# Assessment of Pulmonary Functions in School Students in Shubra District

#### Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Pediatrics

#### By Shaimaa Badry Abd-Elzaher Mahmoud M.B B.CH

## Under supervision of **Prof.Dr/Karima Ahmed Abd Al-Khalek**

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

#### Prof.Dr /Eman Mahmoud Fouda

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

### Assist. Prof. Dr/ Nayera Samy Mostafa

Assistant Professor of Community Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2016





First thanks to **ALLAH** to whom I relate any success in achieving any work in my life.

It is my pleasure to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Karima Ahmed Abd Al-Khalek**, professor of pediatrics, Ain Shams University for her great help and support, kind supervision and continuous encouragement.

I am truly indebted to **Prof. Dr. Eman Mahmoud Fouda**, professor of pediatrics, Ain Shams

University for her meticulous supervision, encouragement,

unlimited assistance and guidance during this work and

whatever have been said, is little to express my respect and

thanks to her

I would like also to express my profound thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Mayera Samy Mostafa**, Assistant professor of Community Medicine, Ain Shams University for her constructive guidance, remarkable effort, and scientific assistance.

I will always be grateful to my father and my mother for their help, support, and encouragement and whatever has been said, is little to express my respect and thanks to them.

It is my pleasure to express my genuine thanks to my husband Engineer Mahmoud Fawzy Ahmed for his great help and support and continuous encouragement, without his support this study wouldn't have been possible.

I will always be grateful to **Sara Ahmed Abd-El Ghaffar** for her help and continuous encouragement, my deepest thanks for her.

I am very grateful to my brothers and sister Awatif, Mohammed, Sayed, Mahmoud Badry for their help and encouragement.

My sincere thanks are due to Mrs. Salwa Mahmoud Aref health instructor at school for her assistance in performing the pulmonary function tests at school.

I am very grateful to the students, the school principal and their teachers who participated in the study.

Shaimaa Badry Abd-Elzaher



"To my dear **Father** for his help and to my beloved **Mother** for her care and support

And To my dear **Husband** who was and still supporting me in all my hard times and to my beautiful daughter **Hafsa** 

### List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	10
Review of Literature	
Spirometric Pulmonary Function	11
Air Pollution	24
Pollution in Egypt	48
Studied Groups and Methods	62
Results	74
Discussion	96
Summary	105
Conclusion	108
Recommendation	109
References	110
Appendix	121
Arabic Summary	

### List of Tables

Table No. Title Page No.

Table (1):	Respiratory testing Parameters obtained by spirometer:	10
Table (2):	Pulmonary Function Test Interpretation.	12
Table (3):	Grading the Severity of Pulmonary Function Test Abnormality  American Thoracic Society Grades for Severity of a Pulmonary Function Test Abnormality:	12
Table (4):	Categories of factors determining susceptibility of children to inhaled pollutants.	19
Table (5):	Main differences between Second Hand Smoking and Third Hand Smoking.	24
<b>Table (6):</b>	Indoor Air Pollutants, its sources, and adverse health effects.	26
<b>Table (7):</b>	The permissible Limits of Noise Levels for the different Regions.	47
Table (8):	Normal values of selected pediatric pulmonary function measurements.	61
Table (9):	Classification of spirometry interpretation according to the following measurements.	61
Table (10):	Gradients of severity of pulmonary disease based on PFT values.	63
Table (11):	Range and mean age of studied children in preparatory school in Shubra Al Khaimah (n=200).	67
Table (12):	Stratification of studied children according to age (n=200).	67
Table (13):	Stratification of studied children according to social class n=200.	67
Table (14):	Range and mean number of family members and number of rooms in inhabitation of studied children.	68
Table (15):	Percentage of students with known risk factors of respiratory disease (n=200).	68
Table (16):	Percentage of students with known multiple risk factors of respiratory disease (n=200).	69
Table (17):	Frequency distribution of studied children according to history of Allergy (n=200).	69
Table (18):	Frequency distribution of studied children according to presence of respiratory problems in last two years (n=200).	70
Table (19):	Frequency distribution of studied children according to proximity of their residence to factories (n=28).	71
Table (20):	Spirometric data of studied children.	72
Table (21):	Spirometry interpretation in studied children (n=200).	73
Table (22):	Classification of studied children with obstructive spirometric pulmonary function according to severity (n=46).	74
Table (23):	Classification of studied children with restrictive spirometric pulmonary function according to severity (n=54).	75
Table (24):	Frequency distribution of studied children with normal and abnormal spirometric pulmonary function according to second hand smoking (n=119).	76
Table (25):	Frequency of studied children with normal, obstructive, restrictive pattern of spirometry PFT according to age.	77

