Current Trends in the Surgical Management of Diabetic Neuroarthropathy of the Foot and the Ankle

Essay
Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in
''Orthopaedic Surgery''

By Mohamed Ashraf Hammad (M.B., B.Ch)

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ali Ibrahim Hussein

Emeritus Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Mahmoud Mahran

Assistant Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams university 2015

بِنِيْ أَسَّلَ الْحَذَ الْحِيْنَ الْمَعْنَ الْحَيْنَ الْمَعْنَ الْمَعْنَ الْمُعْنَ الْمُعْنِي الْمُعْنَ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلْمَ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلْمَ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللّمِي اللَّهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ اللّمِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلِي عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْه

وقُل اعْمَلُوا فَسَيَرَى اللَّهُ عَمَلُكُمْ وَلَلْهُ وَالْمُؤْمِنُونَ وَرَسُولُهُ وَالْمُؤْمِنُونَ

صدق الله العظيم سورة التوبة آية (١٠٥)

Acknowledgment

First and foremost thanks are to Allah the most merciful for granting me the ability to complete this work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Professor Dr. Ali Ibrahim** professor of Orthopedic Surgery Ain Shams University for giving me the honor of working under his supervision, for his helpful advice and valuable guidance throughout this work.

Respectful feeling of appreciation is to **Dr. Mahmoud**Mahran assistant professor of Orthopedic Surgery Ain Shams

University for his valuable guidance, continuous support,

generous advice and encouragement during this work.

Finally fair gratitude to my family especially my mother and my father for their encouragement and continuous support.

Mohamed Ashraf Hammad 2015

List of Contents

| | Page |
|---|------|
| List of abbreviations | i |
| List of Tables | ii |
| List of Figures | ii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Aim of the Study | 3 |
| Pathophysiology of Charcot Joint | 4 |
| Classification of Charcot Foot and Ankle | 15 |
| Assessment of Charcot Foot and Ankle | 28 |
| Treatment Algorithm and Modalities of Treatment | 44 |
| Conservative Treatment | 46 |
| Surgical Management | 53 |
| Summary | 91 |
| References | 93 |
| Arabic Summary | |

List of abbreviations

ABPI : Ankle-brachial pressure index

CD : Charcot deformity

CGRP : Calcitonin gene-related peptide

CN : Charcot neuroarthropathy

CROW : Charcot Restraint Orthotic Walker

CT : Computerized tomography

EBG : Electric bone growth

HMPAO : Hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime

IL-1 : Interleukin-1

In-WBC : Indium-111 WBC

NF : Nuclear transcription factor β

NF-KB : Nuclear factor kB

OM : Osteomyelitis

OPG : Osteoprotegerin

PAD : Peripheral arterial disease

PPWB : Prefabricated pneumatic walking brace

RANKL : Receptor activator of NFk ß ligand

T1DM : Type 1 diabetes mellitus

T2DM : Type 2 diabetes mellitus

TCC : Total contact cast

Tc-MDP : Technetium 99m methylene di-phosphonate

TcPO2 : Transcutaneous oxygen diffusion

TNF- α : Tumor necrosis factor—alpha

TSF : Taylor spatial frame

List of Tables

| Table | e Title | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1 | Indication / goals of surgery in charcot joint | 55 |

List of Figures

| Fig. | Title | Page |
|------|--|------|
| 1 | Pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathic | 7 |
| | osteoarthropathy | |
| 2 | Two separate vicious cycles that suggested are | 9 |
| | integral to development of the acute Charcot foot | |
| 3 | Sanders and Frykberg's classification of CN | 18 |
| 4 | Brodsky and Rouse classification of CN | 20 |
| 5 | Eichenholtz stage 1 of charcot joint | 23 |
| 6 | Eichenholtz stage 2 of charcot joint | 24 |
| 7 | Eichenholtz stage 3 of charcot joint | 25 |
| 8 | A classification proposed for Charcot foot | 27 |
| 9 | A lateral radiographic view of the foot | 37 |
| 10 | Algorithm for the differentiation of Charcot foot | 42 |
| | from osteomyelitis (OM). | |
| 11 | (A) Lateral radiograph of the right foot with bone | 43 |
| | destruction and mild deformity. (B) Lateral | |
| | radiograph of the left foot (C) A three-phase 99Tc | |
| | bone scan. | |
| 12 | Charcot's arthropathy treatment algorithm | 45 |
| 13 | Total contact cast for Charcot ankle | 47 |

