

Effect of post surface treatment on shear bond strength of cemented fiber posts

Thesis

Submitted to

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of

Master Degree in

Fixed Prosthodontics

Presented By

Noor Yousry Mohamed El Chouehy

B.D.S

6th of October University
2006

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

2011

Supervisors

Dr. Hesham Katamesh

Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics Department
Vice Dean, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Shereen Adel Ameen

Assistant Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

يَرْفَعِ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ
وَالَّذِينَ أُوتُوا الْعِلْمَ دَرَجَاتٍ
وَاللَّهُ بِمَا تَعْمَلُونَ خَبِيرٌ

صِرَاطُ اللَّهِ الْعَظِيمِ

المجادلة (آية ١١)

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I fell indebted to Allah, the most kind and merciful who allowed me to accomplish this work.

It is my privilege and honor to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to Dr. Hesham Katamesh professor of fixed prosthodontics, Faculty of oral and dental Medicine, Cairo University. For his support, guidance, commitment to the highest standers and constant encouragement.

I owe my deepest thankful to Dr. Shereen Adel Ameen Assistant professor of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for her wisdom knowledge, vision, inspired and motivated me in shaping my outlooks of this work.

Last but not least, I cannot forget to express appreciation to thank the head of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University and all the staff members of the department for their support throughout the stages of my master degree.

Dedication

*To my great Parents, who was the
reason for where I am today, and
without their support and
encouragement*

*To my lovely brother **Khaled**, who
was always supporting me*

*To my dear friend **Dr. Mohamed
Taisser Sowwan** for his constant help
and all my friends for their love and
support*

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of Tables.....	I
List of Figures.....	II
Introduction	1
Review of Literature.....	3
Statement of problem.....	19
Aim of study.....	20
Materials and Methods	21
Results	39
Discussion.....	57
Summary and Conclusions	84
References	87
Arabic Summary	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 1	Mean, SD values of push out bond strength test in (MPa) of the two post types	٤٠
Table 2	Mean, SD values of push out bond strength test in (MPa) of the two surface treatments	٤١
Table 3	Mean, SD values of push out bond strength at different root region (MPa)	٤٢
Table 4	Mean, SD values of push out bond strength (MPa) of different post types and surface treatments	٤٣
Table 5	Mean, SD values of push out bond strength of different interactions	٤٥
Table 6	Surface roughness values of different post types and surface treatments	٤٧

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1	Glass fiber post	21
Figure 2	Carbon fiber post	22
Figure 3	Self adhesive resin cement	22
Figure 4	Length determination before the decoronation	23
Figure 5	ProTaper NiTi hand files and ProTaper gutta percha points	25
Figure 6	Acrostone acrylic resin	26
Figure 7	Acrylic resin block construction	27
Figure 8	Post space preparation	28
Figure 9	Sample grouping	29
Figure 10	Acid etching procedure	30
Figure 11	Sandblasting procedure	30
Figure 12	Post cementation	31
Figure 13	Mounted disc on a lathe cut machine for cutting the wafers	33
Figure 14	Diagram of the sectioned wafers	33
Figure 15	Universal testing machine	34
Figure 16	Sample before testing	35
Figure 17	Push out test, A: Before testing, B: After testing	35

Figure 18	Mechanical stylar	36
Figure 19	SEM samples, A: Before sputtering, B: After sputtering	37
Figure 20	Gold sputter device	38
Figure 21	Scanning electron microscope (SEM)	38
Figure 22	Mean values of push out bond strength of two post types	40
Figure 23	Mean values of push out bond strength of two surface treatments	41
Figure 24	Mean values of push out bond strength of different root region	42
Figure 25	Mean values of push out bond strength of different post types and surface treatments	44
Figure 26	Mean values of push out bond strength of different interactions	46
Figure 27	Values of the surface roughness analysis	47
Figure 28	SEM photomicrograph of untreated carbon fiber post	49
Figure 29	SEM photomicrograph of untreated glass fiber post	49
Figure 30	SEM photomicrograph of etched carbon fiber post	50
Figure 31	SEM photomicrograph of etched glass fiber post	50
Figure 32	SEM photomicrograph of sandblasted carbon fiber post	51
Figure 33	SEM photomicrograph of sandblasted glass fiber post	51

Figure 34	SEM photomicrograph of failed sample of etched carbon fiber post	53
Figure 35	SEM photomicrograph of failed sample of etched glass fiber post	54
Figure 36	SEM photomicrograph of failed sample of sandblasted carbon fiber post	55
Figure 37	SEM photomicrograph of failed sample of sandblasted glass fiber post	56

Introduction

The restoration of endodontically treated teeth has always been a debated topic. A tooth requires endodontic treatment as a result of caries, repeated restorative procedures or trauma. Many changes after root canal treatment occur including the physical and chemical properties of dentin due to loss of water content or absence of collagen fibers, resistance to fatigue and changes in the biomechanical behaviour.

