

# **IN VITRO EMBRYO PRODUCTION FROM VITRIFIED MATURE BOVINE OOCYTES**

By

**HANY MOHAMED AMIN LAITHY**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams Univ.,(1991)

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams Univ.,(2003)

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment**

**Of**

**The Requirements for the Degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

**in**

**Agricultural Sciences  
(Animal Physiology)**

**Department of Animal Production**

**Faculty of Agriculture**

**Ain Shams University**

**2016**

## **Approval Sheet**

# **IN VITRO EMBRYO PRODUCTION FROM VITRIFIED MATURE BOVINE OOCYTES**

By

**HANY MOHAMED AMIN LAITHY**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams Univ., 1991

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams Univ., 2003

**This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:**

**Dr. Mokhtar Ibrahim Yousef** .....

Prof. of Environmental Animal Physiology and Reproductive  
Toxicology, Institute of Graduate Studies and Research, Alexandria  
University

**Dr. Ahmed Mohammed El- Sherbiny** .....

Prof. of Animal Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams  
University

**Dr. Farouk Abdalla El- Sayed Khalil** .....

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain  
Shams University

**Dr. Essmat Bakry Abdalla** .....

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain  
Shams University

**Date of Examination:** 05/06/2016

# **IN VITRO EMBRYO PRODUCTION FROM VITRIFIED MATURE BOVINE OOCYTES**

By

**HANY MOHAMED AMIN LITHY**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams Univ., 1991

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams Univ., 2003

**Under the supervision of:**

**Dr. Essmat Bakry Abdalla**

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Physiology, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Egypt  
(Principal Supervisor)

**Dr. Farouk Abdalla El- Sayed Khalil**

Prof. Emeritus of Animal Physiology, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Egypt

**Dr. Alaa El-Sayed Bellasy Zeidan**

Head Research of Animal Reproduction and Artificial Insemination, Animal Production Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In actual fact the prayerful thanks are due to our MERCIFUL ALLAH who gave me the ability and patience to finish this work.

The author wishes to express his great indebtedness, deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Dr. Essmat Bakry Abdalla** Professor of Animal Physiology, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his close supervision, guidance and valuable help in writing, preparing and revising the manuscript.

The author also expresses his great gratitude and deepest thanks to **Dr. Farouk A. Khalil**, Professor of Animal Physiology, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his supervision, help in writing, preparing and revising the manuscript.

The author also expresses his great gratitude and deepest thanks to **Dr. Alaa El-Sayed Bellasy Zeidan**, Professor of Animal Physiology, Animal Production Research Institute, for his direct and excellent supervision, appreciable help, great interest, suggesting the problem, revision the manuscript, valuable guidance and encouragement throughout the different phases of this work and help during the writing of this manuscript.

The author would like to express his sincere appreciation and deepest gratitude to **Dr. Magdy.R.Z.Badr**, Senior Researcher, Department of Biotechnology and Reproduction, Animal Reproduction Research Institute, for his supervision and providing facilities and valuable help and encouragement during this study.

The author also expresses his great gratitude and deepest thanks to **Staff Members** of the Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for their help during this work.

Sincere gratitude and thanks are expressed to all members of my family for their support, patience and encouragement during the course of the study.

## **ABSTRACT**

**Hany Mohamed Amin Laithy. In vitro Embryo Production from Vitrified Mature Bovine Oocytes. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.**

Cryopreservation of animal oocytes will permit germplasm of valuable or unique females to be preserved for extended times. The objective of this research was to derive a procedure to cryopreserve bovine oocytes by vitrification to be used as recipients for somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT). The present study was conducted to investigate the impact of different cryoprotectants like Ethylene glycol (EG), Propanediol (PROH) and Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) alone or their combinations, cryodevices, Straw, open pulled straw (OPS), solid surface vitrification (SSV), and L-carnitine supplementation in oocytes maturation medium on morphology, survival, fertilization rate and developmental competence of mature bovine oocytes using vitrification. The vitrification of bovine oocytes was performed by six experiments. The first, second and third experiment were conducted using (10, 20 and 40%) concentration of EG, (10, 20 and 40%) DMSO, (2.5, 5 and 10%) of PROH. The fourth experiment was conducted using combinations of the best results from the first three experiments as follow (5%PROH + 20%EG), (5%PROH + 20%DMSO), (20%EG + 20%DMSO), (5%PROH + 20%EG + 20%DMSO). The fifth experiment was conducted using different cryodevices (OPS-Straw-SSV) on vitrification with best results from fourth experiment. The sixth experiment was conducted using L-carnitine supplementation in maturation medium for control and treated groups at different concentrations (0.1,0.3,0.5 mM/ml), with best cryoprotectant from fourth experiment and best cryodevices from fifth experiment. It was found that combination of cryoprotectants (20% EG + 20% DMSO), proved to be more efficient than using other combinations or Propanediol (PROH), Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and ethylene glycol

