

Faculty of Commerce Economics Department

Brazil's Unique Experience of Economic Transformation & to What Extent Can Egypt Benefit from This Experience

تجربة البرازيل الفريدة في التحول الاقتصادي ومدى إمكانية البرازيل الفريدة مصر منها

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the PHD degree in Economics

Prepared by Dina Mohyee Eldin Mohamed

Assistant Lecturer – Economics Department Faculty of Commerce – Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of Prof. Dr. Ehab Nadim

Professor of Economics
Faculty of Commerce- Ain Shams University

Dr. Eman Hashem

Associate Professor of Economics
Faculty of Commerce- Ain Shams University



Faculty of Commerce Economics Department

Approval Sheet

Thesis title: Brazil's Unique Experience of Economic Transformation &

to What Extent Can Egypt Benefit from This Experience

Student Name: Dina Mohyee Eldin Mohamed

Academic Degree: PhD in Economics

The Examining and Decision-Making Committee has approved this thesis as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the doctorate degree in Economics

Examining and Decision-Making Committee:

Prof. Dr. Ehab Nadim Supervisor

Professor of Economics- Faculty of Commerce- Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Amr Eltaki External Examiner

Professor of Economics and Vice president of Sadat Academy for Management Science

Prof. Dr. Tamer Rady Internal Examiner

Associate Professor of Economics- Faculty of Commerce- Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Eman Hashem Co-supervisor

Associate Professor of Economics- Faculty of Commerce- Ain Shams University

Defense Date: 22/1/2018

Approval Date:

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

وَمَا تَوْفِيقِي إِلاَّ بِاللهِ عَلَيْهِ تَوَكَّلْتُ وَإِلَيْهِ أُنِيبُ

صدق الله العظيم

هود - آية 88

Jo the Soul of my Dad, Family, My beloved Husband, & Daughter

ABSTRACT

The long-term process of economic transformation lies at the core of economic development. In addition, the importance of economic transformation lies on its impact on productivity growth. This study focuses on the relationship between economic transformation and productivity growth in Brazil & to what extent can Egypt benefit from its experience. To do so, the research will investigate the economic structure of Brazil & Egypt using major economic indicators to help analyze the sectoral performance of the economy, in addition to discussing the major sectoral policies that contributed to such performance. Moreover, the research will undertake a two-step analysis to *empirically* study the process of economic transformation in both Brazil and Egypt- a productivity decomposition equation called "Price's Equation" and a multiple regression analysis using time series data.

Results showed that Brazil has undergone a process of structural transformation. One significant success in the Brazilian story is the notable growth of agricultural productivity. However, economic transformation took a wrong direction whereby workers moved to low productivity services dampening aggregate labor productivity and growth. The regression analysis revealed that surplus labor in agriculture, specialization in primary product exports, and real effective exchange rate were the major determinants of structural change in Brazil. With respect Egypt, results showed that it experienced a process of structural transformation taking the same direction as Brazil. However, what differentiates the Brazilian experience from Egypt is the success of structural transformation of the agriculture sector. Surplus labor in agriculture, specialization in primary product exports, and domestic credit to private sector were the major determinants of structural change in Egypt.

Keywords: economic transformation, productivity growth, agricultural productivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank ALLAH for granting me the persistence and ability to accomplish this research study.

I would like to offer my sincerest appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ali Lotfy** – Professor of Economics at Faculty of Commerce – Ain Shams University and former Prime Minister, my former supervisor for his valuable guidance and useful remarks when he was my supervisor.

Also, I would like to present my deep gratitude to my current supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Ihab Ezz Eldin Nadim** – Professor of Economics at Faculty of Commerce – Ain Shams University, first for agreeing to supervise my thesis. Second and most important I would like to thank him for his constructive guidance, support, patience, and useful remarks throughout my work while at the same time letting me work in my own way. Without his supervision I would not be able to complete my work.

My profound appreciation and thanks is extended to **Prof. Dr. Amr ELtaky**, Professor of Economics and Vice president of Sadat Academy for Management Science, for his devotion of time and energy to read and discuss this thesis, and his constructive remarks which added an immense value to the study. Also, I would like to thank him for agreeing to be a member of the examination committee.

