Dr . Zakaria Abdel Haleem M. Elkhayat

Professor of Medical Biochemistry –Medical
Biochemistry Dept.- National Research Centre

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Refaat Mahmoud Ezzat

Prof. of Physiology, Zoology Department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Tamer Mohamed Mahmoud Saad

Professor of Biochemistry, Head of Medical and
Radiation Research Department Nuclear Material
Authority

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, Words are inadequate to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Ahmed Refaat Mahmoud Ezzat**, Prof. of Physiology, Zoology Dept. and dean of Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for his constant guidance, sincere encouragement, generous help and invaluable assistance in revising the results and in writing the manuscript.

I never be able to express my deep feelings, profound gratitude and deep appreciation towards **Prof. Olfat Ali Ibrahim Hammam**, Professor of Pathology, Pathology Dept. Theodor Bilharz Institute, Academy of Scientific Research and Technology for her creative thinking, valuable suggestions, instructive guidance, constructive criticisms and revision of every detail and discussion of all results of the thesis.

I am greatly honored to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Tamer Mohamed Mahmoud Saad**, Prof. of Biochemistry, Head of Medical and Radiation Research Departement, Nuclear Material Authority, for his effective supervision, continuous guidance, unfailing support, valuable directions and generous help.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to **Dr. Reham Hassaan Gomaa**, Lecturer of Molecular Biology, Zoology Dept., Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for her kind care,

encouragement, valuable advice, accurate critics on the manuscript and constructive criticism throughout the progress of this work.

I would like to extend my profound gratitude and deep appreciation towards **Dr. Amal Ahmed Abo bakr Ammar**, Ass. Prof. of Biochemistry, Medical and Radiation Research Departement, Nuclear Material Authority, for her valuable suggestions, instructive guidance and revision of every detail and discussion of all results of the thesis.

I would like to thank the most precious human being on earth, my wife **Dr. Shimaa Ezat Hassan Abdel-Haddy**. She is the woman who has been always at my side. She supported me, built me up and was always there for me; even she had enough to do with her own Master thesis and with the little daily problems of life.

I am especially grateful to my parents, who made in the past an important, risky step to settle over here, to let everything behind them and thereby giving me a better chance to reach everything which would have been limited under the communistic dictatorship.

Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	7
Review of literature	8
I. Ionizing radiation	8
Interaction of radiation with the matter	9
A. Particulate radiation:	9
B. Electromagnetic radiation	9
1. Direct interactions	11
2. Indirect interactions	12
C. Effect of radiation on water (radiolysis of water):	13
- The initial physical stage:	13
-The physico-chemical stage:	14
-The chemical stage:	14
- The biological stage:	15
D. Effect of irradiation in presence of oxygen (dark side of oxygen):	15
II. Biological effects of radiation:	16
- Oxidative stress	18
i- Effects of radiation on Antioxidants:	19
Classification of antioxidants	20
1. Enzymatic antioxidants	21
a- Superoxide dismutase (SOD):	21
b- Catalase (CAT):	24
c- Glutathione peroxidase (GPx):	24
2- Non-enzymatic antioxidants	27
Glutathione (GSH):	27
ii- Effects of radiation on lipid peroxidation, malondialdehyde (MDA):	30
iii- Effects of radiation on liver enzymes:-	33
1- Transaminases:	33
2- Alkaline phosphatase (ALP):	35
3- Gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT):	36
iv- Effects of radiation on deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) (DNA Fragmentation)	39

v-Effect of radiation on GPx and SOD gene expression change in liver tissues:-	41
vi-Effect of radiation on cell Apoptosis:	43
Characterization of radiation-induced cell death	46
Characterization of Caspases in Apoptosis	47
Immunohistochemistry of Caspase-3	49
vii-Effect of radiation on histological change of liver tissues:	50
III. Radioprotectors	51
1- Present status of radioprotectors	51
2- Requirements for the development of radioprotectors	52
3- Natural products as radioprotector	52
IV- Protection against Radiation Injury by Natural RadioProtectors:	55
A-Mechanism of chemical radio protectors:	55
A.a- Theory of oxygen deficiency (Hypoxia):	56
A.b- <i>Antioxidant action theory:</i>	56
A.c- Free radicals mechanism:	57
A.d- Chelation mechanism:	57
B- Flavonoids:	57
a- Flavonoid structure	61
b- Mechanisms of flavonoid activity (Free radical scavenging):	62
c- Flavonoid–DNA interaction	63
d- Endogenous antioxidant enzymes	64
V- Hesperidin	65
Hesperidin and DNA protection:	68
Materials and Methods	70
Results	110
Discussion	148
Summary and Conclusion	175
References	180
Arabic summary	230

