



Evaluation of a Chromogenic Culture Medium Versus Polymerase Chain Reaction for Diagnosis of Clostridium Difficile in Antibiotic Induced Diarrhea

Thesis Submitted for Fulfillment of M.Sc. Degree In Clinical and Chemical Pathology

By
Amira Farouk Ahmed Hussein
M.B., B.Ch
Cairo University

Supervised by

Professor Dr. Nada Nabil Nawar

Professor of Clinical and Chemical Pathology Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

Professor Dr. Mona Mohiedden A.Haleim

Professor of Clinical and Chemical Pathology Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

Dr. Rasha Hamed El Sherif

Lecturer of Clinical and Chemical Pathology Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University

> Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2012

Special Thanks

Special thanks to my family who suffered a lot with me through this thesis. Thanks to my friends and all who helped me in fulfilling this thesis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Before all and after all thanks to GOD

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to *Prof. Nada Nabil Nawar*, Professor of clinical and chemical, faculty of medicine, Cairo University for her sincere guidance, Kind supervision, continuous encouragement and valuable instructions throughout the whole work.

I am very grateful to *Prof. Mona Mohiedden A.Haleim*, professor of Clinical and Chemical pathology, Faculty of medicine, Cairo University for her constant assistance, valuable instructions, generous guidance and precious time she offered me throughout this work to make it complete.

Many thanks for *Dr. Rasha Hamed Al Sherif*, Lecturer of Clinical and Chemical pathology, Faculty of medicine, Cairo University for her beneficial help, kind assistance, constant support, and valuable supervision.

Lastly, I wish to thank all my professors, staff members and my colleagues for their kind help and support.

Contents

Content	Page
List of Tables	IV
List of Figures	V
List of Abbreviations	VII
Abstract	IX
Introduction and Aim of work	1
Review	
- Chapter 1: Background and Epidemiology	4
- Chapter 2: Risk factors and Pathogenesis of CDAD	10
- Chapter 3:Diagnosis of CDAD	21
- Chapter 4:Management of CDAD	34
- Chapter 5: Prevention and Infection control	43
Patients and Methods	46
Results	57
Discussion	76
Conclusion and Recommendation	85
Summary	87

Content	Page
References	89
Arabic summary	1

List of Tables

Number	Table						
1	Different clinical manifestations of patients suffering from Clostridium Difficile associated diarrhea.	23					
2	Differential Diagnosis of Clostridium Difficile— Associated Diarrhea	24					
3	Tests Used for the Diagnosis of Clostridium Difficile—Associated Diarrhea	31					
4	Different regimens for treatment of Clostridium Difficile associated diarrhea	34					
5	Individual demographic, clinical and laboratory data of patients and control group.	59					
6	Isolated microorganisms from stool culture on conventional media.	68					
7	Results of multiplex PCR for stool samples of patient group.	69					
8	Comparison between demographic, clinical and laboratory criteria between C. Difficile PCR positive and negative cases.	71					
9	Demographic, clinical and laboratory data for the positive two cases.	72					

List of Figures

Number	Figure							
1	Micrograph of C. Difficile							
2	Pathogenesis of Clostridium Difficile disease							
3	Gross appearance of the colon in a patient with pseudomembranous colitis.							
4	Strains cultured in brain heart infusion were applied to monolayers of Vero cells.							
5	C.Difficile colonies after 48 hours growth on blood agar							
6	Endoscopic visualization of pseudomembranous colitis.							
7	Diffuse severe colonic mural thickening with thumb Print morphology in a patient with pseudomembranous.							
8	Distribution of patients according to the admission sites.							
9	Distribution of patients within inpatient wards.							
10	Distribution of patients in ICUs wards.							
11	The clinical diagnosis of the selected 100 cases.							
12	Distribution of patients according to number of motions/day.	62						
13	Distribution of painful diarrhea among studied cases.							

Number	Figure					
14	Distribution of bloody diarrhea among studied cases.	63				
15	Type of antibiotic intake in patient group.	64				
16	Duration of antibiotic intake in patient group.	65				
17	Direct stool examination for pus cell count in stool samples of patients.	66				
18	Direct stool examination for RBCs.	67				
19	Chromogenic culture media negative for Clostridium Difficile.	73				
20	Positive cases for toxin B and triose phosphate gene by PCR	73				
21	Positive cases for binary toxin and triose phosphate gene by PCR	74				
22	Culture of case 1 on blood agar.	74				
23	Chromagar negative culture of case 1.	74				
24	Light growth of case 2 on blood agar.	75				
25	Chromagar negative for case 2.	75				

List of Abbreviations

CDAD Clostridium difficile associated diarrhea

C. Difficile Clostridium Difficile

AAD Antibiotic associated diarrhea

WBC White blood cells

DM Diabetes mellitus

GTPases Guanosine triphosphatasis

Rho family a family of small signaling G protein (more specific, a GTPase)

CHO cells Carbohydrate cells

C.T. Computerized tomography

ADP Adenosine diphosphate

PCR Polymerase chain reaction

ELISA Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

PFGE Pulsed field gel electrophoresis

MLVA Multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis

MLST multilocus sequence typing

IV Intravenous

MIC Minimal inhibitory concentration

IgM	Immunoglobulin M				
IgG	Immunoglobulin G				
IgA	Immunoglobulin A				
tpi	Triose phosphate isomerase				
dATP	Deoxyadenosine triphosphate				
dCTP	Deoxycytidine triphosphate				
dGTP	Deoxyguanosine triphosphate				
dTTP	Deoxythymidine triphosphate				
TAE	Tris acetate Edta buffer				
Tcd	Toxin of clostridium difficile				
HSM	Hepatosplenomegaly				
S.S agar	Salmonella Shigella agar				
ICUs	Intensive care units				
RBCs	Red blood cells				
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus				
CDI	Clostridium difficile infection				
IBD	Inflammatory bowel disease				

ABSTRACT

Key words: Antibiotic associated diarrhea-Clostridium Difficile-Polymerase chain reaction-Chromogenic culture media.