I'm indebted to **Prof. Dr. Tamer Abdel Monem Rady**, Associate Professor of Economics at Faculty of Commerce – Ain Shams University, for his valuable suggestions and remarks which strengthened this work, for his time, and for agreeing to be a member of the examination committee.

My grateful appreciation to my second supervisor **Prof. Dr. Eman A. Hashem,** Associate Professor of Economics at Faculty of Commerce – Ain Shams University for her endless help, support, time, and useful remarks which helped me finish to thesis.

I would like to thank my department professors, doctors, and colleagues who helped me with their advice or support.

I also would like to thank, **Prof. Paul Allison**, President of Statistical Horizons, LLC, and Professor of Sociology at the University of Pennsylvania, and **Prof. Jacob R. Holm**, Associate Professor of Economics- Aalborg University-Denmark, for their useful comments and for their fast response to my inquiries about my empirical study which helped me finish this part. In this regard, I would also like to thank **Dr. Khaled Elghandor**, Lecturer of Statistics and Mathematics - Faculty of Commerce – Ain Shams University and my colleagues in the statistics

department **Ms. Mona ELtaher**, Assistant lecturer of Statistics and Mathematics and **Mr. Rashad Raouf** Assistant lecturer of Statistics and Mathematics for their comments and help.

Last but not least, I would like to offer my greatest gratitude to all my family and friends for their unconditional love and support throughout my life, without their prayers I wouldn't be able to accomplish my work. I'm deeply indebted to my late father who was the first one supporting and encouraging me to join this career, and to my mother and sister for their continuous support, love, and care. I'm grateful to my beloved husband for his endless help, support, and encouragement. "Thank You for Everything"

LIST OF CONTENTS

| Acki List List List List Intro | nowled of Cont of Table of Figur of acro oduction | gementents | viii viii xii xii |
|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | ne: Economic Transformation Explained | |
| | oducti | on | |
| 1.1 | nafann | Definition of and Stylized Facts About | |
| Ha | 1.1.1 | | |
| | 1.1.2 | | |
| 1.2 | 1.1.2 | Importance of Structural Transformation | |
| 1.3 | | Patterns of Structural Transformation | |
| 1.4 | | Major Theoretical Approaches Explaining | |
| | nsforn | nation | |
| | 1.4.1 | Lewis Model of Surplus model | |
| | 1.4.2 | Rostow's Stages of Growth | |
| | 1.4.3 | Kuznets and Structural Transformation | 8 |
| | 1.4.4 | Chenery's View on Structural Transformation | 9 |
| Cha | pter Tw | vo: The Relationship Between Economic Transformatio | n And |
| Proc | ductivit | y Growth | 11 |
| Intr | oductio | n | |
| 2.1 | | Studies of Resources Reallocation | |
| | 2.1.1. | , | |
| | 2.1.2. | | |
| | 2.1.3. | | |
| | 2.1.4. | | |
| | 2.1.5. | Limitations of the Decomposition analysis | |
| 2.2 | | Evolutionary theories | |
| | 2.2.1 | Pasinetti's work | |
| | 2.2.2 | Andersen and his colleagues | |
| _ | 2.2.3 | Holm's work | 18 |
| Sum | marv o | f Part one 21 | |

