



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Ain Shams University Information Network
جامعة عين شمس

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

@ ASUNET



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٢٥ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٤٠%

To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of
15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%

بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



بالرسالة صفحات لعم ترد بالاصل



Mansoura University
Faculty of Engineering
Mechanical Power Eng. Dept.

CAT 7/1/08

THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF EVAPORATOR- CONDENSER TUBE

A Thesis
Submitted In Partial Fulfillment for the Degree
of *Doctor of Philosophy*

By
Eng. Mohamed Ghassoub Saafan Mousa
M.Sc. Mechanical Power Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University

Under Supervision Of
Prof. Dr.
Mohamed Mahmoud Mahgoub
Vice-Dean for Education and
Student Affairs
—Mansoura University

Prof. Dr.
Mohamed Gamal Wasel
Power Mech. Eng. Dept.
Mansoura University

2000



Mansoura University
Faculty of Engineering
Mechanical Power Eng. Dept.

THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF EVAPORATOR- CONDENSER TUBE

A Thesis
Submitted In Partial Fulfillment for the Degree
of Doctor of Philosophy

By
Eng. Mohamed Ghassoub Saafan Mousa
M.Sc. Mechanical Power Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University

Under Supervision Of

Prof. Dr. <i>Mohamed Mahmoud Mahgoub</i> Vice-Dean for Education and Student Affairs Mansoura University	Prof. Dr. <i>Mohamed Gamal Wasel</i> Power Mech. Eng. Dept. Mansoura University
--	--

2000

AKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my thanks and everlasting gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud Mahgoub**, Vice - Dean of Education and Student Affairs, Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University, for suggesting and planning this study and for his patience and experienced advise throughout preparation of this thesis.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Gamal Wasel**, Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University, for suggesting and planning this study and for his patience and experienced advise throughout preparation of this thesis.

I wish also to thank the technical staff of the Heat Transfer Laboratory, Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University, for their help during the experiments done in this work.

CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables	IV
List of Figures	V
Nomenclature	XII
Abstract	XV
Chapter 1 : Introduction and Literature Review	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Literature Review	1
1.2.1 Simultaneous Evaporation -Condensation Process	1
1.2.2 Evaporation Process Over Horizontal Tube	6
1.2.3 Condensation Process in A Horizontal Tube	10
1.3 Objective of the Present Work	11
Chapter 2 : Experimental Set-Up and Test Procedure	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Open Loop Set-Up	14
2.2.1 Primary Circuit	14
2.2.2 Secondary Circuit	16
2.2.3 Experimental Procedure	17
2.3 Closed Loop Set- Up	21
2.3.1 Primary Circuit	21
2.3.2 Secondary Circuit	22
2.3.3 Experimental Procedure	22
2.4 Calculation Procedure	25
2.4.1 Open Loop	26
2.4.2 Closed Loop	28
2.5 Instrumentation and Measurements	29
2.5.1 Temperature Measurements	30
2.5.2 Pressure Measurement	31
2.5.3 Mass Flow Rate Measurement	32
2.6 Error Analysis	33
2.7 Heat Transfer Correlation	34
Chapter 3 : Theoretical Model	35
3.1 Governing Equations	36
3.2 Simplified Form of the Equations Describing Evaporation -Process	37

	3.2.1	Estimation of Film Thickness	39
	3.3	Simplified Form of the Equations Describing Condensation -Process	42
	3.3.1	Condensation Film Flow	44
	3.3.1.1	Estimation of Film Thickness	45
	3.3.2	Condensate Accumulation	47
	3.4	Dimensionless Form of Governing Equations	50
	3.4.1	Evaporation Process (Outside Flow)	52
	3.4.2	Condensation Process (Inside Flow)	52
Chapter 4		Numerical Technique	55
	4.1	Finite Divided Difference Approximation	55
	4.2	Finite Difference Form of Dimensionless Governing Equations	59
	4.3	Numerical Procedure	62
	4.4	Computer Program	66
Chapter 5		Results and Discussions	67
	5.1	Experimental Results	67
	5.1.1	Open Loop Results	67
	5.1.1.1	Effect of Flow Rate on Product Flow Rate	67
	5.1.1.2	Effect of Pressure Ratio on Average Heat Transfer Coefficient	74
	5.1.1.3	Effect of the Pressure Ratio on Average Nusselt Number	79
	5.1.2	Closed Loop Results	84
	5.1.2.1	Effect of Degree of Superheat on Product Flow Rate	84
	5.1.2.2	Effect of Degree of Superheat on Average Heat Transfer Coefficient	88
	5.1.2.3	Effect of Degree Superheat on Average Nusselt Number.	89
	5.1.3	Pressure Drop Inside Condenser Tube	94
	5.2	Theoretical Results	96
	5.2.1	Film Thickness of Evaporation and Condensation Processes.	96
	5.2.2	Velocity and Temperature Profiles of Evaporation and Condensation Processes	100
	5.2.3	Average Nusselt Number in Theoretical Study	107

5.3	Comparison between Theoretical and Experimental Results	108
5.3.1	Comparison Between Theoretical, Experimental and Previous Work	109
5.3.2	Comparison Between Experimental and Obtained Correlation's. for Average Nusselt Number	110
5.3.3	Comparison Between Experimental, theoretical and Obtained Correlation's. for Average Nusselt Number	111
5.4	Coefficient of Friction	113
Conclusions	114
Proposal for Future Work	115
References	116
Appendix (A)	Experimental Data	122
Appendix (B)	Sample of Experimental Error Analysis	129
Appendix (C)	Empirical Heat Transfer Correlation's	138
Appendix (D)	FORTTRAN Program and Flow Chart	141