List of Figures (Cont.)

| Fig. | Title | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 14 | Pneumatic Walker used in the Management of | 49 |
| | ankle Charcot arthropathy | |
| 15 | Charcot restraint orthotic walker (CROW). | 50 |
| 15 | Ankle Arthrodesis using plate and screws. | 60 |
| 17 | Application of the distal femoral locking plate to | 65 |
| | stabilize the Charcot ankle | |
| 18 | Preoperative and postoperative right foot | 67 |
| | anteroposterior and lateral radiographic. | |
| 19 | Method for estimating insertion site for retrograde | 69 |
| | intramedullary fixation of tibiocalcaneal | |
| | arthrodesis | |
| 20 | Fixation of charcot joint with intramedullary nail | 70 |
| 21 | Radiographs at 3 years after successful treatment | 71 |
| | with a "long ankle fusion nail." | |
| 22 | Standard lateral and medial incisions for | 72 |
| | reconstruction of Charcot rearfoot and ankle | |
| | deformity with Steinmann pin | |
| 23 | Radiographs of normal and abnormal calcaneal | 76 |
| | inclination angle caused by Charcot deformity | |
| 24 | Preassembled ring-to-ring construct | 78 |

List of Figures (Cont.)

| Fig. | Title | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 25 | Percutaneous Achilles lengthening | 79 |
| 26 | Dorsiflexory force applied to overcorrect equinus | 79 |
| | deformity | |
| 27 | Tenotomy of the Achilles tendon | 80 |
| 28 | Preoperative and postoperative charcot foot and | 82 |
| | ankle radiographic fixed by circular external | |
| | fixation device. | |
| 29 | View of pins into the rearfoot complex in different | 85 |
| 30 | planes Tensioning the pins | 85 |
| 31 | Placing the rearfoot in the desired position by | |
| | manipulating the ring in the hybrid construct | |
| 32 | A) Hybrid construct with stabilizing bars. (B) | 87 |
| | Ring to ring construct with positioning proximal to | |
| | the weight bearing surface. (C) View of the ring | |
| | proximal to the weight bearing surface | |
| 33 | Preoperative and postoperative foot | 89 |
| | anteroposterior and lateral radiographic with the | |
| | use of internal and circular external fixation. | |
| 34 | Example of an open diabetic neuropathic calcaneal | 90 |
| | avulsion fracture fixed with a large diameter | |
| | internal screw and circular external fixation. | |

Introduction

Neuropathic joints, often called Charcot joints, are caused by loss of sensation in the joint so that it is severely damaged and disrupted. The damage and disruption is often so gross that the diagnosis of a neuropathic joint is easily made, both on clinical examination and X-ray. (1)

However, the concept that the patient without sensation smashes the joint with impunity may be an over simplification as there may be problems related to autonomic neuropathy, poor blood supply and mismatch of bone destruction and synthesis.(2)

Any condition that causes sensory or autonomic neuropathy can lead to a Charcot joint. Charcot arthropathy occurs as a complication of diabetes, syphilis, chronic alcoholism, leprosy, meningomyelocele, spinal cord injury, syringomyelia, renal dialysis, and congenital insensitivity to pain(3). Diabetes is considered to be the most common cause of charcot arthropathy(1, 2).