| Part Two: | Economic Transformation in Brazil | 22 |
|----------------|---|----|
| Introduction | on | 23 |
| | | |
| - | nree: Descriptive Analysis Of Economic Transformation Process | |
| | L. Farancia Constitute of the Brazilian Farancia | |
| | 1: Economic Growth performance of the Brazilian Economy | |
| | ion | |
| | Economic growth during 1970s | |
| | Economic growth during 1980s | |
| | Economic growth during 1990s | |
| | Economic growth during 2000s | |
| | 2: Performance of the Brazilian Agricultural Sector | |
| | ion | |
| 3.2.1 3.2.2 | Agriculture during the 1970s & 1980s | |
| _ | Agriculture during the 1990s | |
| 3.2.3 | Agriculture during the 2000s | |
| 3.2.4 | Major Policies Contributed to Agricultural Transformation | |
| | 3: Performance of the Brazilian Industrial Sector Industry during the 1970s | |
| 3.3.1 3.3.2 | , | |
| 3.3.3 | Industry during the 1980s and 1990s Industry during the 2000s | |
| | , | |
| 3.3.4 | SWOT analysis for the Manufacturing Sector 4: Performance of the Brazilian Services Sector | |
| | | |
| | On | |
| | Performance of the Services Sector | |
| | Analysis of selected services sub-sectors | |
| | | |
| | 2.2. Financial Services | |
| | 5: General view on Structural Transformation in Brazil | |
| | on | |
| | on | |
| | | _ |
| 3.5.2 | Sectoral importance to Economic growth: | 79 |
| Chapter Fo | our: Empirical Study Of Economic Transformation In Brazil | 83 |
| • | ion | |
| | Decomposition of productivity growth | |
| 4.1.1 | Data sources and definitions | |
| 4.1.2 | Methodology | |
| 4.1.3 | Results | |
| | Regression analysis | |
| 4.2.1 | Methodology | 92 |
| 4.2.2 | Data sources and definitions: | 93 |

| 4.2.2.1 | Data from the WDI | 93 |
|--------------|--|-----|
| 4.2.2.2 | Data from the Penn World Tables | 94 |
| 4.2.2.3 | The Chinn-Ito database for Financial openness | 94 |
| 4.2.2.4 | Bruegel Real Effective exchange rate database | 94 |
| 4.2.3 | Results | 94 |
| 4.2.4 I | Model evaluation and regression diagnostics | 97 |
| 4.2.4.1 | Stationarity and unit root tests | 97 |
| 4.2.4.2 | Checking for Heteroskedasticity | 98 |
| 4.2.4.3 | Checking for Autocorrelation | 99 |
| 4.2.4.4 | Checking for Structural Breaks | 99 |
| Summary of | Part two 100 | |
| | conomic Transformation in Egypt | |
| Introduction | | 103 |
| Chanter Five | : Descriptive Analysis of Economic Transformation process | in |
| | | |
| • | Economic Growth performance of the Egyptian Economy | |
| | n | |
| 5.1.1. I | Economic growth during 1970s | 104 |
| 5.1.2. I | Economic growth during 1980s | 105 |
| 5.1.3. I | Economic growth during 1990s | 106 |
| 5.1.4. I | Economic growth during 2000s | 108 |
| Section 5.2: | Performance of the Egyptian Agricultural sector | 114 |
| Introduction | | 114 |
| 5.2.1 | Agriculture during the 1970s & 1980s | 114 |
| 5.2.2 | Agriculture during the 1990s | 117 |
| 5.2.3 | Agriculture during the 2000s | 119 |
| 5.2.4 | Challenges facing the Egyptian agricultural sector | 124 |
| 5.2.4.2 | Water poverty and the inefficient use of water resources: | 124 |
| 5.2.4.2 | 2 Fragmentation of landholdings | 125 |
| 5.2.4.3 | 3 Agricultural land encroachment | 125 |
| 5.2.4.4 | 1 Climate change | 126 |
| 5.2.4.5 | 5 Agricultural research and development | 126 |
| Section 5.3: | Performance of the Egyptian Industrial sector | 129 |
| Introduction | | 129 |
| 5.3.1 | Industry during the 1970s | 129 |
| 5.3.2 | Industry during the 1980s | 131 |
| 5.3.3 | Industry during the 1990s | 133 |
| 5.3.4 | Industry during the 2000s | 138 |
| 5.3.4.2 | L Efforts to reform the industrial sector during the 2000s | 138 |
| 5.3.4.2 | 2 Major Achievements during the 2000s | 139 |
| Section 5.4: | Performance of the Egyptian Services sector | 149 |