List of Abbreviations

1O₂	Singlet oxygen
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
CDNB	1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene
cDNA	Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA molecule produced using RNA as template)
CAT	Catalase
CSCs	Cancer stem cells
Ct	Cycle threshold
Da	Dalton (atomic mass unit)
DMF	Dose modifying factor
DMSO	Dimethylsulfoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DSBs	Double strand breaks
F.D	Fractionated dose
GGT	Serum -glutamyl transferase
g	Gram
GPx	Glutathione Peroxidase
GR	Glutathione Reductase
GSH	Reduced Glutathione
GSSG	Glutathione disulfide
GST	Glutathione S-transferase
h	Hour
H&E	Haematoxylin– Eosin
HBSS	Hank's balanced salt solution buffer with supplements (for cutting slices)
HGF	Hepatocytes growth factor
HCC	Hepatocellular carcinoma
HES	Hesperidin
4HNE	4-hydroxynonenal
i.g.	Intragastric.
i.p.	Intraperitoneal
i.v.	Intravenous
LMPA	Low Melting Point Agarose
ml	Milliliter
MDA	Malondialdehyde
mRNA	Messenger ribonucleic acid
MAPK	mitogen-activated protein kinase
NADPH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate

NBT	Nitroblue tetrazolium
NOS	inducible nitric oxide oxidase
NPSH	Nonprotein sulphydryl compound
PBS	Phosphate-buffered saline (0.15 M phosphate buffer saline)
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PUFAs	polyunsaturated fatty acids
OD	Optical density
ROI	Reactive oxygen intermediates
rpm	Rotation per minute
SAR	Structure–activity relationships
SCGE	The single cell gel electrophoresis
S.D	Single dose
SD	Standard deviation
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
TBA	Thiobarbituric acid
TBARS	Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances
µg	Microgram
µl	Micro Liter

List of Figures

Figure	Title	page
1-	The mean \pm SE of, (A) lipid peroxidation (MDA), (B) GSH content, (C) SOD activity, (D) CAT activity and (E) GPx activity in rat liver tissue.	114
2-	The mean \pm SE of liver enzyme markers indicative of of hepatocellular damage (A) AST, (B) ALT, (C) ALP and (D) GGT.	119
3-	The mean \pm SE of DNA fragmentation (Comet assay) Parameters (A) tailed cell %, (B) tail length μ m (C) tail DNA % and (D) tail moment (Unit).	122
4-	Photomicrographs of DNA fragmentation (Comet assay) in rat liver tissue.	123
5-	The mean \pm SE of relative expression of (A) GPx gene and (B) SOD gene in the liver of control and treated rats. The results depicted are normalized to levels of β -actin gene.	126
6-	The amplification plot and melting curve of house keeping gene (β -actin).	127
7-	The amplification plot and melting curve of GPx gene.	128
8-	The amplification plot and melting curve of SOD gene.	129
9-	The correlation coefficient between liver tissue GPx gene expression and (A) GPx enzyme activity (B) MDA content (C) Serum GGT enzyme activity (D) DNA fragmentation.	130
10-	The correlation coefficient between liver tissue SOD gene expression and (A) SOD enzyme activity (B) MDA content (C) Serum GGT enzyme activity (D) DNA fragmentation.	131

Figure	Title	page
11-	The comparison of the active caspase-3 positive cell number (%) in all groups.	133
12-17	Liver sections of the control, -irradiated, and hesperidin-treated rats showing the index of occurrence of cleaved caspase-3-positive hepatocytes by immunohistochemistry.	134-136
18-23	Liver sections of the control, -irradiated, and hesperidin-treated rats showing the degree of apoptotic cells by Feulgen's stain	137-140
24-35	Liver sections of the control, -irradiated, and hesperidin-treated rats exhibiting the normal histology and histopathological changes.	141-147

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
1-	Effect of hesperidin on MDA, GSH contents, SOD, CAT and GPx activities in the liver tissue of rats administered hesperidin and subjected to fractionated (F.D) or single (S.D) - radiation doses.	113
2-	Effect of hesperidin on the serum enzyme markers indicative of hepatocellular damage in rats exposed to -irradiation.	118
3-	Effect of hesperidin and -irradiation on the DNA fragmentation (Comet assay) in the liver tissue.	121
4-	Transcription levels of GPx and SOD relative to -actin in liver tissue of rats irradiated with 10Gy (F.D) and 8Gy (S.D) and those treated with hesperidin.	126
5-	Apoptotic indices (%) of caspase-3 positive cells in the liver tissue.	133

Introduction

Radiation can directly interact with the critical target molecules in the cell, causing ionization and excitation that leads to biochemical damage (**Cai *et al.*, 2010**). Ionization can cause breakage of covalent bonds in DNA molecules, leading to a range of effects that include strand breaks, base loss and cross linking (**Barker *et al.*, 2005**). The fate of cell repair by itself is dependent on the type of damage caused by the different types of irradiation. When a direct hit results in complete break in the DNA, the cell either dies immediately or ultimately (**Dowd and Tilson, 1999**). However, mammals have a huge number of cells and the cells that die are replaced by new ones through mitosis. When these new cells are exposed to high doses of radiation, the system of replacing cells weakens and deleterious effects of radiation are seen (**Ahmed, 2005**).

The reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a critical role in cell damage by causing DNA strand breaks, lipid peroxidation, protein modification and also by modulating a variety of cellular transduction pathways (**Bacsi *et al.*, 2005; Well *et al.*, 2005**). This will result in physical and chemical damage to tissues if the ROS are not scavenged. In this regard, it is worth mentioning that ROS such as $O_2^{\cdot\cdot}$ and H_2O_2 are consistently produced during normal cellular aerobic metabolism. Cells are well equipped to defend themselves against ROS, with continuous reproduction of antioxidant enzymes

and non-enzymatic molecules (**Jagetia et al., 2003**). These antioxidant systems consist of low molecular weight antioxidants like glutathione (GSH) and various antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx).

Humans are constantly exposed to IR both from natural sources like cosmic rays, radioisotopes found in the earth crust and also from artificial sources such as IR applications. IR are also widely used in treating cancers of various tissues where it is used to kill or arrest the growth of tumor cells. Currently, more than one-half of all cancer patients are treated with radiation therapy. Radiotherapy can cause tissue injury to normal tissues in long-term cancer survivors in spite of its therapeutic value (**Meister, 2005**).

The cellular damage caused by IR is mainly mediated through free radicals and ROS (**Weiss and Landauer, 2003**). The interaction of IR with intracellular water, results in its radiolysis and generation of primary water radical species that may react with oxygen producing secondary radicals (H_2O_2 and $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$), which are highly reactive and could attack DNA, pivotal protein molecules and biological membranes, leading to cell death (**Pandey and Mishra, 1999; Mates and Sanchez-Jimenez, 2000**).

An "oxidative stress" is the consequence of an imbalance between pro-oxidant and antioxidant processes and the result of a disturbance of the "cellular redox homeostasis" (**Meloni and Nicolay, 2003**). Radiation-induced free radicals impair the antioxidative defense mechanism, leading to several pathophysiological impacts including DNA damage and increased membrane lipid peroxidation (LPO) with the damage of the membrane-bound enzymes. The damage of these enzymes causes alterations in cell transduction pathways and severe disconcert in the induction of cell regulatory signals (**Feng *et al.*, 2012**)

The increase in LPO could be due to significant reduction in the activities of enzymatic antioxidants such as CAT, SOD, GPX and GST as well as non- enzymatic antioxidants such as GSH. Superoxide radical is converted to H_2O_2 by SOD. Furthermore, H_2O_2 is transferred to molecular oxygen and water by CAT and GPX. Therefore, SOD, CAT, GPX and GST constitute the principal components of the antioxidant system and their deficiencies leads to oxidative stress (**El-Bahr, 2014**).

Since free radicals play a major role in the initiation and progression of radiation induced toxicity, the use of antioxidants might offer protection against radiation induced damage. Consequently, much research has been focused on the potential use

of flavonoids as free radical scavengers to prevent oxidative damage (**Van Acker *et al.*, 1996**).

A wide variety of natural plant products are non-toxic with noticeable therapeutic benefits and have been exploited since ancient times for curing ailments. About 60 % of the new drugs developed over the past 25 years owe their origin to natural sources (**Newman and Cragg, 2007; Gupta, 2010**). Several plant products have been screened for their radioprotective potential in various *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. These plants are rich sources of polyphenols which include anthocyanins, flavonoids, stilbenes, tannins, lignins, etc. (**Manach *et al.*, 2005**).

Hesperidin (Hesperetin-7-rhamnoglucoside), a flavanone-type flavonoid, is abundant in citrus fruits (**Wilmsen *et al.*, 2005**). The peel and the membranous parts of these fruits have the highest hesperidin concentrations. Hesperidin is comprised of the flavanone hesperitin and the disaccharide rutinose. Hesperidin has been reported to exert a wide range of pharmacological effects (**Tommasini *et al.*, 2005**) which includes antioxidant, anti-allergic, anti-inflammatory, hypolipidemic and anti-carcinogenic actions (**Emim *et al.*, 1994**).

In young immature oranges, hesperidin accounts for up to 14% of the fresh weight of the fruit (**Tanaka *et al.*, 1997a**). Hesperidin is