



جامعة عين شمس
كلية التربية

قسم العلوم البيولوجية والجيولوجية

دراسات وراثية جزيئية و فسيولوجية على تحمل الملوحة لبعض أصناف الكانولا

رسالة مقدمة لاستكمال
متطلبات الحصول على درجة الدكتوراة
لأعداد المعلم في العلوم
تخصص نبات

من

شوكت محمود أحمد إبراهيم

بكالوريوس علوم وتربية (1996)
الدبلومة العامة في أعداد معلم العلوم (نبات-1997)
الدبلومة الخاصة في أعداد معلم العلوم (نبات-1998)
الماجستير في أعداد معلم العلوم (نبات-2003)

إشراف

أ.د. علي زين العابدين محمد السلام
أستاذ علم الوراثة
كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. أمين عرفان دويدار
أستاذ علم فسيولوجيا النبات
كلية التربية - جامعة عين شمس

د. أيهاب محمد الوازق كامل علي
أستاذ علم الوراثة والتصنيف الخلوي المساعد
كلية التربية- جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. سامي محمد العزيز نصرمانية
أستاذ الوراثة وتربية النباتات
مركز بحوث الصحراء

(2006)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL
SCIENCES & GEOLOGY

MOLECULAR GENETIC AND PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON SALT TOLERANCE IN SOME CANOLA (*BRASSICA NAPUS* L.) GENOTYPES

THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF
PH.D IN PREPARATION OF SCIENCE TEACHER (BOTANY)

BY

SHAWKAT MAHMOUD AHMED IBRAHIM

B.Sc & Ed. (1996)

General Diploma in Preparation of Science Teacher (Botany-1997)

Special Diploma in Preparation of Science Teacher (Botany-1998)

M.Sc. in Preparation of Science Teacher (Botany-2003)

SUPERVISED BY

Dr. Amin Erfan Dowidar

Professor of plant physiology

Faculty of Education

Ain Shams University

Dr. Ali Zin El-Abidin Abdelsalam

Professor of genetics

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

Dr. Samy Abdel-Aziz Nasr Afiah Dr. Ehab Abdel-Razik Kamel Ali

Professor of genetics and

plant breeding

Desert Research Center

Assistant Professor of Cytotaxonomy

Faculty of Education

Ain Shams University

(2006)

This

*Thesis has not been
previously submitted for a degree
at this or any other University.*

S. M. AHMED

To
my parents, my sisters,
my wife and daughters
Rahma
and
Habiba

Approval sheet

Name: Shawkat Mahmoud Ahmed Ibrahim

Title: Molecular genetic and physiological studies on salt tolerance in some canola (*Brassica napus* L.) genotypes.

Supervisors

Approved

Prof. Amin Erfan Dowidar

Prof. Ali Zin El-Abidin Abdelsalam

Prof. Samy Abdel-Aziz Nasr Afiah

Dr. Ehab Abdel Razik Kamel Ali

صفحة العنوان

أسم الطالب : **شوكيت محمود أحمد إبراهيم**

الدرجة العلمية : **الدكتوراة لاعداد المعلم فى العلوم-نبات**

القسم التابع له : **قسم العلوم البيولوجية والجيولوجية**

اسم الكلية : **كلية التربية**

الجامعة : **جامعة عين شمس**

سنة التخرج : **1996**

سنة المنح : **2006**

جامعة عين شمس
كلية التربية

اسم الطالب : هوكيم محمود أحمد إبراهيم

عنوان الرسالة : دراسات وراثية جزيئية و فسيولوجية على تحمل الملوحة
لبعض أصناف الكانولا .

