

BioHPP Bar-Retained Implant-Supported Overdenture Versus BioHPP Implant- Retained Fixed Bridge Using CAD/CAM Technology (Strain Gauge Analysis)

A thesis submitted to the Department of Oral and Maxillo-facial
Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University,
In partial fulfillment of the requirements for
Master's Degree in Oral and Maxillo-facial Prosthodontics

By

Islam Mohamed Amin Heiba

B.D.S., Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, 2010

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

2016

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Marwa Ezzat Sabet

Professor and chairman of Oral and Maxillofacial Prosthodontics,

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Osama

Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Prosthodontics,

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Acknowledgement

*First of all, I would like to express my sincere thanks to **Allah** to whom I relate any success achieved in every work in my life.*

*I would like to express my great thanks and feeling of gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Marwa Ezzat Sabet**, chairman of removable prosthodontics department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her valuable advice, great effort in planning and revising this study, her meticulous supervision, endless understanding and patience.*

*I am extremely grateful to **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Osama**, lecturer of removable prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his support and help to bring out this piece of work and from whom I learnt a lot of patience and accuracy.*

*I would also like to express my deepest appreciation and thanks to all staff Members of the removable prosthodontics department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for their encouragement and support, and also the **Digital Dental Academy** for their great support and great role in completion of this work, and to the **Kenawy Dental Center** doctors for their great support and help.*

Islam Mohamed Amin



Dedication

*I would like to thank my mother for her
sacrifice; endless support and providing me
with prayers that blessed my work.*

Table of contents

Title	Page
List of figures	i
List of tables	iii
Introduction	1
Review of literature	3
I. Different treatment modalities to improve edentulism treatment	3
II. Complete denture treatment modality • Problems associated with complete	5
III. Overdenture treatment modality	8
<u>1-Tooth supported overdenture</u>	8
<u>Classification of tooth supported Overdenture according to the mode of support:-</u>	
a. <i>Tooth supported Overdenture</i>	9
b. <i>Mucosa- tooth supported overdenture</i>	9
• Problems associated with overdenture	10
1- Implant supported overdenture	10
A. <u>Dental implants</u>	12
B. <u>Classification of implant supported overdenture:-</u>	
a. <u>According to mode of support</u>	
1. Mucosally supported implant overdenture	14
2. Totally implant supported overdenture	14
3. Combined mucosa-implant supported overdenture	15
b. <u>According to the connection between the abutments</u>	17
C. <u>Tri-lobe and internal hex implant</u>	19

IV. <u>Attachments:</u>	20
A. Stud (button) attachment	21
B. Bar attachment	24
C. Magnetic attachments	30
D. Telescopic attachments	32
V. Implant supported fixed bridge	33
VI. Role of CAD CAM in dental technology	35
VII. <u>Recent materials used in fixed and removable prosthesis</u>	37
a. Zirconium dioxide	37
b. Peek and BioHPP	39
VIII. Stress analysis	43
a. Electrical resistance strain guage	45
b. Application of strain guage in prosthodontics research	47
Aim of the study	50
Materials and methods	51
Results	75
Discussion	91
Summary	102
Conclusion	104
References	105
Arabic summary	125

List of figures

Figure no.	Title	Page
Fig. 1	Mandibular edentulous ridge	52
Fig. 2	The finished overdenture	53
Fig. 3	The parallelism between implants was done using dental surveyor	54
Fig. 4	Four implants inserted in the acrylic model	55
Fig. 5	2 mm mucosa simulator by light body Rubber base impression material	56
Fig. 6	Scanning of the model cast with the scan bodies was done by the 3D tabletop digital scanner	58
Fig. 7	3D scan model.	59
Fig. 8	Designing bar with suitable slots for ti-bases	60
Fig. 9	BioHPP bar design	60
Fig. 10	Final bar design	61
Fig. 11	BioHpp milled bar	62
Fig. 12	BioHPP bar was screwed on its position on the model cast	62
Fig. 13	Clips placed in position on the bar with blocking undercuts	63
Fig.14	Overdenture in place on the model cast for pick up	64
Fig.15	The clips attachment secured to the acrylic overdenture	64
Fig.16	Scanning the acrylic denture on the model cast	66
Fig.17	3D scan model of the implant bridge	67
Fig.18	BioHPP cut pack framework bridge	67
Fig.19	Silicon index for veneering BioHPP implant bridge	68
Fig.20	BioHPP implant retained fixed bridge	68
Fig.21	The wires of the strain gauges were fixed in position using adhesive recommended by the manufacturer	70