(EG) alone. The morphological damages were founded to be less (10.09%) using combination of (20% EG + 20% DMSO) compared with 5% PROH or 20% DMSO alone which were (34.14%) and (27.27%), respectively. The survival rate was found (92.45%) compared with 20% EG or 20% DMSO which was (91.54% and 90.63%), respectively. The fertilization rate was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher using combination (20%EG + 20% DMSO) (57.14) compared with PROH, DMSO and EG which recorded (40.0%, 44.83%, 44.61%) respectively. The developmental rate cleavage, morula to the blastocyst stage were significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher (10.03%, 8.73%, 5.98%) using combinations of (20% EG + 20% DMSO) than using 5% PROH or 20% DMSO alone. PROH and DMSO when used alone found to induce adverse effect on the morphology, fertilization rate and developmental competence of bovine oocytes. However, combination of 20% EG + 20% DMSO found better to maintain the integrity and internal structure of bovine oocytes by vitrification. Using cryodevice (OPS, SSV techniques) was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher viability rate (94.73% and 95.23%), fertilization rate (61.11% and 67.50%), and cleavage rate (24.32 and 31.25%) than 0.25ml semen straw technique. It was found that 0.3 concentration of L-Carnitine resulted in significantly higher normal morphology, viability and fertilization rate (70.18%, 92.11% and 62.86%) respectively than control and (0.1,0.5) concentration. Also supplementation of maturation medium of immature cow oocytes with different concentrations of L.C (0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 mM /ml) for 24 h., in the presence of 0.3 mM LC, resulted in an increase of percentages of cleavage rate (40.48%), morula (19.05%) and blastocyst rate (11.90%) compared with control and other treatments.

**Keywords:** *In vitro* fertilization, Vitrification, Cryoprotectants, Cryodevice, L-Carnitine.

# CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>XII</b>
<b>1.INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1. History of cryopreservation technology	3
2.2. Quality grading of oocytes	4
2.3. Oocytes cryopreservation	5
2. 3.1. Methods of cryopreservation	5
2.4. Factors affecting vitrification	7
2.4.1. Cooling and warming rate	7
2.4.2. Cryoprotectant agents (CPAs)	8
2.4.2.1. Non permeable cryoprotectant agents (CPAs)	8
2.4.2.2. Permeable cryoprotectant agents (CPAs)	9
2.4.2.2.1. Ethylene glycol (EG)	10
2.4.2.2.2. 1,2 propanediol (PROH)	13
2.4.2.2.3. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)	14
2.5. Temperature	15
2.6. Equilibration time	15
2.7. Developmental stage	17
2.8. Warming of cryopreserved oocytes	18
2.9. Cryopreservation of oocytes at various maturation stages	18
2.10. Cryodevices	19
2.10.1. Cryoloops	20
2.10.2. Cryotop	20
2.10.3. Solid surface vitrification (SSV)	21
2.10.4. Conventional plastic straw	22
2.10.5. Open pulled straw (OPS)	23
2.11. L.Carnitine	24

## II

2.12. Assessment of vitrification technique	26
2.12.1. Morphological assessment	26
2.12.2. Assessment of viability	27
2.12.2.1. Staining	27
2.13. Factors affect in IVM	27
2.14. <i>In vitro</i> fertilization (IVF)	28
2.14.1. Factors effecting IVF	28
<b>3.MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1. Chemicals	29
3.2. Recovery of mature oocyte	29
3.3. <i>In vitro</i> maturation	31
3.3.1. Assessment of maturation rate	31
3.3.2. Equilibration and vitrification of mature oocytes	32
3.3.3. Warming of vitrified oocytes	32
3.4. Thawing and rehydration	32
3.5. Assessment quality of vitrified/warmed mature oocytes	32
3.5.1. Oocyte recovery rate	32
3.5.2. Morphological assessment	33
3.5.3. Staining (viability evaluation of vitrified oocytes using the trypan blue exclusion test)	33
3.6. <i>In vitro</i> fertilization	33
3.6.1. Assessment of <i>in vitro</i> fertilization	33
3.7. <i>In Vitro</i> culture of fertilized oocytes (IVC)	34
3.8. Experimental design	34
3.8.1. Effect of different concentrations of ropanediol(PROH) on oocytes morphology, viability and fertilization rate.	35
3.8.2. Effect of different concentrations of Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on oocytes morphology, viability and fertilization rate	35
3.8.3. Effect of different concentrations of Ethylene glycol (EG) on oocytes morphology, viability and fertilization rate	36
3.8.4. Effect of different combinations of cryoprotectants on oocytes morphology, viability, fertilization rate and	