اسم الدرجة : الدكتوراة لامتداد المعلم في العلوم - نبات

لجنة الإشراف

الاسم : أ.د. أمين عرفان دويحار أستاذ علم فسيولوجيا النبات - كلية التربية - جامعة
عين شمس

الاسم : أ.د. على زين العابدين محمد السلام أستاذ علم الوراثة - كلية الزراعة -
جامعة عين شمس

الاسم : أ.د. سامي عبد العزيز نصر عافية أستاذ الوراثة وتربية النباتات -
مركز بحوث الصحراء

الاسم : د. أيمن عبد الرزاق كامل على أستاذ علم الوراثة والتصنيف الخلوي المساعد
كلية التربية - جامعة عين شمس

تاريخ البحث : / / 2006

الدراسات العليا

ختم الإجازة : أجازت الرسالة بتاريخ / / 2006

موافقة مجلس الكلية موافقة مجلس الجامعة

2006 / / 2006 / /

جامعة عين شمس

كلية التربية

شكر

أشكر السادة الأساتذة الذين قاموا بالإشراف على الرسالة وهم :

الاسم : أ.د. أمين عرفان دويدار أستاذ علم فسيولوجيا النبات - كلية التربية - جامعة

عين شمس

الاسم : أ.د. على زين العابدين محمد السلام أستاذ علم الوراثة - كلية الزراعة -

جامعة عين شمس

الاسم : أ.د. سامي محمد العزيز نصرمانية أستاذ الوراثة وتربية النباتات -

مركز بحوث الصحراء

الاسم : د. أيماجب محمد الازرق حامل على أستاذ علم الوراثة والتصنيف الخلوي المساعد

كلية التربية - جامعة عين شمس

والأشخاص الذين تعاونوا معي في البحث وهم:

أ.د. سعاد محمد البرماوي أستاذ فسيولوجيا الحشرات كلية التربية جامعة

عين شمس

وكذلك الهيئات الآتية :

- قسم العلوم البيولوجية والبيولوجية - كلية التربية - جامعة عين شمس
- قسم الأصول الوراثية النباتية - مركز بحوث الصحراء
- مركز الهندسة الوراثية والتكنولوجيا الحيوية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

*I am grateful to my supervisors **Prof. Dr. Amin Erfan Dowidar** Professor of plant physiology, Biological Sciences and Geology Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, **Prof. Dr. Ali Zin El-Abidin Abdelsalam** Professor of genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, **Prof. Dr. Samy Abdel-Aziz Nasr Afiah** Professor of genetics and plant breeding, Desert Research center and **Dr. Ehab Abdel Razik Kamal Ali** Assistant Professor of Cytotaxonomy, Biological Sciences and Geology Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the point of this research, supervising the work, the continuous encouragement, helpful advice and constructive criticism during this study.*

*I also like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ali Zin El-Abidin Abdelsalam**, Professor of Genetics and director of **ACGEB** center, Genetics Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his helpful advice and for providing facilities to complete this investigation.*

*I also like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Samy Abdel-Aziz Nasr Afiah** Professor of genetics and plant breeding, Desert Research center for his helpful advice and for providing the materials and facilities to complete this investigation.*

*Many thanks also to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Shahin**, head of Biological Sciences and Geology Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for the helpful advice and continuous encouragement.*

*Many thanks also to the staff members and research students of **Biological Science Department**, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for their valuable help and encouragement.*

*Many thanks are also to the staff members of **Desert Research center** for the faithful help and encouragement.*

*Many thanks are also to the staff members of **ACGEB** center, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for the faithful help and encouragement.*

*I am grateful to all my colleagues in **Biological Sciences and Geology Department**, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for their sincere help as well as their cordial support.*