Table (26): Frequency of studied children with obstructive pattern of spirometry PFT according to age (n=46).  Table (27): Frequency of studied children with normal, obstructive, restrictive pattern of spirometry PFT according to Social class (n=200).  Table (28): Frequency distribution of studied children with normal and abnormal spirometric pulmonary function according to risk factors of respiratory disease.  Table (29): Frequency distribution of studied children with normal and abnormal spirometric pulmonary function according to multiple risk factors of respiratory disease.  Table (30): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (31): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (32): Statistical comparison between different grades of obstructive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (33): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard asocial class.  Table (34): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard social class.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function as regard amultiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.
restrictive pattern of spirometry PFT according to Social class (n=200).  Table (28): Frequency distribution of studied children with normal and abnormal spirometric pulmonary function according to risk factors of respiratory disease.  Table (29): Frequency distribution of studied children with normal and abnormal spirometric pulmonary function according to exposing to multiple risk factors of respiratory disease.  Table (30): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (31): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (32): Statistical comparison between different grades of obstructive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (33): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard social class.  Table (34): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.
spirometric pulmonary function according to risk factors of respiratory disease.  Table (29): Frequency distribution of studied children with normal and abnormal spirometric pulmonary function according to exposing to multiple risk factors of respiratory disease.  Table (30): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (31): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (32): Statistical comparison between different grades of obstructive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (33): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard social class.  Table (34): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.
function according to exposing to multiple risk factors of respiratory disease.  Table (30): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (31): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (32): Statistical comparison between different grades of obstructive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (33): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard social class.  Table (34): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.
pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (31): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (32): Statistical comparison between different grades of obstructive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (33): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard social class.  Table (34): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.
function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (32): Statistical comparison between different grades of obstructive pulmonary function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (33): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard social class.  Table (34): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
function as regard age according to ANOVA.  Table (33): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard social class.  Table (34): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
function as regard social class.  Table (34): Statistical comparison between normal, abnormal pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
abnormal pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (35): Logistic regression of factors affecting normal versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
versus abnormal spirometric pulmonary functions.  Table (36): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
pulmonary function as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (37): Statistical comparison between children with obstructive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (38): Statistical comparison between children with restrictive pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
pulmonary function severity as regard multiple risk factors.  Table (39): Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
pulmonary function as regard 2nd hand smoking.
Table (40): Statistical comparison between normal abnormal pulmonary
function as regard history of Bronchial asthma.
Table (41):         Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard history of Bronchial asthma.
Table (42):         Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard living in a main road.
Table (43):         Statistical comparison between normal, obstructive, restrictive pulmonary function as regard factory nearby home.

### List of Figures

Fig. No. Title Page No.

Fig. (1):	Lung volumes and capacities.	5
Fig. (2):	Flow volume characteristics of acceptable and unacceptable spirometry.	11
Fig. (3):	Normal spirometry	13
Fig. (4):	Normal flow volume curve	13
Fig. (5):	Algorithm of diagnosis of lung diseases.	13
Fig. (6):	Spirometry in obstructive lung.	14
Fig. (7):	Flow volume curve in Disease obstructive lung disease	14
Fig. (8):	Flow volume curve in restrictive lung disease	15
Fig. (9):	Spirometry in restrictive lung disease	15
Fig. (10):	Health Consequences Causally Linked to Exposure to Second hand Smoke	25
Fig. (11):	Health effects of Particulate matter.	29
Fig. (12):	Respiratory effects of particulate Matter Air Pollution	30
Fig. (13):	Ozone formation	33
Fig. (14):	Ozone affects the sensitive tissue in the deep lung.	34
Fig. (15):	Interaction of air pollutants with respiratory Epithelium	37
Fig. (16):	Mechanistic framework for air pollution effects in asthma	38
Fig. (17):	Luxor Temple on the banks of the River Nile.	42
Fig. (18):	Harmful effects of noise on human	46
Fig. (19):	Effects of air pollution on various body systems	48
Fig. (20):	Egyptian Shubra Al Khaimah power station	49
Fig. (21):	Sources of CO2 emissions	51
	The annual average of pollution ratios by suspended dust	
Fig. (22):	from various sources in Greater Cairo	53
Fig. (23):	Win Spiro Pro.	58
Fig. (24):	Spirometric data presentation.	60
	Pie chart for percentage of studied students with known risk	
Fig. (25):	factors of respiratory disease.	68
F! (4.0)	Pie chart for percentage of studied students with known	(0)
Fig. (26):	multiple risk factors of respiratory disease.	69
Fig. (27):	Pie chart for classification of studied children according	70