Restoration of root-filled teeth may be carried out with or without using post because the primary reason for using a post is to retain a core and thus restoring the missing coronal tooth structure. To achieve optimum results, the materials that are used should have physical and mechanical properties that are similar to that of dentine. They should be able to bond to tooth structure and should be biocompatible in the oral environment.

Prefabricated post systems have become more popular because they can provide satisfactory results, saving time and reducing cost. However, prefabricated post should adequately adapt to the prepared root canal otherwise, a cast post and core should be used as its configuration is congruent with the root canal configuration and allow for optimal preservation of tooth structure especially in the apical region

Fiber posts were rapidly accepted by clinicians and provided a viable alternative to metal posts. They are classified according to

material into carbon, glass or quartz fiber posts. The major advantage of these posts is their similar elastic modulus to dentine, producing a stress field similar to that of natural teeth.

Loss of retention of a post is the most frequent mode of failure that is affected by the bonding of the post, the luting cement and the interaction between the post/core, post/cement and post/dentin. So many attempts have been made to enhance these interfaces in many ways by modifying the post design and surface treatment.

Several researches were performed to improve the retention of the post by surface treatment which may be chemical involving hydrogen peroxide, phosphoric acid, hydrofluoric acid and potassium permanganate or mechanical as sandblasting and silica coating.

The present study focused on the effect of post surface treatments on the bond strength of two types of fiber posts.

Review of literature

The restoration of endodontically treated teeth is a topic that is extensively studied and yet remains controversial from many perspectives. Usually, pulpless teeth have lost substantial coronal and radicular tooth structure from pre-existing restorations, dental caries, trauma and access cavity preparations. ^(1, 2)

Since the rehabilitation of the endodontically treated teeth require therapeutic and aesthetic considerations, so several studies showed the existence of differences between the vital and non vital teeth and this attributed to the changes in the physical properties and in the chemical composition. Moreover, changes in the morphology and in the biomechanical behavior of teeth that occur under stress. ^(2, 4)

Dentin after root canal treatment is considered more brittle due to loss of water content or reduction of the percentage of collagen fibers overtime after endodontic treatment. Which consequently affect the main physical properties of dentin as modulus of elasticity, tensile strength and the compressive strength. ^(2, 4)

For many years, the concept of using a post for the restoration of endodontically treated teeth was based upon the philosophy that the post would “reinforce” the tooth and

additional retention was needed for the core restoration. But, post does not strengthen the tooth as has been advocated traditionally. On the contrary, post insertion should be avoided if adequate retention can be achieved from the coronal tooth structure ^(2, 5, 6 and 7).

Many authors agree that the placement of posts is directly related to the need for a retention and resistance form. This depends directly on the amount of sound tooth structure, tooth position, restorative choice and occlusal relationship. ^(7, 8)

Post types and designs

In the 1700s, a French dentist, Pierre Fauchard, also called “the father of modern dentistry”, inserted wooden dowels in the canals of teeth to aid in crown retention. The wooden dowels expanded with moisture and eventually fractured the root. Later, after introducing the so-called Richmond crown in 1878, a modification consisting of a one piece dowel and crown became common. ⁽⁷⁾

Many attempts have been made to develop a post with appropriate characteristics for functioning as a homogenous and biomechanically suitable structure in an endodontically treated tooth. ^(8, 10)

The ideal post and core system should fulfill the physical properties such as modulus of elasticity, compressive strength, and coefficient of thermal expansion similar to dentin. Additionally, posts should demonstrate high retention, good biocompatibility, esthetics and retrievability. ⁽⁸⁾

Posts and cores are often required for replacement of the missing coronal aspect of teeth. ⁽⁵⁾ Which affect the selection of an appropriate post system, the treatment should attempt to establish a stable post which transfers the stress of mastication throughout the radicular root and into the periodontal attachment uniformly without focusing the stress in function or create it during placement. ⁽³⁾

Moreover, a stiff post system that resists deformation or permanent bending to protect the integrity of the crown margins and cement seal. Also, to provide optimal luting of the post to the radicular dentin. ⁽³⁾

So, in order to perform a successful treatment, different types of post systems are available, post systems can be classified according to their different material ⁽¹¹⁾, design and surface characteristics. ⁽³⁾

The prefabricated posts designs may be parallel sided posts which have been reported that they are more retentive as it induce less stress into the root because of the lower wedging effect compared to tapered posts which form the natural shape of the root and more likely to cause root fractures. ^(3, 7, 12)

The surface characteristics may be in the form of smooth, serrated or threaded designs. The serrated post significantly increases the retention of the post as compared to a smooth post. While the threaded post produce stresses that may be induce local root fractures. ⁽⁷⁾