Evaluation of Bone Mineral Density and Body Composition in 7-8 years Old Egyptian Males

Chesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree In Pediatrics

By

Laila Hamed Farouk El Maghraby

M. B. B. CH. Mansoura University (2006)

Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Salah El Din ElKholy

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Heba Hassan Elsedfy

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

①r. Rasha Tarif Hamza

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2013



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

ACKNOWLEDGMENT



First of all, thanks to ALLAH whose magnificent help was the main factor in completing this work.

It is a great honour to me to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Salah El Din EL Kholy; Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his valuable help, precious advice, continuous encouragement and constructive guidance that were the most driving forces in the initiation and progress of this work.

I wish to express my unlimited gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Heba Hassan El Sedfy;** Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her supervision, helpful discussions and suggestions. In fact, few words never suffice to do justice in thanking her for her extraordinary contribution of time, effort and valuable experience.

I can't fully express my deepest thanks to **Dr. Rasha Tarif Hamza**; Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her patience, assistance and very helpful advice and guidance during the progress of this work.

My special thanks to all my patients and their parents who agreed to share in this study. I'm thankful to them for their effort, time and cooperation.

LAILA



List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
Table(1):	Classification of childhood osteoporosis	26
Table(2):	Multiple biological functions of calcium	42
Table(3):	Distribution of calcium in the body	43
Table(4):	Blood calcium-10mg/100 ml (2.5 mmols/L)	43
Table (5):	Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs) for Calcium	45
Table(6):	Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (ULs) for Calcium	45
Table(7):	Calcium absorption (0.4-1.5 g/d)	46
Table(8):	Mechanisms of gastrointestinal calcium absorption	46
Table(9):	Regulation of urinary calcium	47
Table(10):	Serum phosphorus reference ranges during childhood	48
Table(11):	Phosphorus metabolism	49
Table(12):	Urinary phosphate	50
Table(13):	Forms of vitamin D	53
Table(14):	Common terms seen in a DEXA report	66
Table (15):	Common pitfalls in DEXA interpretation	72
Table (16):	Distribution of age, dietary calcium intake, sun exposure, and physical activity	84
Table (17):	Socioeconomic factors and score of studied subjects	84
Table (18):	Anthropometric measurements of studied subjects	85

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (19):	Laboratory bone parameters of studied subjects	85
Table (20):	DEXA bone parameters of studied subjects	86
Table(21):	Correlations between DEXA parameters and age	87
Table (22):	Correlations between DEXA parameters and dietary calcium intake	88
Table (23):	Correlation between DEXA parameters and sun exposure	89
Table (24):	Correlation between DEXA parameters and socioeconomic factors	91
Table (25):	Correlation between DEXA parameters & El-Bohy score	92
Table (26):	Correlations between DEXA parameters and weight for height SDS	93
Table (27):	Correlation between DEXA parameters and height SDS	94
Table (28):	Correlation between DEXA parameters and each of BMI and its SDS	95
Table (29):	Correlations between laboratory bone parameters and DEXA parameters	96
Table (30):	Correlations between DEXA parameters and physical activity	97

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page
Fig.(1):	Structure of typical long bone	5
Fig.(2):	Compact bone & spongy (Cancellous) Bone	6
Fig.(3):	Endochondrial ossification	7
Fig.(4):	Formation (+) and resorption (-) activates during bone growth from A to B	9
Fig.(5):	Regulation of osteoclastogenesis	10
Fig.(6):	Osteoblasts synthesize proteinaceous matrix,	12
Fig.(7):	Electronic micrography	16
Fig.(8):	Collagen fibers of woven bone	17
Fig.(9):	Osteoclast, with bone below it, showing typical distinguishing characteristics.	18
Fig.(10):	Osteoblasts, several displaying a prominent Golgi apparatus, actively synthesizing osteoid containing two Osteocytes	
Fig.(11):	Multiple osteoporotic wedge fractures demonstrated on a lateral thoraco-lumbar spine X-ray	32
Fig.(12):	Calcium regulation in the human body. The role of vitamin D is shown in orange	54
Fig.(13):	Diagram of the Human PTH-PTHrP Receptor	57
Fig.(14):	The parathyroid axis	58
Fig (15):	Correct positioning and analysis of the L1–L4 spine and the proximal femur.	64
Fig (16):	DEXA images showing regions of interest.	65