Background: Antibiotic associated diarrhea (AAD) can be a significant problem resulting in incomplete duration of therapy and development of microbial resistance and can cause severe complications e.g. electrolyte imbalances, dehydration, pseudomembranous colitis, toxic megacolon or even death.

Clostridium Difficile is the leading cause of antibiotic associated diarrhea in hospitalized patients.

Materials and Methods: In this work we aimed to evaluate Chromogenic agar versus polymerase chain reaction in diagnosis of Clostridium Difficile infection.

The study included 100 cases of antibiotic associated diarrhea and 20 completely healthy individuals as control group

Results: The results was that by PCR for cases, 2/100 cases were positive for toxin B,and one/100 case positive for Binary toxin, no cases were positive for toxin A, 2/100 cases were positive for tpi gene, for control group no samples were positive for any toxin or tpi gene.

By chromogenic agar, none of cases or control was positive.

Conclusion: we conclude that PCR is superior to Chromogenic agar and it is better in diagnosis of toxigenic Clostridium Difficile.

Recommendations: Further recommendations were suggested before culture as treating sample with alcohol shock in order to enrich spores and kill vegetative forms and decrease growth of flora, collecting sample and culture on the spot better than using carryblair so keeping viability of cells. Also another recommendation for PCR is the usage of positive control for Clostridium Difficile will be better.

Introduction:

Clostridium Difficile is an obligate anaerobic, spore-producing, gram-positive rod that was first described in 1935 (*Bartlett et al., 1977*). Its link with pseudomembranous colitis and Clostridium Difficile—associated diarrhea (CDAD) was established in 1978 (*Poutanen and Simor, 2004*). It is the implicated pathogen in 20% to 30% of patients with antibiotic-associated diarrhea, 50% to 75% of those with antibiotic-associated pseudomembranous colitis (*Kelly et al., 1994*). CDAD is an important nosocomial infection associated with an increase in length of hospital stay and cost and substantial morbidity and mortality (*Wilcox et al., 1998*).

Prevalence rates of C. Difficile depend on the patient population, antibiotic prescribing patterns, endemic strains, and criteria used to define antibiotic-associated diarrhea (*Thielman and Wilson*, 2005). Two major toxins are produced by Clostridium Difficile, an enterotoxin and a cytotoxin (*Sun et al.*, 2010), of which the enterotoxin is thought to be the main cause of the disease symptoms (*Wilkins*, 1987).

Examination of faecal filtrates for cytotoxic effect neutralizable by cross reacting Clostridium Sordellii antitoxin in monolayers of various cell lines has become the 'gold standard' test (Lyras et al., 2009). Technical difficulties in maintaining cell lines, time and cost preclude its availability in many laboratories. Enzyme immunoassays (EIAs) are commercially available for the detection of toxins (Barbut et al., 1993). Toxin degradation by proteases normally occurring in faeces decreases sensitivity with time, a particular problem if specimens have to be referred to a central laboratory (Brazier, 1993).

Introduction and Aim of work

Non-toxigenic strains lack part or all of the genes encoding these toxins (Lyras et al., 2009). While PCR could overcome the requirement for fresh specimens and provide a more sensitive test, specific for each C. difficile toxin. Moreover a Chromogenic culture medium for Clostridium Difficile has been developed to facilitate accurate diagnosis of C. Difficile (Perry et al., 2010).

Aim of work

I	n	this	work	we	aimed	to	evaluate	Chromo	genic	agar	versus
polyme	ras	e cha	ain rea	ction	n in diag	gno	sis of Clos	stridium	Diffici	le inf	ection.

Background:

In 1935, Hall and O'Toole first isolated a gram-positive, cytotoxin producing anaerobic bacterium from the stool of healthy neonates (*Kelly et al.*, 2008). They named it Bacillus difficilis to reflect the difficulties they encountered in its isolation and culture.



Figure (1): Micrograph of clostridium difficile (Sebaihia et al., 2006).

The first report of antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD) was found in the Bulletin of the Johns Hopkins Hospital of 1893, where John Finney and Sir William Osler described the case of a young woman who died of a severe case of "diphtheric colitis" shortly after gastric surgery (*Finney*, 1986) .It was not until the mid-1900s, with the use of preoperative antibiotics, that AAD is a common medical problem.

For many years, the reason of the pseudomembranous colitis remained elusive; indeed, the term staphylococcal enterocolitis was used, reflecting the belief that the disease was commonly caused by staphylococci. In the 1970s, important observations of clindamycin-associated pseudomembranous colitis and the demonstration of the potent cytopathic effects of Clostridium Difficile—derived toxin in animal models established the cause and pathogenesis of this condition (*Mcfarland*, 1998).