

Clinical evaluation of ozonated oil on reducing postoperative complications after Odontectomy of impacted mandibular wisdom tooth

THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University

In partial fulfillment of the requirement of Master Degree in Oral and
Maxillofacial surgery

By

Heba t-Allah Mohammed Fayed

B.D.S

October 6th University 2004

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

2011

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Hatem Abdel-Rahman

Professor of oral and maxillofacial surgery

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Sameh mekhemer

Professor of oral and maxillofacial surgery

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

قالوا سبحانك لا علم لنا الا ما علمتنا انك انت العليم الحكيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة – الايه ٣٢

Acknowledgement

Before and above all, all gratitude and thankfulness to **ALLAH** who guided and help me to finish this work.

I would like to express my profound appreciation and many thanks to **Dr. Hatem Abdel-Rahman**, Professor of oral and maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo university, for his care, concern, generous help and valuable support.

My deepest gratitude, countless thanks and great appreciation to **Dr. Sameh Mekhemer**, Professor of oral and maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo university, for his tremendous support unforgettable help to accomplish this work. Words are not enough to thank him for enthusiastic encouragement, guidance, advice and powerful support in each stage of the work.

Finally I would like to thank all my colleagues and staff members of Oral surgery department, October 6th university for their Continuous Support and cooperation.

Dedication

*To my dear parents, sister and brother for their
unconditional love and continuous support*

To my kind husband

To my precious son ADHAM

List of Contents

DEDICATION.....	--
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	--
LIST OF TABLES	i
LIST OF FIGURES	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
AIM OF THE STUDY	29
MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
RESULTS	59
DISCUSSION	87
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	94
REFERENCES	97
ARABIC SUMMARY	--
APPENDIX (1): Preoperative assessment patient chart	34
APPENDIX (2): Patient follow up assessment chart	55

List of tables

Table (1): showing clinical data for comparison between the severity of pain among the two groups.....60

Table (2) showing: analysis of variance for pain throughout study periods in both groups.....61

Table (3) showing: The means, standard deviation (SD) values for the comparison between mean percentage of pain in the two groups.....62

Table (4): showing mean values maximum interincisal opening for comparison between the severity of trismus among the two groups.....66

Table (5) showing: analysis of variance for interincisal opening throughout study periods in both groups..... 67

Table (6): showing The means, standard deviation (SD) values for the comparison between mean percentage of interincisal opening in the two groups..... 68

Table (7): showing mean values vertical facial measurements for comparison between the severity of edema among the two groups..... 74

Table (8) showing: analysis of variance for vertical facial measurements throughout study periods in both groups..... 75

Table (9) showing The means, standard deviation (SD) values for the comparison between mean percentage of vertical facial measurements in the two groups76

Table (10): showing mean values horizontal facial measurements for comparison between the severity of edema among the two groups80

Table (11) showing: analysis of variance for horizontal facial measurements throughout study periods in both groups81

Table (12) showing: The means, standard deviation (SD) values for the comparison between mean percentage of horizontal facial measurements in the two groups82

List of figures

Fig (1): A photograph showing calipers	35
Fig (2): A photograph showing preoperative maximum interincisal opening measurement.....	36
Fig (3): A photograph showing vertical facial measurement	37
Fig (4): A photograph showing horizontal facial measurement	37
Fig (5): A radiograph showing a preoperative panoramic view for impacted mandibular third molar	38
Fig (6): A photograph showing surgical tray	40
Fig (7): A photograph showing impacted tooth	41
Fig (8): A photograph showing flap incision	41
Fig (9): A photograph showing flap reflection	42
Fig (10): A photograph showing bone guttering	42
Fig (11): A photograph showing empty socket after tooth removal	43
Fig (12): A photograph showing tooth after surgical removal	43
Fig (13): A photograph showing gar of ozonized oil	45
Fig (14): A photograph showing syringe used in ozonized oil application into socket.....	45
Fig (15): A photograph showing ozonized oil (Vaseline like appearance) in its gar	46
Fig (16): A photograph showing etchant tip used in ozonized oil application.....	46

Fig (17): A photograph showing ozonized oil application into socket.....	47
Fig (18): A photograph showing socket filled with ozonized oil.....	47
Fig (19): A photograph showing sutured flap.....	48
Fig (20): showing pain scale used in clinical assessment	50
Fig (21): A radiograph showing immediate postoperative panoramic view.....	53
Fig (22): A radiograph showing panoramic view after 1 month postoperatively	53
Fig (23): A photograph showing panoramic view after 3 months postoperatively.....	54
Fig (24): A photograph showing panoramic x-ray unit.....	56
Fig (25): A photograph showing computer connected to x-ray unit.....	57
Fig (26): photograph showing software used in panoramic view evaluation.....	57
Fig (27): A bar chart showing: mean values of pain scores in both groups throughout follow up intervals	63
Fig (28): A histogram showing effect of time on pain scores in both groups	64
Fig (29): A bar chart showing: mean values of interincisal opening in both groups throughout follow up intervals	69
Fig (30): A histogram showing effect of time on interincisal opening scores in both groups	70

Fig (31): A photograph showing preoperative maximum interincisal opening (group A).....	71
Fig (32): A photograph showing postoperative maximum interincisal opening (group A).....	71
Fig (33): A photograph showing preoperative maximum interincisal opening (group B).....	72
Fig (34): A photograph showing postoperative maximum interincisal opening (group B)	72
Fig (35): A bar chart showing: mean values of vertical measurements in both groups throughout follow up intervals	77
Fig (36): A histogram showing effect of time on vertical measurements in both groups	78
Fig (37): A bar chart showing: mean values of horizontal measurements in both groups throughout follow up intervals	83
Fig (38): A histogram showing effect of time on horizontal measurements in both groups	84
Fig (39): A photograph showing vertical facial measurement at 2 nd day postoperatively (group A).....	85
Fig (40): A photograph showing horizontal facial measurement at 2 nd day postoperatively (group A).....	85
Fig (41): A photograph showing vertical facial measurement at 2 nd day postoperatively (group B).....	86
Fig (42): A photograph showing horizontal facial measurement at 2 nd day postoperatively (group B)	86

