Isosorbide Mononitrate versus Prostaglandins for Pre-induction of Labor at Term Pregnancy

Chesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Presented by

Mohamed Mohamed Al-Saeed

M.B.B.Ch, Ain Shams University, 2007 6 Oct. Hospital (Health Insurance)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Alaa El-Din Abdel Aziz El-Gendy

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammed Osama Taha

Lecturer of Obstetrics & Gynecology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2015



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرّحَمَٰنِ الرّحيمِ

الَّنِي َ الْمُمُنَّ عَلَيٌّ وَعَلَى وَالْحَيُّ الَّنِي اَنْمُمُنَّ عَلَيٌّ وَعَلَى وَالْحَيُّ

> [प्रियोद्गि] जिंगिरि सम्बं व्योप्ट ों स्प्रांग्रें में ब्र् व्यांप्ट ों क्यों स्वांप्ट व्यांप्ट व्यांप्ट व्यांप्ट व्यांप्ट

> > صدق الله العظيم

النمل. اية رقم 19



Before all, Thanks to Allah, The Most Kind and The Most Merciful.

I would like to express my profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Alaa El-Din Abdel Aziz El-Gendy,** Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his most valuable advices and support all through the whole work and for dedicating much of his precious time to accomplish this work. I really have the honor to complete this work under his generous supervision.

I am also grateful to **Dr. Mohammed Osama Taha**, Lecturer of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his unique effort, considerable help, assistance and knowledge they offered me throughout the performance of this work.

Last but not least I would like to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to all members in my family: my Mother, my Father and my Wife for their supporting, understanding and pushing me forward all the time.

Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	v
Protocol	•••••
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	
Anatomy and Histology of the uterus and Ce Female Genital Tract	
Induction of Labor	31
Patients and Methods	77
Results	83
Discussion	97
Summary	106
Recommendations	108
References	109
Arabic Summary	—

List of Abbreviations

Abbrev. Full term

APH : Antepartum hemorrhage

AROM : Artificial rupture of membrane

bNOS : Brain nitric oxide sysnthase

C.S : Cesarean section

cGMP : Cyclic guanosine monophosphate

COX-2 : Cyclooxygenase-2

CRF : Corticotropin releasing factor

CTG : Cardiotocography

ECM : Extracellular matrix

EDC : Estimated date of confinement

eNOS : Endothelial nitric oxide sysnthase

FHR : Fetal heart rate

GAGs : Glycosamioglycans

GTN : Glyceryl trinitrate

IL8 : Interleukin 8

IMN : Isosorbide mononitrate

iNOS : Inducible nitric oxide sysnthase

ISMP : Institute for safe medication practices

LMP : Last menstrual period

List of Abbreviations

Abbrev. Full term

LPS : Lipopolysaccharides

Mcg - μ**g** : Microgram

MIU : Mill international unit

MMP : Matrix metaloprotienase

nNOS : Neuronal nitric oxide sysnthase

NO : Nitric oxide

NOS : Nitric oxide sysnthase

PAF : Platelet activating factor

PGDH : Prostaglandin dehydrogenase

PGE2 : Prostaglandin E2

PPROM: Premature preterm rupture of membrane

TNF : Tumer necrosis factor

U/S : Ultrasound scanning

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Bishop Score.	35
Table (2):	Modified Bishop Scoring System	35
Table (3):	Comparison of the constitutive inducible NOS	
Table (4):	Bishop Scoring System Used for Asses of Inducibility, Quoted from W. Obstetrics, 2010.	illiams
Table (5):	Comparison between Dinoprostone IMN groups as regards age (years)	
Table (6):	Comparison between Dinoprostone IMN groups as regards gestationa (weeks)	al age
Table (7):	Comparison between Dinoprostone IMN groups as regards fetal weight (
Table (8):	Comparison between Dinoprostone IMN groups as regards parity	
Table (9):	Comparison between Dinoprostone IMN groups as regards requireme oxytocin	nt for
Table (10):	Comparison between Dinoprostono IMN groups as regards for modelivery	de of
Table (11):	Comparison between Dinoprostono IMN groups as regards indication cesarean section	ns for

Table (12):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards time from start of medication to vaginal delivery (hours)
Table (13):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards time from start of medication to 1st contraction pain (hours) 89
Table (14):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards time from start of oxytocin to active phase of labor (hours) 90
Table (15):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards duration of 1st stage (hours)
Table (16):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards duration of 2nd stage (minutes)
Table (17):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards duration of 3rd stage (minutes)
Table (18):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards maternal complications
Table (19):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards APGAR1 score94
Table (20):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards APGAR5 score95
Table (21):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards requirement for NICU

