

***Correlation between number, distribution
of voids of the adhesive layers and
gap formation of adhesive-tooth interface***

Thesis

***Submitted to the faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams university, in
Final Fulfillment of the Requirements
of the Master Degree In Conservative Dentistry***

By

Marwa Abd El Hamid Gouda

***B.D.S
Cairo University, 1999***

***Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2008***

Supervisor

Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Nagy Ibraheim

Professor of operative Dentistry

and Former Dean of Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Acknowledgment

First of all, I feel thankful to ALLAH for giving me the guidance and internal support in all my life and every step that I made until this study was completed.

I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Moukhtar Nagi Ibrahim, professor of Operative Dentistry and Former Dean of Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his valuable ideas, constant encouragement, stimulating discussions, enlightening guidance, and keen supervision that he has given me throughout the research program, despite the gravity of duties and responsibilities, which enable me to complete this study.

Dedication

To the soul of my dear father : who taught me the principles that guide me through my life. I hope he is proud of me.

To my dear mother : who without her help I could not finish my work.

To my dear loving husband : for his patience and support throughout my work

To my son : the real smile in my life

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of contents.....	I
List of tables.....	II
List of figures.....	III
Introduction.....	1
Review of literature.....	4
Aim of the study.....	41
Materials and Methods.....	42
Results.....	55
Discussion.....	81
Summary and conclusion.....	89
References.....	91
Arabic summary	

LIST OF TABLES

Table [1]: Levels of Investigation	51
Table[2]: Factorial design of the experiment of void assessment	52
Table[3]: Factorial design of the experiment of gap formation	52
Table[4]: Showing number of voids and their average size after either application of one layer or two layers of the adhesive system of Te- Econom (total etch) on Enamel surface	56
Table[5]: Showing number of voids and their average size after either application of one layer or two layers of the adhesive system of Te- Econom (total etch) on Dentin surface	58
Table[6]: Showing number of voids and their average size after either application of one layer or two layers of the adhesive system of AdheSE (self etch) on Enamel surface	60
Table[7]: Showing number of voids and their average size after either application of one layer or two layers of the adhesive system of AdheSE (self etch) on Dentin surface	62
Table[8]: The means, standard deviation values of number of voids after application of one layer or two layers of total etch and self etch systems	64
Table [9]: Occlusal microleakage scores after using total etch	65
Table[10]: Gingival microleakage scores after using total etch	67

Table[11]: Occlusal microleakage scores after using self etch 68

Table[12]: Gingival microleakage scores after using self etch 70

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure[1]: Total etch adhesive system, Te-Econom	53
Figure[2]: Two step self etch adhesive system, AdheSE	53
Figure[3]: The hybrid resin composite used: Te-econom	54
Figure[4]: The Stereo-microscope	54
Figure[5]: Histogram showing number of voids after either application of one layer or two layers of total etch on Enamel surface	57
Figure[6]: Histogram showing mean value of number of voids of one layer and two layers of total etch on Enamel surface	57
Figure[7]: Histogram showing number of voids after either application of one layer or two layers of total etch on Dentin surface	59
Figure[8]: Histogram showing mean value of number of voids of one layer and two layers of total etch on Dentin surface	59
Figure[9]: Histogram showing number of voids after either application of one layer or two layers of self etch on Enamel surface	61
Figure[10]: Histogram showing mean value of number of voids of one layer and two layers of self etch on Enamel surface	61
Figure[11]: Histogram showing number of voids after either application of one layer or two layers of self etch on Dentin surface	63

Figure[12]: Histogram showing mean value of number of voids of one layer and two layers of self etch on Dentin surface	63
Figure[13]: Histogram showing occlusal microleakage scores of one layer and two layers of total etch	66
Figure[14]: Histogram showing gingival microleakage scores of one layer and two layers of total etch	67
Figure[15]: Histogram showing occlusal microleakage scores of one layer and two layers of self etch	69
Figure[16]: Histogram showing gingival microleakage scores of one layer and two layers of self etch	70
Figure[17]: Comparison of "0" scores of occlusal and gingival margins of both total etch self etch after applying one layer or two layers in the cavity	71
Figure[18]: Comparison of "0" scores at the occlusal and gingival margins of the cavity after applying one layer or two layers of total etch	72
Figure[19]: Comparison of "0" scores at the occlusal and gingival margins of the cavity after applying one layer or two layers of self etch	73
Figure[20]: Showing number and disruption of voids in Enamel using total etch after application of one layer of adhesive system	74
Figure[21]: Showing number and disruption of voids in Enamel using total etch after application of two layers of adhesive system	75
Figure[22]: Showing number and disruption of voids in dentin using total etch after application of one layer of adhesive system	75