| 5.4.1 Analysis of the services sector |
|--|
| 5.4.2.1 Wholesale and Retail Trade |
| 5.4.2.2 Financial services |
| 5.4.2.3 Information and Communications Technology (ICT) |
| Chapter Six: Empirical study of Economic Transformation in Egypt. 167 Introduction |
| Chapter Six: Empirical study of Economic Transformation in Egypt. 167 Introduction |
| Introduction1676.1Decomposition of productivity growth1676.1.1Results1676.2Regression analysis174Error! Bookmark not defined.6.2.1Methodology1746.2.2Results1746.2.3Model evaluation and regression diagnostics:1766.2.3.1Stationarity and unit root tests1766.2.3.2Checking for Heteroskedasticity1776.2.3.3Checking for Autocorrelation1776.2.3.4Checking for Structural Breaks177Chapter Seven: To What Extent Can Egypt Benefit from the BrazilianExperience179Introduction1797.1.Comparison between the Brazilian structural TransformationExperience & Egypt's experience1797.2.The extent to which Egypt can Benefit from the Brazilian structural transformation experience189 |
| Introduction1676.1Decomposition of productivity growth1676.1.1Results1676.2Regression analysis174Error! Bookmark not defined.6.2.1Methodology1746.2.2Results1746.2.3Model evaluation and regression diagnostics:1766.2.3.1Stationarity and unit root tests1766.2.3.2Checking for Heteroskedasticity1776.2.3.3Checking for Autocorrelation1776.2.3.4Checking for Structural Breaks177Chapter Seven: To What Extent Can Egypt Benefit from the BrazilianExperience179Introduction1797.1.Comparison between the Brazilian structural TransformationExperience & Egypt's experience1797.2.The extent to which Egypt can Benefit from the Brazilian structural transformation experience189 |
| 6.1 Decomposition of productivity growth |
| 6.1.1 Results |
| 6.2 Regression analysis |
| 6.2.1 Methodology |
| 6.2.2 Results |
| 6.2.3 Model evaluation and regression diagnostics: |
| 6.2.3.1 Stationarity and unit root tests |
| 6.2.3.2 Checking for Heteroskedasticity |
| 6.2.3.3 Checking for Autocorrelation |
| 6.2.3.4 Checking for Structural Breaks |
| Chapter Seven: To What Extent Can Egypt Benefit from the Brazilian Experience |
| Experience |
| Experience |
| Introduction |
| 7.1. Comparison between the Brazilian structural Transformation Experience & Egypt's experience |
| Experience & Egypt's experience |
| 7.2. The extent to which Egypt can Benefit from the Brazilian structural transformation experience |
| transformation experience |
| · |
| 7.2.1. A Success Story: Why agriculture transformation? |
| |
| 7.2.2. Suggested areas to benefit from |
| 7.2.3. Negative experience to avoid |
| Summary of Part three 196 |
| Findings & Recommendations |
| Thesis Summary |
| Bibliography211 |
| Arabic Thesis Summary |
| Arabic Abstract |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 3.1.1: Comparison Between Economic Indicators during 2004-2010 & 2011-201528 |
|---|
| Table 3.1.2: Summary for the growth episodes 1970-201532 |
| Table 3.2.1: Brazil's Rank in the Top 10 products in the world by quantity of |
| production and exports39 |
| Table 3.2.2: Summary of the major features of the agricultural experience (1970- |
| 2015)49 |
| Table 3.3.1: Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) by sectors56 |
| Table 3.3.2: SWOT Analysis for the Brazilian Manufacturing Sector60 |
| Table 3.3.3: Summary of the major features of the industrial experience (1970- |
| 2015)49 |
| Table 3.4.2: Global Retail Development Index69 |
| Table 3.4.3: Education and Human Capital Index indicators in BRICS73 |
| Table 3.4.4: Summary of the major features of the services |
| experience77 |
| Table 4.1: Overview of the GGDC 10 Sector Database84 |
| Table 4.2: Decomposition of the evolution of aggregate labor productivity during |
| 1970-198086 |
| Table 4.3: Decomposition of the evolution of aggregate labor productivity during |
| 1980-199087 |
| Table 4.4: Decomposition of the evolution of aggregate labor productivity during |
| 1990-200088 |
| Table 4.5: Decomposition of the evolution of aggregate labor productivity during |
| 2000-201189 |
| Table 4.6: Employment structure and sectoral relative productivity90 |
| Table 4.7: Employment Growth rates by economic activity %91 |
| Table 4.8: Results of the regression analysis94 |
| Table 4.9: Results of Unit Root Tests98 |
| Table 4.10: Results of Unit Root Tests on the first difference for non-stationary |
| series98 |
| Table 5.1.1: Summary for the growth episodes 1970-2015112 |
| Table 5.2.1: Production and Yield of Cereal crops in Egypt during the 1990s119 |
| Table 5.2.2: Egypt's rank in the top 10 commodities in the world 2013123 |
| Table 5.2.3: Summary of the major features of the agricultural experience (1970- |
| 2015)127 |
| Table 5.3.1: Technological contents of Exports in Egypt & Brazil (Lall |
| classification) 1995-2000 |
| Table 5.3.2: Structure of Manufacturing Value-Added during the 2000s140 |
| Table 5.3.3: Technological content of Exports in Egypt & Brazil (Lall classification) |
| 2004-2015 |