Introduction

Surgical removal of impacted third molars or “wisdom teeth” is one of the most commonly performed dentoalveolar procedures in oral and maxillofacial surgery ⁽¹⁾. Conditions surrounding the removal and/or preservation of third molars are one of the greatest controversies in dentistry. The range of opinions varies from promiscuous removal, contempt, and emotional bias for its preservation ⁽²⁾.

Preventive dentistry indicates that impacted teeth should be removed before complications arise according to the philosophy of (Prevention of problems), some authors believe that if third molars are removed only when symptoms and pathologic changes occur, the patients may have a very real risk of serious complications following surgery ⁽³⁾.

Others believe that prophylactic surgery is not an appropriate management strategy as not every impacted third molar causes a clinical problem, and an unknown percentage of impacted third molars may remain asymptomatic throughout life ⁽⁴⁾.

The frequency of complications after third molar removal is between 2.6% and 30.9%. The spectrum of complications ranges from harmless adverse effects as pain, swelling and trismus to nerve damage, mandibular fracture and life threatening infections ⁽⁵⁾.

Factors reported to be associated with third molar surgery postoperative complications include age; gender; medications such as antibiotics, corticosteroids, or oral contraceptives; smoking; previous infection; periodontitis; poor oral hygiene; surgeon experience; difficulty of

extraction; length of surgical procedure; inadequate irrigation; number of teeth extracted; and anaesthetic technique⁽⁶⁾.

Several methods are reported to reduce the incidence of postoperative complications, these include the use of prophylactic antibiotics, corticosteroids and chlorohexidine mouthwashes and gels ⁽⁷⁾.

One of the most remarkable discoveries in recent years in the field of alternative medicine is the use of ozone as a therapeutic agent ⁽⁸⁾. Ozone works destructively against bacteria, fungi, viruses and protozoa. Recent researches around the world are exploring new applications and modalities of ozone in medicine. When ozone dissolved in an oil base; they react to form ozonized oil that has antimicrobial properties ⁽⁹⁾. Controlled ozone application has been found to be extremely safe and free from side effects more than most medications, including antibiotics⁽¹⁰⁾.

The use of ozone is based on its oxidative properties. These properties are due to its activation of oxygen dependent reactions of metabolism and the Krebs cycle, with formation of a large amount of protons necessary to restore the buffer capacity of antioxidant defense system against free radical and peroxides and its direct destructive effect on almost all microorganisms in addition to its therapeutic effect that facilitates wound healing and improves blood supply. Ozone has been successfully used in medicine; it may be applied as a gas or dissolved in water or in oil form. ⁽¹¹⁾

Review Of Literature

Archer defined impacted tooth as the tooth which is completely or partially unerupted due to mechanical hindrance from adjacent tooth, bone, soft tissue or pathological condition ⁽¹²⁾, while **Peterson** defined the impacted tooth as the tooth that fails to erupt into the dental arch within the expected time and can no longer reasonably be expected to do so. The mandibular third molar is the most frequently impacted tooth because it is the last tooth to erupt ⁽¹³⁾.

Development Of The Mandibular Third Molar:

Third molar exhibits the greatest variability in timing of development, crown and root morphology and position ⁽¹⁴⁾. The average age for third molar crypt formation is around five to seven years with initial cusp calcification occurring between seven to 12 years. Crypt formation has been shown to occur up to 12 years of age ⁽¹⁵⁾.

The mean age of initial mineralization of cusp tips is during the ninth year. The mean age of completion of the third molar crown is during the 12th or 13th year. The mean age of root cleft formation is from mid thirteenth to the beginning of the fifteenth year. The mean age of closing the apex was during the 19th or 20th year. The third permanent molar is usually the only tooth visible from crypt appearance to apex completion on radiographs of children and young adults who attend dental teaching hospitals. ⁽¹⁶⁾.

The mandibular third molar tooth germ is usually visible radiographically by age 9 years, at age 11 years; the tooth is located within the

anterior border of the ramus with its occlusal surface facing almost directly anteriorly. During the time of crown completion the body of the mandible grows in length at the expense of resorption of the anterior border of the ramus. As this process occurs the position of the third molar relative to the adjacent teeth changes, with the third molar assuming a position at approximately the root level of the adjacent second molar, during the time of root formation the tooth rotates from horizontal to mesioangular to vertical. Most third molars do not follow this typical eruption sequence and, instead, become impacted teeth⁽¹³⁾.

Approximately 43 percent of third molar impactions may be classified as mesial in the mandible because the third molar buds are angulated mesially at the time of calcification. Increased tipping may also be more prevalent in the mandible since horizontal impactions occur in approximately 3 percent of mandibular cases. Only about 6 percent of mandibular impactions are classified as distal. The chances of eruption may be limited if the mesial tipping exceeds 30 degrees at 18 years of age⁽¹⁷⁾.

Etiology of Impaction

Nodine pointed out that civilization could be held responsible for the withdrawal or elimination of a stimulus that excites an adequate development of the human jaws, a development that would provide sufficient room for the normal eruption of all the teeth, This lost stimulus is the force demanded for the mastication of hard food .The modern diet does not require a decided effort in mastication and so, modern man has impacted teeth⁽¹⁸⁾.