Tist of Abbreviations

aBMD	Areal bone mineral density
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
BA	Bone age
ВМС	Bone mineral content
BMD	Bone mineral density
Bmus	Bone multicellular units
CSF	Colony stimulating factor
Calci	Calcitonin related polypeptide alpha
cAMP	Cyclic adenosine monophosphate
DABAS	Dual action bone agent
DEXA	Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry
DRIS	Dietary reference intake
FFM	Fat free mass
FNB	Food &nutrition board
IGF	Insulin growth factor
IL	Interlukin
IOM	Institude of medicine
LBM	Lean body mass
LDL	Low density lipoprotein
LRP5	Low-density lipoprotein receptor related protein 5
M-CSF	Macrophage colony stimulating factor
MEPE	Matrix extracellular phosphoglycoprotein
MK	Menatetrenone
NHANES	National health &nutrition examination survey
OP	Osteoprosis.
OPG	Osteoprotegrin

Pdc	Position development conference
PDGF	Platlet derived growth factor
PGE ₂	Prostaglandin E ₂
Pi	Inorganic phosphate
PKC	Protein kinase C
PKC	Protein kinase C
PMCA1	Plasma membrane calcium ATPase
Ppar	Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma
PQCT	Peripheral quantitative computed tomography
PTH	Parathyroid hormone
RANK	Receptor activation of nuclear factor kappa
RANKL	Receptor activator of nuclear factor κappa B Ligand
RDA	Recommended dietary allowance
RDI	Recommended daily intake
RGD	Arginin, glycin and asparagines
rhPTH	Recombinant human parathyroid hormone
ROIs	Regions of interest
SD	Standard deviation
SDS	Standard deviation score
SES	Socioeconomic scoring
SIBLING	Small integrin Binding Ligand N – glycosylated
proteins	proteins
TGF β	Transforming growth factor beta
TNF	Tumer necrosis factor
TRPV6	Transient receptor potential vanilloid6
vBMD	Volumetric bone mineral density
vBMD	lumetric bone mineral density
VDR	Vitamin D receptecepor

Contents

	Items	Page
List of Abbreviations		
List of Table	S	
List of Figure	es	
Introduction		1
Aim of the V	Vork	3
Review of Li	teratures	4
Chapter (1)	: Normal Bone Anatomy and Physiology	4
Chapter (2)	: Osteoporosis (OP)	20
Chapter (3)	: Calcium and Phosphorus	42
Chapter (4)	: Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DEXA)	60
Subjects and Methods		
♦ Results		
♦ Discussi	ion	
♦ Summary and Conclusion		
♦ Recommendation		
♦ References		
♦ Arabic Summary		Í



Introduction



Introduction

Bone densitometry is a widely used and universally accepted tool for the assessment of bone mass in adults. In the last two decades, however, interest in bone densitometry in children has increased. This can be explained first by the introduction of more effective treatment regimens aimed at increasing and maintaining bone density in a variety of diseases influencing bone development and or growth and secondly, by the fact that several reports have indicated the importance of peak bone mass in relation to future development of osteoporosis (*Van Rijn et al.*, 2006).

There are 2 main reasons for measuring bone mineral content (BMC) in children: to quantify the deficits in bone mineral associated with the various disorders that cause osteopenia in children and to improve our understanding of the childhood antecedents of osteoporosis, a condition that happens to manifest itself in elderly subjects. Available data suggest that the genetic susceptibility to osteoporosis may be detectable in early childhood (*Gilsanz and Wren*, 2007).

Measurement of bone mineral density (BMD) by dual – energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) is viewed widely as the preferred method for clinical use in children because of its speed, precision, safety, and wide spread availability. The radiation exposure is comparable to that received during a round trip transcontinental airplane flight (*Bachrach*, 2005).

DEXA is an attractive option for clinical use that gives estimates of bone mineral mass, fat free mass (FFM), which is approximately equivalent to lean body mass (LBM), and total fat mass (TFM). DEXA exploits the fact that the energy dependency of the strength of interaction between X-rays and bone mineral differs from that for soft tissue. At low energies, bone dominates the attenuation process while, at higher energies, X-rays interact to about the same extent with bone and soft tissue (*Sala et al.*, 2006).

The 3 main limitations of DEXA measurement in children are: (1) the current lack of a standardized pediatric normative database, (2) the lack of a meaningful clinical outcome measure related to DEXA values in children, and (3) inaccuracies resulting from growth -related variations in bone and body size and composition (*Gilsanz and Wren*, 2007).



Aim of the Work



Aim of the Work

The aim of this work is to set a standardized pediatric normative database for BMD and body composition in a representative sample of healthy Egyptian male children aged 7-8 years by DEXA scanning.



Review of Literature