| Table 5.3.4: Global Competitiveness index – Technological readiness 20 | 006/07 – |
|--|-----------|
| 2016/17 | 143 |
| Table 5.3.5: Revealed Comparative Advantage in Egypt 1995-2014 | 145 |
| Table 5.3.6: Summary of the major features of the industrial experienc | e (1970- |
| 2015) | 147 |
| Table 5.4.1: Decomposition of services' output (% of total services | output) |
| during 1982/83 – 2015/16 | 151 |
| Table 5.4.2: Global Retail Development Index | 154 |
| Table 5.4.3: Education and Human capital indicators | |
| Table 3.4.4: Summary of the major features of the services | |
| experience | 164 |
| Table 6.1: Decomposition of the evolution of aggregate labor productiv | ity 1970- |
| 1980 | • |
| Table 6.2: Decomposition of the evolution of aggregate labor productiv | |
| 1990 | |
| Table 6.3: Decomposition of the evolution of aggregate labor productiv | |
| 2000 | |
| Table 6.4: Decomposition of the evolution of aggregate labor productiv | ity 2000- |
| 2012 | - |
| Table 6.5: Employment structure and sectoral relative productivity | |
| Table 6.6: Results of the regression analysis | |
| Table 6.7: Results of Unit Root Tests | |
| Table 6.8: Results of Unit Root Tests on the first difference for non-si | |
| series | • |
| | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 3.1.1: Real GDP Growth Rates 1970-1980 | 25 |
|---|--------|
| Figure 3.1.2: Growth Performance During the 1980s | |
| Figure 3.1.3: Real GDP Growth Rates 1981-1990 | 26 |
| Figure 3.1.4: Real GDP Growth rates 1991-2000 | 27 |
| Figure 3.1.9: Real GDP Growth rates 2000-2015 | 31 |
| Figure 3.2.1: Gross Production Value (constant 2004-2006 million US\$) | 1970- |
| 89 | 35 |
| Figure 3.2.2: Exports, Imports, & Trade Balance of Total Agricultural Pro | oducts |
| (1000 US\$) 1970-1989 | |
| Figure 3.2.3: Gross Production Value (constant 2004-2006 million US\$ | • |
| 20001 | |
| Figure 3.2.4: Exports, Imports, & Trade Balance of Total Agricultural Pro | |
| (1000 US\$) 1990-2000 | |
| Figure 3.2.5: Production of major commodities (millions of tons) 1970-202 | |
| Figure 3.2.6: Yield Evolution of selected commodities in Brazil and the | |
| (1990-2014) | |
| Figure 3.2.7: Soybean production, Area, and Productivity (1976, | |
| 2016/17) | |
| Figure 3.2.8: Cottonseed Production, Area, and Productivity (1976 – 2016 | |
| Figure 3.3.1: Industrial Value-Added during the 1970s | |
| Figure 3.3.2: Industrial Value-Added during the 1980s and 1990s | |
| Figure 3.3.3: Industrial Value-Added during the 2000s | |
| Figure 4.2.4: Exports of Primary and Manufactured Goods as a Share of | |
| ExportsFigure 4.2.5: Manufactured goods' Trade Balance | |
| Figure 3.3.6: Infrastructure Indicators | |
| Figure 3.4.1: GDP Structure (%) 1970-2015 | |
| Figure 3.4.2: Per capita Income and Services' participation in GDP 2015 | |
| Figure 3.4.3: Decomposition of services' output (% of total services | |
| added) | |
| Figure 5.4.4: Employment by economic activity 1970-2013 | |
| Figure 3.4.5: Decomposition of Services' Employment | |
| Figure 5.4.6: Services' Labor Productivity and Output Share | |
| Figure 3.5.1: Economic Structure of Brazil during 1970 -2015 | |
| Figure 3.5.2: Employment structure in Brazil (% of Total Employment) | |
| Figure 3.5.3: Sectoral Contribution in GDP value-added % | |
| Figure 3.5.4: Sectoral Annual Growth Rates % | |
| Figure 3.5.5: Sectoral contribution of Real GDP Growth Rates % | |
| | |