### III

development rate to the blastocyst stage	36
3.8.5. Effect of vitrification of matured bovine oocytes using different cryodevices (OPS, 0.25 ml plastic straws, and SSV) on oocytes morphology, viability, fertilization rate and development rate to the blastocyst stage	37
3.8.6. Effect of L.carnitine supplementation to the maturation medium on oocytes morphology, viability, fertilization rate and development rate to the blastocyst stage	38
3.9. Statistical analysis	38
<b>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1. Experment1: Effect of different concentrations of Propanediol(PROH) addition to vitrification solution on oocytes morphology, viability and fertilization rate.	39
4.2. Experment2: Effect of different concentrations of Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on oocytes morphology, viability and fertilization rate	42
4.3. Experment3: Effect of different concentrations of Ethylene glycol(EG) addition to vitrification solution on oocytes morphology, viability and fertilization rate.	46
4.4. Experment4: Effect of different combination of cryoprotactants on oocytes morphology, viability fertilization rate and development rate to blastocyst stage	49
4.5. Experment5: Effect of different cryodevices (OPS, 0.25 ml plastic straws, and SSV) on oocytes morphology, viability, fertilization rate and development competence.	54
4.6. Experment6: Effect of L.carnitine supplementation to maturation medium on oocytes morphology, viability, fertilization rate and development rate to the blastocyst stage	60
<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>REFFERENCES</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Effect of different concentrations of Propanediol (PROH) on bovine oocytes morphology	40
2	Effect of different concentrations of Propanediol (PROH) on viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	41
3	Effect of different concentrations of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on bovine oocytes morphology	43
4	Effect of different concentrations of DMSO on viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	44
5	Effect of different concentrations of ethylene glycol (EG) on bovine oocytes morphology	46
6	Effect of different concentrations of EG in viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	47
7	Effect of different combinations of cryoprotectants on bovine oocytes morphology	50
8	Effect of different combinations of cryoprotectants in viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	51
9	Effect of different combinations of cryoprotectants on the development rate to the blastocyst stage on bovine oocytes	51
10	Effect of different cryodevices on bovine oocytes morphology	54
11	Effect of different cryodevices on bovine oocytes viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	56
12	Effect of different cryodevices on the development rate to the blastocyst stage on bovine oocytes	56
13	Effect of L.carnitine supplementation to maturation medium on vitrified bovine oocytes morphology	60
14	Effect of L.carnitine supplementation to maturation medium on viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	61
15	Effect of L.carnitine supplementation to maturation medium on the development rate to the blastocyst stage on bovine oocytes	62

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Fig.</b>		<b>Page</b>
1	Effect of different concentrations of Propanediol (PROH) on bovine oocytes morphology	40
2	Effect of different concentrations of Propanediol (PROH) on viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	41
3	Effect of different concentrations of Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on bovine oocytes morphology	44
4	Effect of different concentrations of Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on bovine oocytes viability and fertilization rate	45
5	Effect of different concentrations of ethylene glycol (EG) on bovine oocytes morphology	47
6	Effect of different concentrations of EG on viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes morphology	48
7	Effect of different combination s of cryoprotectants on bovine oocytes morphology	52
8	Effect of different combinations of cryoprotectants in viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	52
9	Effect of different combinations of cryoprotectants on the bovine oocytes development rate to the blastocyst stage on bovine oocytes	53
10	Effect of different cryodevices on bovine oocytes morphology	55
11	Effect of different cryodevices on viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	57
12	Effect of different cryodevices on the development rate to the blastocyst stage on bovine oocytes	57
13	Effect of L- Carnitine supplementation to maturation medium on vitrified bovine oocytes morphology	62
14	Effect of L.carnitine supplementation to the maturation medium on viability and fertilization rate on bovine oocytes	63
15	Effect of L.C supplementation to the maturation medium on the development rate to the blastocyst stage on bovine oocytes	63