Figure[23]: Showing number and disruption of voids in dentin using total etch after application of two layers of adhesive system	76
Figure[24]: Showing number and disruption of voids in Enamel using self etch after application of one layer of adhesive system	76
Figure[25]: Showing number and disruption of voids in Enamel using self etch after application of two layers of adhesive system	77
Figure[26]: Showing number and disruption of voids in dentin using self etch after application of one layer of adhesive system	77
Figure[27]: Showing number and disruption of voids in dentin using self etch after application of two layers of adhesive system	78
Figure[28]: Photomicrograph for dye penetration of total etch after applying two layers of adhesive, score: 0 occlusaly and score: 0 gingivaly	79
Figure[29]: Photomicrograph for dye penetration of total etch after applying one layer of adhesive, score: 0 occlusaly and score: 1 gingivaly	79
Figure[30]: Photomicrograph for dye penetration of self etch after applying one layer of adhesive, score: 1 occlusaly and score: 1 gingival	80

Introduction:

Since Buonocore introduced the acid-etching technique, which renders a tooth, surface more receptive for adhesion (Buonocore, 1955), major developments that have improved dentistry have occurred. The development of adhesive resin has changed the design of cavity preparations, replacing the extensive removal of tooth structure (Black, 1917) by more conservative preparation (Fusayama, 1980).

Although adhesion to phosphoric acid-etched enamel is reliable and long -lasting, adhesion to dentin has been far more challenging because of the complex mineral and organic phases of dentin. The bonding mechanism of adhesive resin to dentin proposed by Nakabyashi[1982] was described as micromechanical due to the impregnation and polymerization in situ of monomers into the exposed collagen of demineralized dentin surfaces, creating a hybrid layer (Nakabayashi, 1982) which has been suggested as the main mechanism of adhesion between the adhesive system and conditioned dentin (Walshaw & McComb, 1996).

Even though some of the problems of resin composites, for example, unacceptably low wear resistance,

have been overcome, composites still shrink 2-to-4% upon polymerization (Cook et al, 1999; Park et al, 1999 and Watts DC & al Hindi A, 1999). Shrinkage may cause polymerization stress and/ or gap formation and microleakage depending on the strength with which the composite is bonded to the tooth surface (Davidson et al, 1984_(a) and Davidson & de Gee, 1984_(b)). These phenomena may lead to postoperative sensitivity, secondary caries and pulpal inflammation.

To improve the marginal sealing of a composite restoration, the use of new-generation dentin bonding agents (Chan KC & Swift EJ, 1994; Goracci et al, 1995 and Nakabayashi N & Saimi Y, 1996) have been proposed to reduce but did not completely eliminate microleakage.

Although many commercially adhesive resin systems are available, there are two major simplified approaches to producing good hybridization and adequate dentin bonds (Van Meerbeek et al, 1998). The first is the total-etching technique, followed by the application of a one-bottle solution containing the primer and the adhesive resin to the moist dentin (Kanca, 1991; Gwinnett, 1992; Kanca, 1992_{(a)(b)}). The second approach is the self-etching priming

technique which simultaneously conditions both enamel and dentin using an acidic primer, followed by the application of an adhesive resin to the conditioned dentin surface (Chigira et al, 1994; Watanabe et al, 1994). Both approaches have lead to increased bond strengths by preventing the collapse of the deminerlized dentin and producing a well-infiltrated hybrid layer.

A number of papers have evaluated the role of the elasticity of the hybrid layer and/ or adhesive resin layer in relieving the polymerization stress of resin composite (Van Meerbeek et al, 1993).

Concern remains that thin hybrid layers may not provide as much stress- breaking function as thicker hybrid layers. However, one possible solution is to use thicker adhesive layers on top of thin hybrid layers.

One verses multiple application of adhesive layer

Swift et al (1997), evaluated the effect of multiple applications of the one-bottle adhesives Prime & Bond, One-Step, and Tenure Quik on the shear bond strength of composite to dentin. The adhesive systems were bonded to the occlusal dentin of extracted human teeth. Control group specimens received only two applications of adhesive, as recommended by the manufacturers. Two experimental groups of each system received a greater number of adhesive applications.

They found that the mean shear bond strength of the Prime & Bond control group was significantly greater than that of the other two control groups. Multiple applications of adhesive decreased the bond strength of each system, but the difference was significant only for four applications of One-Step. Prime & Bond had significantly higher shear bond strengths to dentin than either One-Step or Tenure Quik.

Choi et al (2000), measured the change in polymerization contraction stress of bonded composite as the thickness of the resin adhesive was systematically varied, and correlate the effects of the adhesive thickness and reduced