Fig.22	Bilateral Load Application to acrylic overdenture	73
Fig.23	Unilateral vertical Load Application	73
Fig.24	Oblique Load Application to the acrylic denture	74
Fig.25	Bilateral Load Application to the implant fixed bridge using surveyor table	74
Fig.26	Mean, amount of microstrain induced at the distal implants and ridge during different loadings induced on bar attachment.	76
Fig.27	Mean, amount of microstrain induced at the distal implants and ridge during different loadings induced on fixed bridge.	81
Fig.28	Mean, amount of microstrain induced at the distal implants and ridge during bilateral vertical loading.	85
Fig.29	Mean, amount of microstrain induced at the distal implants and ridge during unilateral vertical loading.	87
Fig.30	Mean, amount of microstrain induced at the distal implants and ridge during unilateral oblique loading.	89

List of tables

Table no.	Title	Page
Table (I)	Mean, standard deviation for the results of one-way ANOVA of different loadings induced on bar attachment.	76
Table (II)	Mean, standard deviation for the results of one-way ANOVA of different loadings induced on fixed bridge.	80
Table (III)	Mean, standard deviation and student-t test for the amount of microstrain induced on the implants and ridge for the design I (bar attachment) and design II (fixed bridge) during bilateral vertical loading	85
Table (IV)	Mean, standard deviation and student-t test for the amount of microstrain induced on the implants and ridge for the design I (bar attachment) and design II (fixed bridge) during unilateral vertical loading	87
Table (V)	Mean, standard deviation and student-t test for the amount of microstrain induced on the implants and ridge for the design I (bar attachment) and design II (fixed bridge) during unilateral oblique loading	89

Introduction

Edentulism is the terminal outcome of a multifactorial process including biological processes such as caries, periodontal diseases, pulpal pathology, trauma, oral cancer as well as non-biologic factors related to dental procedures.

It is conservatively assumed that ten percent of the world's population of 6 billion is between partially or totally edentulous. The choice between a fixed prosthesis and an overdenture when treating the edentulous mandible with implants shows wide variation both within and between countries.

A wide variety of treatment modalities exist for the edentulous patient. The preferred design for the edentulous patient was the fixed implant-supported prostheses. Many patients prefer this design as it provides them with a “natural feel” which they find comparable to their own teeth regarding both esthetics and function. In addition, fixed implant prostheses require less maintenance as there are no attachments to change or adjust. However, this type of treatment may be beyond the financial and anatomical scope of many edentulous patients. In addition, attempting to reduce the number of implants supporting a full arch fixed prosthesis may result in biomechanical disadvantages as increased stresses on the implants.

All these disadvantages make the patients asking for another treatment options like implant retained overdenture with different attachment systems.

The use of multiple types of attachment systems, including stud, magnet and bar attachments have proven both clinically predictable and effective results. The design of attachments should provide equal implant-tissue support and optimum force distribution around the implants to allow bone loading within physiologic levels.

Implants splinted together with bars may decrease the risk of overload to each implant resulting from greater surface area, load sharing between implants and improved biomechanical distribution. The bar's ability to decrease the potential for micromotion at the bone-implant interface may provide successful osseointegration of immediately loaded implants.

Nowadays Computer aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technology has widened the scope and application of those treatment , allowing for prosthodontically-driven implant placement and optimum substructure design for optimal esthetics and biomechanics.

Hence this thesis was proposed to evaluate which treatment modalities are less destructive to the supporting structures using strain gauge analysis through comparing BioHPP bar retained implant supported overdenture versus BioHPP implant retained fixed bridge manufactured by CAD CAM technology.