LIST OF TABLES

	Table	Page
Table(A.1)	Experimental Data at : Evaporator pressure =0.55 bar, Heat flux = 15 kW/m ² , Open Loop	123
Table(A.2)	Experimental Data at : Evaporator pressure = 0.60 bar, Heat flux = 15 kW/m ² , Open Loop	123
Table(A.3)	Experimental Data at : Evaporator pressure = 0.65 bar, Heat flux = 15 kW/m ² , Open Loop	124
Table(A.4)	Experimental Data at : Evaporator pressure = 0.70 bar, Heat flux = 15 kW/m ² , Open Loop	124
Table(A.5)	Experimental Data at : Evaporator pressure = 0.75 bar, Heat flux = 15 kW/m ² , Open Loop	125
Table(A.6)	Experimental Data at : Evaporator pressure = 0.80 bar, Heat flux = 15 kW/m ² , Open Loop	125
Table(A.7)	Experimental Data at : Evaporator pressure = 0.85 bar, Heat flux = 15 kW/m ² , Open Loop	126
Table(A.8)	Experimental Data at : Evaporator pressure = 0.90 bar, Heat flux = 15 kW/m ² , Open Loop	126
Table(A.9)	Experimental Data at :Evaporator pressure = 0.55 bar, Degree of Superheat =12 ° C, Closed Loop	127
Table(A.10)	Experimental Data at :Evaporator pressure= 0.60 bar, Degree of Superheat =14 ° C, Closed Loop	127
Table(A.11)	Experimental Data at :Evaporator pressure = 0.65 bar, Degree of Superheat =15 ° C, Closed Loop	128
Table A.12)	Experimental Data at :Evaporator pressure = 0.70 bar, Degree of Superheat =17 ° C, Closed Loop	128

LIST OF FIGURES

	Figure	Page
Figure (2.1)	Schematic of Set-Up in Both Open and Closed Loop Models	13
Figure (2.2)	Schematic Diagram of Open Loop Set-Up	15
Figure (2.3)	Details of Test Section	18
Figure (2.4)	Photograph of Experimental Set Up	19
Figure (2.5)	Schematic Diagram of Closed Loop Set -Up .	23
Figure (2.6)	Calibration Curve of Thermocouple	29
Figure (2.7)	Calibration Curve of Flow meter (Rota meter)	30
Figure (3.1)	Coordinate System of the Problem	36
Figure (3.2)	Heat Balance of General Element	39
Figure (3.3)	Variation of Condensate Film Thickness	43
Figure (3.4)	Mass Balance of Condensate Accumulation	48
Figure (4.1)	The Used Tube Discretization of Numerical Technique	56
Figure(4.2)	The Mesh – Nodes Identification	61
Figure (4.3a)	Choice of The Acceptable Value of The Total Number of Nodes	63
Figure (4.3b)	The Relation between Relative Error and Number of Iterations	64
Figure (4.4)	The Relation between Relative Error and Number of Nodes in Z Direction	64
Figure (5.1)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rates at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.818. in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results)	67
Figure (5.2)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rates at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.667. in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).	68
Figure (5.3)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rates at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.538. in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).. . . .	68
Figure (5.4)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rates at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.428. in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).. . . .	69
Figure (5.5)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rates at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.333. in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).. . . .	69
Figure (5.6)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass	

	Flow Rates at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.250. in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	70
Figure (5.7)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rates at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.176. in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	70
Figure (5.8)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rates at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.11. in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results)....	71
Figure(5.9)	Product Flow Rate at Different Pressure Ratio in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results)....	71
Figure(5.10)	Product Flow Rate at Different Sub-cooling Temperature in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results)....	72
Figure (5.11)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.111 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	73
Figure (5.12)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.176 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	73
Figure (5.13)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.250 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	74
Figure (5.14)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.333 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	74
Figure (5.15)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.428 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	75
Figure (5.16)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.538 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	75
Figure (5.17)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Reynolds Number at Pressure	76

	Ratio Equal 1.667 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	
Figure (5.18)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.818 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results).....	76
Figure (5.19)	The Relation between Average Heat Transfer Coefficient and Evaporating Pressure in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). .	77
Figure(5.20)	Average Nusselt Number for Different Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.11 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). . .	78
Figure(5.21)	Average Nusselt Number for Different Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.176 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). . .	79
Figure(5.22)	Average Nusselt Number for Different Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.250 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). . .	79
Figure(5.23)	Average Nusselt Number for Different Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.333 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). . .	80
Figure(5.24)	Average Nusselt Number for Different Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.428 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). . .	80
Figure(5.25)	Average Nusselt Number for Different Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.538 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). . .	81
Figure(5.26)	Average Nusselt Number for Different Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.667 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). . .	81
Figure(5.27)	Average Nusselt Number for Different Reynolds Number at Pressure Ratio Equal 1.818 in Case of Open Loop Model (Experimental Results). . .	82
Figure (5.28)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rate at Degree of Superheat Equal 12 °C in Case of Closed Loop Model (Experimental Results).. .	83
Figure (5.29)	Product Flow Rate at Different Falling Film Mass Flow Rate at Degree of Superheat Equal 14 °C in Case of Closed Loop Model (Experimental Results).. .	83