| Figure 5.1.1: GDP Annual Growth Rate % during 1970-1980 | 104 |
|--|------------|
| Figure 5.1.2: Fuel exports (% of Merchandise Exports) 1970-1980 | 105 |
| Figure 5.1.3: GDP Annual Growth Rate % (1981-1990) | 106 |
| Figure 5.1.4: External Debt % of GNI (1980-1989) | 106 |
| Figure 5.1.5: External Debt % of GNI (1990-1999) | 107 |
| Figure 5.1.6: Gross Fixed Capital Formation % of GDP (1990-1999) | 107 |
| Figure 5.1.7: GDP Annual Growth Rate % | |
| Figure 5.1.8: Broad money (% of GDP) | 109 |
| Figure 5.1.9: GDP Annual Growth Rate % 2000-2015 | 109 |
| Figure 5.1.10: Gross Fixed Capital Formation % of GDP 2000-2015 | 110 |
| Figure 5.2.1: Agricultural Value-added during 1970-1990 | 115 |
| Figure 5.2.2: Balance of Agricultural Trade during 1970-2014 (Current | US\$)116 |
| Figure 5.2.3: Balance of Merchandise Trade in Egypt during 1970-2014 | 4 (Current |
| US\$) | |
| Figure 5.2.4: Agricultural Value-added during 1990-2000 | 117 |
| Figure 5.2.5: The Evolution of Cereal Production and yields during 199 | 0s118 |
| Figure 5.2.6: Agricultural Value-added during 2000-2015 | 120 |
| Figure: 5.2.7: Production and consumption of major agricultural pr | oducts in |
| Egypt 1970-2013 | 121 |
| Figure 5.2.8: Yield evolution of major agricultural products in Egyp | t and the |
| world (1970-2014) | |
| Figure 5.3.1: Manufacturing Value Added during 1970s | 130 |
| Figure 5.3.2: Structure of Merchandise Exports during the 1970s | 130 |
| Figure 5.3.3: Manufacturing Value Added during 1980s | 132 |
| Figure 5.3.4: Structure of Merchandise Exports during the 1980s | 132 |
| Figure 5.3.5: Structure of Merchandise Exports during the 1990s | 134 |
| Figure 5.3.6: Manufacturing Value Added during 1990s | 137 |
| Figure 5.3.7: Manufacturing Value-Added during the 2000s | |
| Figure 5.3.8: Investment in Industry 2003/2004 - 2014/2015 | |
| Figure 5.3.9: Herfindahl-Hirschmann Index (Product HHI) 1995-2014 | 146 |
| Figure 5.3.10: Diversification index | |
| Figure 5.4.1: GDP Structural Breakdown (1970-2015) | 150 |
| Figure 5.4.2: Balance of Merchandise Trade, Balance of Services | , Current |
| Account (\$ billions) (1977-2014) | 150 |
| Figure 5.4.3: Decomposition of services' output (% of total service | es output) |
| during 1982/83 – 2015/16 | |
| Figure 5.4.4: Mobile and Fixed Telephone Lines | 159 |
| Figure 5.4.5: Internet Developments | |
| Figure 5.4.6: Postal Offices | 160 |
| Figure 5.4.7: Postal Services | |
| Figure 7.1: Merchandise Trade Balance Vs. Agricultural Trade B | alance in |
| Brazil | 190 |