## **LIST OF PLATES**

<b>Plate. (1):</b> Shape of 0.25 ml straw	34
<b>Plate. (2):</b> The Open Pulled Straw (OPS) method	38
<b>Plate (3):</b> Stages of development from fertilization to two-cell embryos	66
<b>Plate (4):</b> Development stages from fertilization to the blastocyst stage	67

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATIONS	Mean
ART	Assisted reproductive technologies
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
BZ	Brocken zona pellucida
CF	Fluorescent dye
CFDA	Carboxyfluorescein diacetate
CON	Conventional straw
CPAs	Cryoprotectant agents
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
COA	Acyl-COA esters
COCs	Cumulus oocyte complexes
CR1aa	Charles Rosenkran1 containing amino acids
DPBS	Dulbecco's phosphate buffer saline
DMSO.	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA	Deoxy ribonucleic acid
EDFS	Ethylene glycol,dimethyl sulfo.,ficoll ,sucrose
EDFSF	Ethylene glycol,dimeth sulfo.,ficoll ,sucrose,
EDM	Embryo development medium
EG	Ethylene glycol
EGF	Epidermal Growth Factor
ES	Equilibration solution
FBS	Fetal bovine serum
FCS	Fetal calf serum
FSH	Follicle stimulating hormone
GV	Germinal vesicle
HEPES	Germinal vesicle breakdown

## VIII

IVC	<i>In vitro</i> competence
IVD	<i>In vitro</i> development
IVF	<i>In vitro</i> fertilization
IVM	<i>In vitro</i> maturation
LC	L-carnitine
LH	Luteinizing hormone
LN <sub>2</sub>	Liquid Nitrogen
LP	Lactate and sodium pyruvate
M II	Metaphase II
OPS	Open pulled straws
SC	Shrinkage cytoplasm
SCNT	Somatic cell nuclear transfer
SOF	Synthetic oviductal fluid
SSV	Solid-surface vitrification
S-TALP	Swim-up procedure
PBS	Phosphate buffer saline
PROH	Probanediol
p <sup>H</sup>	Hydrogen number
PN	Pronucleus
PVP	Polyvinyl pyrrolidone
TCM 199	Tissue culture media
VS	Vitrification solution
ZP	Zona pellucida

## 1. INTRODUCTION

*In vitro* embryo production through *in vitro* maturation (IVM) and *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) has been possible in domestic animals, which have been widely utilized for embryo transfer, splitting, sexing, freezing banks, cloning nuclear transfer and transgenic animals by micromanipulation of embryos (Gordon and Lu, 1990; and Fukui *et al.* 1997).

Cryopreservation of germplasm was performed either by slow freezing (Gautam *et al.* 2008a), or vitrification (Yamada *et al.* 2007).

The conventional slow freezing method for cryopreservation of oocytes and embryos often causes intracellular ice crystallization and osmotic shock, resulting in cell damage (Ledda *et al.* 2006). Cryopreservation using vitrification is an advantageous method which cells are exposed to high concentrations of cryoprotectants and ultra-rapid cooling rate, resulting in ice-crystal free solid glass-like structures (Pereira and Marques, 2008).

Vitrification minimize chilling injury by rapidly passing through the danger temperature zone (15 to -5°C) (Dobrinsky, 1996; Martino *et al.*, 1996a; Isachenko *et al.*, 1998 and Zeron *et al.* 1999). Moreover, vitrification is a simple and fast technique of cryopreservation, requires less specialized or expensive equipment, it allows the operator to observe the cells during vitrification process (El-Danasouri, 2005).

Unfortunately, the sudden exposure to high concentrations of cryoprotectants during vitrification is toxic to oocytes (Succu *et al.* 2007 and Sripunya *et al.* 2010).

There are several methods have been used to reduce cytotoxic and osmotic effect of the cryoprotectants: use of low toxic cryoprotectants (Rall, 1987) or mixtures of them (Massip, 1986), addition of non-permeating cryoprotectants (Fahy *et al.* 1984), short time of exposure to cryoprotectants (Fahy *et al.* 1984; Arav *et al.* 1988), reduction of cryoprotectant concentration, and exposure at low temperature (Rall,