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
Figure 1 :	(a) Canola genotypes sown in Maryout experimental station during the growing season 2004/ 2005. (b) The tolerant and sensitive F ₂ populations sown in Tegzerty experimental station during the growing season 2004/2005.	34
Figure 2 :	SDS-Protein banding pattern of seeds of <i>Brassica napus</i> L. genotypes (Parents + F ₁).	64
Figure 3 :	SDS-Protein banding pattern of seeds of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.of (F ₂) populations.	65
Figure 4 :	SDS-Protein banding pattern of leaves of Parents + F ₁ genotypes of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	70
Figure 5 :	SDS-Protein banding pattern of leaves of (F ₂) populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	71
Figure 6 :	Electrophoritic banding pattern of esterase α -naphthyl for parents and F ₁ genotypes of <i>Brassica napu</i> .	79
Figure 7 :	Electrophoritic banding pattern of esterase α -naphthyl for F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	80
Figure 8 :	Diagram representing esterase α -naphthyl banding pattern of the two parents (T ₁ & L ₁), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	81
Figure 9 :	Diagram representing esterase α -naphthyl banding pattern of the two parents (T ₂ & L ₅), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	82
Figure 10 :	Diagram representing esterase α -naphthyl banding pattern of the two parents (T ₁ & L ₆), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	83
Figure 11:	Electrophoritic banding pattern of esterase β -naphthyl for parents and F ₁ genotypes of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	84
Figure 12 :	Electrophoritic banding pattern of esterase β -naphthyl for F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	85
Figure 13 :	Diagram representing esterase β -naphthyl banding pattern of the two parents (T ₁ & L ₁), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	86
Figure 14 :	Diagram representing esterase β -naphthyl banding pattern of the two parents (T ₂ & L ₅), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	87
Figure 15 :	Diagram representing esterase β -naphthyl banding pattern of the two parents (T ₁ & L ₆), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of	88

	<i>Brassica napus</i> L.	
Figure 16 :	Electrophoretic banding pattern of acid phosphatase isozyme for parents and F ₁ genotypes of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	89
Figure 17 :	Electrophoretic banding pattern of acid phosphatase isozyme for F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	90
Figure 18 :	Diagram representing acid phosphatase banding pattern of the two parents(T ₁ & L ₁), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	91
Figure 19 :	Diagram representing acid phosphatase banding pattern of the two parents (T ₂ & L ₅), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	92
Figure 20 :	Diagram representing acid phosphatase banding pattern of the two parents (T ₁ & L ₆), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	93
Figure 21 :	RAPD fingerprints of the fifteen <i>Brassica napus</i> genotypes (sown in Maryuot) generated by the primer UBC30.	99
Figure 22 :	RAPD fingerprints of the fifteen <i>Brassica napus</i> genotypes (sown in Maryuot) generated by the primer UBC 31.	101
Figure 23 :	RAPD fingerprints of the fifteen <i>Brassica napus</i> genotypes (sown in Maryuot) generated by the primer UBC 75.	103
Figure 24 :	RAPD fingerprints of the fifteen <i>Brassica napus</i> genotypes (sown in Maryuot) generated by the primer UBC 78.	105
Figure 25 :	RAPD fingerprints of the fifteen <i>Brassica napus</i> genotypes (cultivated in Maryout) generated by the primer UBC B9	107
Figure 26 :	RAPD fingerprints of the fifteen <i>Brassica napus</i> genotypes (sown in Maryuot) generated by the primer UBC B10.	109
Figure 27 :	Dendrograms demonstrated the relationships among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids sown in Maryout based on SDS-PAGE analysis.	119
Figure 28 :	Dendrograms demonstrated the relationships among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids sown in Maryout based on isozymes analysis.	120
Figure 29 :	Dendrograms demonstrated the relationships among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids sown in Maryout based on RAPD-PCR analysis.	121
Figure 30 :	Dendrograms demonstrated the relationships among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids sown in Maryout based on the pooled results derived from RAPD-PCR, isozymes and SDS-PAGE analyses	122
Figure 31 :	Dendrograms demonstrated the relationships among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids sown in Siwa based on SDS-PAGE analysis.	123
Figure 32 :	Dendrograms demonstrated the relationships among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids osown in Siwa based on isozymes analysis.	124
Figure 33 :	Dendrograms demonstrated the relationships among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids sown in Siwa based on the pooled results derived from SDS-PAGE and isozymes analyses.	125