	to history of allergy.	
	Pie chart for classification of studied children according	
Fig. (28):	to spirometry interpretation.	73
	Pie chart for classification of studied children according	
Fig. (29):	to severity of obstructive pattern	74
	Pie chart for classification of studied children according	
Fig. (30):	to severity of restrictive pattern.	75
	Pie chart for percentage of studied children with normal and abnormal	
Fig. (31):	spirometric pulmonary function according to second hand smoking.	76
	Pie chart for percentage of studied children with normal and abnormal	
Fig. (32):	spirometric pulmonary function according to history of bronchial asthma.	87

### List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
ADHD	Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder
AFD	Agence Française de Développement
ATS	American Thoracic Society
CAIP	Cairo Air Improvement Project
CAPMAS	Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics
CO	Carbon monoxide
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
DEP	Diesel exhaust particles
EEAA	The Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency
EIB	European Investment Bank
EME	The Egyptian Ministry of Environment
EPAP II	The Second Egypt Pollution Abatement Project
ERS	European Respiratory Society
ETS	Environmental tobacco smoke
FEF	forced expiratory flow
FET	Forced Expiratory Time
FEV1	forced expiratory volume in first second
FRC	Functional Residual Capacity
FVC	Forced vital capacity
GCMA	The Greater Cairo Metropolitan Area
GST	Glutathione S-transferase
GSTM1	Glutathione S-Transferase Mu 1
GSTP1	Glutathione S-transferase pi 1
GSTT1	Glutathione S-Transferase theta 1
H2SO4	Sulfuric acid
ЛСА	The Japan International Cooperation Agency
LLN	Lower Limit of Normal
MVV	Maximal voluntary ventilation
NO2	Nitrogen dioxide
NPC	The Noise Pollution Clearinghouse
$O_3$	Ozone
OSAS	Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome
PFT	Pulmonary function test
PM	Particulate matter
RV	Residual Volume

SD Standard deviation SHS Second Hand Smoke Sulphur dioxide
Total lung capacity
United Nations Development Program
The United States Agency for International Development
World Health Organization SO2 TLC

UNDP

USAID

WHO

#### Abstract

As regard living in main road as a risk factor for abnormal pulmonary functions, were recorded (19%) had obstructive pulmonary functions and (25%) had restrictive pulmonary functions.

As regard proximity of home to coffee shop were recorded (17%) had obstructive pulmonary functions and (31%) had restrictive pulmonary functions.

As regard presence of pets at home, were recorded (26%) had obstructive pulmonary functions and (32%) had restrictive pulmonary functions

As regard students with known history of bronchial asthma were recorded (72%) had obstructive spirometric pulmonary functions and (14%) had restrictive spirometric pulmonary functions.

**Key words:** Sulphur dioxide- Second Hand Smoke - Maximal voluntary ventilation

### **INTRODUCTION**

ounting evidence suggests that air pollution contributes to the large global burden of respiratory and allergic diseases, including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pneumonia, and possibly tuberculosis. (Laumbach et al., 2012)

Exposure to ambient air pollution is related to several health outcomes such as reduced lung function, exacerbation of asthma and increased acute and chronic respiratory/allergic diseases in children. (Altug et al., 2014)

Every year 3.3 million premature deaths from the effects of air pollution worldwide, ambient outdoor and indoor air pollution contributed to the deaths of an estimated 7.7 million people in 2012, including over 660,000 children. (Simoni et al., 2015)

Children might be more likely to be affected by inflammation produced by air pollutants, as their airways are smaller in diameter. Children are sensitive to the effects of air pollution due to several other physiological reasons . (WHO, 2005).

Epidemiological studies suggest that outdoor air pollution adversely affects children's lung function, which may not only harm their health now but also increase their susceptibility to respiratory and cardiovascular disease in adulthood.

Exposure to air pollutants in infancy is suspected to alter lung development, with potential long-term consequences. Maternal and childhood exposure are associated with deficits in lung function and lung function growth. Air pollution may play a role in the development of childhood asthma. (Goldizen et al., 2015)

The health effects of air pollution exposure have become an area of increasing focus in the past 30 years. A growing body of evidence has demonstrated that there are serious health consequences to the community due to air pollution and that these consequences are not spread equally among the population. (Schwartz, 2004)