Review of literature

I. Different treatment modalities to improve edentulism treatment:

Edentulism remains prevalent in among individuals older than 65 years of age. It results in a wide range of local anatomical, physiological, and psychosocial changes that include continued residual ridge resorption, reduced masticatory efficiency, altered facial esthetics associated with changes in vertical dimension and muscular function, and deterioration in social functions. It is a condition with broad psychosocial and physiological impact.⁽¹⁻²⁾

A large variety of different treatment modalities exist for both the fixed and removable mandibular implant prosthesis. Clinical and technical aspects should be firstly considered at the treatment to select the optimal implant position, establishing an adequate number of functional units, selection the appropriate retainers, and apply the best technique for framework processing and veneering.⁽³⁾

Several factors play a role in the decision of the treatment option that best suits the patient, such as anatomy, esthetic, phonetics, interocclusal space, neuromuscular functions, cost and patient compliance. Moreover, the maxilla and mandible present different anatomical and functional challenges related to different arch morphology, resorptive pattern, quality and quantity of the bone, presence of anatomical structure, and biomechanics considerations.⁽⁴⁻⁵⁾

When contemporary treatment of the edentulous patients considered, dental implants for the treatment of edentulism offers an alternative treatment to complete denture. In spite of the advantages of mandibular implants are the improvement in mandibular function, the prevention or reservation of alveolar bone loss, and the measurable improvement in self-reported satisfaction with treatment. Yet, complete treatment of the edentulous patient extends beyond considerations of improved prosthesis function.⁽⁶⁾

The CAD/CAM concept was applied in fabrication of maxillary and mandibular screw-retained implant-supported fixed prosthesis. Proper treatment plan and execution coupled with using advanced technologies contributes to highly esthetic results. However, long-term researches are required to guarantee a satisfactory long-term outcome of this modality of treatment.^(7-8)

Fixed treatment options for implant-based rehabilitation of the edentulous patient have been documented for both maxillary and mandibular arches, with a large variety of opinions including the implant number, position, and distribution within each arch. These prosthesis can be implant-supported fixed prosthesis or hybrid prosthesis, multi-unit ceramo-metal restorations, CAD/CAM-based restorations with metal or zirconia frameworks, or monolithic zirconia implant-supported fixed prostheses.⁽⁹⁻¹⁰⁾

II. Complete denture:

For edentulous patients, successful complete denture is influenced by the biomechanical phenomena of support, stability, and retention.⁽¹¹⁾

Successful complete denture therapy must involve both technical excellences during prosthesis fabrication and effective patient management and followed by complete denture placement. Satisfying the expectations of all patients for optimum denture retention and stability is often beyond the technical skills of even the most accomplished practitioners.⁽¹²⁾

Denture adhesives may also add psychological beneficial when the patient requires additional retention and stability, particularly during times of social interaction. Denture adhesives are not indicated to provide retention for loosely fitted prostheses, or excessive amounts of adhesive indicated under any circumstances.⁽¹³⁾

The current evidence available suggests that the restoration of the edentulous mandible with a conventional complete denture is no longer the most preferable first choice prosthodontics treatment. Now overwhelming evidence that a two-implant overdenture should become the first treatment option for the edentulous mandible.⁽¹⁴⁾

- Problems with conventional complete denture:

Complete dentures wearing may have adverse effects on the health of both oral and denture supporting tissues. These adverse effects may be divided into direct and indirect sequelae. Related to the first group belong

residual ridge resorption and gingival reaction, such as denture stomatitis, denture irritation hyperplasia, traumatic ulcers, and “flabby ridges.” It also has suggestions that there might be an association between oral carcinoma and chronic denture irritation, but no clear evidence appears to exist.⁽¹⁵⁾

Other conditions related to the wearing of complete dentures include altered taste perception, burning mouth syndrome and gagging. Indirect sequelae are related to the great changes in masticatory function in complete denture wearers in comparison with dentate subjects. Bite force is decreased with risk of the masticatory muscles atrophy. The decreased masticatory ability may lead to changes in dietary selection with risks for an impaired nutritional status mainly in the elderly complete denture wearer.⁽¹⁵⁾

Measurements of masticatory function, such as biting force and the ability to comminute a test food, are substantially decreased in complete denture wearers in comparison with people with natural teeth, Also with implant-supported prostheses.⁽¹⁶⁾

The most common complaint is the 'loosening' of the dentures which is often due to the continuous resorption of the alveolar ridge. Moreover, patients complain of intolerance to loading by the mucosa, pain, difficulties with speech and eating, loss of soft-tissue support, and altered facial appearance.⁽¹⁷⁾

Problems of retention and stability for the mandibular prosthesis often cause complaints of masticatory function in complete denture