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Titel	Page
Table 1:	Code, name, origin and description of the two contrasting salt tolerant canola parental genotypes of the three hybrids as a mean of Maryout and Siwa oasis sites.	33
Table 2:	Chemical and physical analysis of soil and irrigation water of the experimental sites.	35
Table 3:	Composition of separating and stacking gels for SDS-PAGE.	40
Table 4:	Composition of separating and stacking gels for isozymes.	43
Table 5:	List of primers and their nucleotide sequences.	47
Table 6:	Mean squares for all traits recorded under saline conditions of Maryout and Siwa oasis (Tegzerty) for parents and their F ₁ genotypes .	53
Table 7:	Mean squares for all traits recorded under saline conditions of Maryout and Siwa oasis (Tegzerty) for parents and their F ₂ populations .	54
Table 8:	Mean performance and heterotic effects of vegetative growth characters of the contrasting parental genotypes and its F ₁ hybrids across salinity level of Maryout and Tegzerty .	55
Table 9:	Mean performance and heterotic effects of seed yeild and some yeild-related traits of the contrasting parental genotypes and its F ₁ hybrids across salinity level of Maryout and Tegzerty	56
Table 10:	Mean performance and heterotic effects of vegetative growth characters of the contrasting parental genotypes and its F ₂ hybrids across salinity level of Maryout and Tegzerty.	57
Table 11:	Mean performance and heterotic effects of seed yeild and some yeild-related traits of the contrasting parental genotypes and its F ₂ hybrids across salinity level of Maryout and Tegzerty.	58
Table 12	The molecular weights of seed-protein bands for the two parents (T ₁ & L ₁), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	61
Table 13:	The molecular weights of seed-protein bands for the two parents (T ₂ & L ₅), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of	62

	<i>Brassica napus</i> L.	
Table 14:	The molecular weights of seed-protein bands for the two parents (T ₁ & L ₆), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	63
Table 15:	The molecular weights of leaves-protein bands for the two parents (T ₁ & L ₁), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	67
Table 16:	The molecular weights of leaves-protein bands for the two parents (T ₂ & L ₅), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	68
Table 17:	The molecular weights of leaves-protein bands for the two parents (T ₁ & L ₆), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	69
Table 18:	Number and type of the bands produced by SDS-PAGE of the seeds and leaves as well as the percentage of the polymorphism detected in the three hybrids (T ₁ x L ₁), (T ₂ x L ₅) and (T ₁ x L ₆) of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	72
Table 19	The presence (+) and absence (-) of bands in isozymes profiles of the two parents (T ₁ & L ₁), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	76
Table 20	The presence (+) and absence (-) of bands in isozymes profiles of the two parents (T ₂ & L ₅), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L. The arrows indicate the two positive markers.	77
Table 21	The presence (+) and absence (-) of bands in isozymes profiles of the two parents (T ₁ & L ₆), F ₁ and F ₂ populations of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	78
Table 22	Number and type of the bands produced by the three isozyme systems as well as the percentage of the polymorphism detected by each isozyme in the three hybrids (T ₁ x L ₁), (T ₂ x L ₅) and (T ₁ x L ₆) of <i>Brassica napus</i> L.	94
Table 23:	Banding pattern for the primer UBC-30 with the two contrasting parents, F ₁ and two bulks of F ₂ .	98
Table 24	Banding pattern for the primer UBC-31 with the two contrasting parents, F ₁ and two bulks of F ₂ .	100
Table 25:	Banding pattern for the primer UBC-75 with the two contrasting parents, F ₁ and two bulks of F ₂ .	102
Table 26	Banding pattern for the primer UBC-78 with the two contrasting parents, F ₁ and two bulks of F ₂ .	104
Table 27:	Banding pattern for the primer B9 with the two contrasting parents, F ₁ and two bulks of F ₂ .	106
Table 28:	Banding pattern for the primer B10 with the two	108

	contrasting parents, F ₁ and two bulks of F ₂ .	
Table 29:	Number and types of the amplified DNA bands as well as the percentage of the total polymorphism generated by the primers UBC-30, 31, 75, 78, B9 and B10 in canola genotypes.	110
Table 30:	RAPD markers for the four primers (UBC-30, 31, 78 and B9) with the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ bulked groups for salinity tolerance assesment .	111
Table 31:	Matrices of the genetic similarity estimates of RAPD-PCR, isozymes and SDS-PAGE analyses among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids sown in Maryout .	117
Table 32:	Matrices of the genetic similarity estimates of SDS-PAGE and isozymes analyses among the two parents, F ₁ and F ₂ populations of the three hybrids sown in the two regions of Siwa .	118