It is often confused with osteomyelitis and massive infection of the foot necessitating early identification and management to prevent amputation of the lower extremity.(3, 4)

Ideally the goal in treating the Charcot deformity would be to prevent the initial breakdown within the foot. By each physician having a better understanding of the role of the autonomic and motor neuropathy in conjunction with sensory deficits, the Charcot process can be identified earlier and treatment begun sooner. The best treatment results for charcotart hropathy are achieved when treatment is initiated during the early stages of the disease. (5,6)

With the advent of advanced surgical techniques, the physician may be optimistic with the treatment of this condition. By thoroughly understanding the etiologic factors and deforming forces, treatment can be planned for each specific patient. (7, 8) Although the treatment prescribed is mainly conservative through immbolization & off-loading, Reconstructive surgery in acute Charcot may be considered if a deformity or instability exists that cannot effectively be controlled or accommodated by these methods (4, 9).

The goals of surgical intervention for the charcot foot & ankle are to restore alignment and stability, prevent ambutation, and allow the patient to be ambulatory (9, 10).

In this study, we are going to discuss & summarize the current trends in the surgical treatment options of the disease, with the incidence of possible complications.

2

Aim of the Study

The study aims at highlighting the current prospectives in the management of Charcot joint arthropathy of the foot & the ankle, it will mainly focus on the current & the newly used surgical trends in the treatment.

Pathogenesis of Charcot Joint

The main underlying cause of the Charcot foot in diabetes involves neuropathy, associated with a trivial trauma in many cases (11-14). The cardinal pathogenic mechanisms underlying diabetic neuropathy are chronic hyperglycemia and microvascular disease, leading to nerve injury via osmotic changes and ischemia, respectively (15).

While neuropathy is certainly the common denominator, the type of neuropathy is a matter of discussio. Neuropathy may affect the peripheral nervous system leading to sensory loss or the autonomic system, impairing arterial perfusion and cellular turnover of foot and ankle bones (11, 12).

Pathogenesis:

Although Charcot believed that the joint destruction was secondary to unrecognized traumatic events as a result of sensory deficit, contemporaries of the time had observed that fractures of the metatarsals in insensate feet healed without complication with exuberant bone formation.

It has long been recognized that denervation is associated with distal hyperemia. Charcot wrote that the increase in distal limb blood flow he observed was most likely to be the result of

involvement of vasomotor nerves, and his observations were extended by Von Leyden and Brissaud, who concluded that the hyperemia was the result of loss of sympathetic innervation. (16) It was thus suggested that there is both a neurological and a neurovascular element in the pathogenesis of neuropathic osteoarthropathy. Using scintigraphy, it has been shown that in patients with diagnosed neuropathy there is increased blood flow within bone thought to be due to an autonomic, neurally-mediated vascular reflex ultimately resulting in a hyperemia. (16)

Theories of Charcot's diabetic neuroarthropathy: (fig. 1)

Two competing theories have been proposed to explain the pathogenesis of diabetic neuroarthropathy.

1) The neurovascular theory views this condition as a neurologically mediated trophic defect resulting in increased osseous blood supply and osteoclastic activity in the absence of injury or repetitive microtrauma. This becomes clinically manifested as localized increased temperature with redness and dilated dorsal veins (15, 17).

Increased blood flow has also been noted in the foot bones and held responsible for increased bone resorption with reduced bone mineral density and, hence, predilection for fractures (18,19).

2) The neurotraumatic theory proposes that neuroarthropathy occurs when a bone or joint has lost its protective sensation and then enters a cycle of repetitive, excessive extension of ligaments and micro-fractures with increasing and often rapid disintegration of joints from continued weight-bearing. The feet become vulnerable with increased risk of unrecognized trauma (11, 12, 17). The latter can be a minor acute injury during normal daily activities such as walking, running or dancing, or it may be a chronic injury resulting from inappropriate footwear. Sensory deficits may involve light touch, temperature, and pain perception. The frequent findings of neuropathic ulcerations and increased pressures on the plantar aspect of the forefoot in acute diabetic neuroarthropathy support this theory.

Since neither of these theories can fully explain all cases of neuroarthropathy, such as its occurrence in paraplegic patients or its frequent onset following trauma, it is widely held today that both processes interact in varying degrees in the pathogenesis of this entity. (20,21,22)

Four factors are considered necessary for neuropathic arthropathy to develop:

- (1) Peripheral neuropathy.
- (2) Unrecognized injury.