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title Page N	<u>o</u> .
Figure (1):	Stages of labor	13
Figure (2):	Immunohistochemical staining with the monoclonal interlukin (IL)-8 specific antibody of sections of human cervical tissue	16
Figure (3):	The cervix remodels during pregnancy in order to perform its two main functions	19
Figure (4):	Composite of normal cervical dilation and fetal descent curves	25
Figure (5):	Oxytocin	12
Figure (6):	Mifeprostone	16
Figure (7):	Biosynthesis of prostaglandins	50
Figure (8):	Misoprostol structure	55
Figure (9):	Changes in the myometrium, cervix and fetal membranes during pregnancy	75
Figure (10):	Nitric oxide controls reproduction	76
Figure (11):	Structure of NO	76
Figure (12):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards requirement for oxytocin	35
Figure (13):	Comparison between Dinoprostone and IMN groups as regards for mode of delivery	36

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (14):	Comparison between Dinoproston IMN groups as regards indication cesarean section	ns for
Figure (15):	Comparison between Dinoproston IMN groups as regards time from s medication to vaginal delivery (hour	tart of
Figure (16):	Comparison between Dinoproston IMN groups as regards time from s medication to 1 st contraction pain (he	tart of
Figure (17):	Comparison between Dinoproston IMN groups as regards time from s oxytocin to active phase of labor (ho	tart of
Figure (18):	Comparison between Dinoproston IMN groups as regards duration stage (hours)	of 1 st
Figure (19):	Comparison between Dinoproston IMN groups as regards macomplications	aternal
Figure (20):	Comparison between Dinoproston IMN groups as regards APGAR scores	1& 5
Figure (21):	Comparison between Dinoproston IMN groups as regards requireme NICU	nt for

Introduction

The continuation of a woman's pregnancy requires that her cervix remains closed and rigid and that her uterus quiet and not contracting. Both these conditions need to be reversed to initiate labour. The ways in which this is achieved are unknown but there is evidence that suggests the fetus itself plays an integral part. A woman's cervix, which contains little smooth muscle and is predominantly connective tissue with collagen as its main component, must undergo a process called ripening, where it becomes soft and pliable. This allows its shape to change from being long and closed to being thinned (effaced) and opening (dilating) (*The Information Centre CHS*, 2006).

Induction of labour is a common intervention in obstetric practice. In the Western world, labour is induced in one of every four pregnant women for reasons related to increasing risks for the mother, for example, hypertension, pre-eclampsia, diabetes or increasing risks for the fetus such as suspected fetal growth retardation. Moreover the rate of induction elective inductions i.e. without a medical indication, is rising rapidly, Reasons for wanting elective induction at term might include a woman's physical discomfort, convenience of providers, or concern about the rapid progression of labour away from the hospital. Some clinicians may recommend elective induction due to concern about future complications (Boulvain, 2008).

Artificial cervical ripening is a component part of induction of labor that is used when the cervix is unfavorable to facilitate cervical dilation when labor is established. Because the success of induction is related to cervical ripening, artificial cervical ripening before labor induction is used to reduce the associated risk of cesarean delivery (Osman et al., 2006).

Induction of labor (IOL) in the presence of an unripe cervix is associated with failed induction, failure to progress in labor, and an increased risk of chorioamnionitis and cesarean section (CS) (*Furukan et al.*, 2007).

The goal of labour induction is to achieve a successful vaginal delivery, although induction exposes women to a higher risk of a CS than spontaneous labour. Before induction, there are several clinical elements that need to be considered to estimate the success of induction and minimize the risk of CS. Factors that have been shown to influence success rates of induction include the Bishop score, parity (prior vaginal delivery), BMI, maternal age, estimated fetal weight, and diabetes (*Gülmezoglu et al.*, 2006).

In ancient times women and medical workers already tried to influence the natural course of labor and provoke contractions by using herbs, tonics and other remedies; Hippocrates described mammary stimulation and mechanical dilatation of the cervical canal as a method to stimulate labor through the 18th century, mechanical methods to induce labor came into use.

In 1756, at a meeting held in London, physicians discussed the efficacy and ethics of early delivery by rupturing the membranes to induce labor. In 1810, James was the first in the United States to utilize amniotomy to induce preterm labor.

Amniotomy and other mechanical methods like laminaria or inflating balloons remained the most commonly employed methods of labor induction until the 20th century (ACOG, 2009).

The drugs commonly used in hospital settings, such as prostaglandins E2 (PGE2, dinoprostone) and prostaglandin E1 (PGE1, misoprostol), are effective for cervical ripening. However, the high incidence rates of myometrial hyperstimulation, uterine hypertonus, tachysystole, and fetal distress associated with their use (*Agarwal et al.*, 2012).

In contrast to prostaglandins, nitric oxide donors such as isosorbide mononitrate (IMN) and glyceryl trinitrate inhibit rather than stimulate uterine contractions, and promote rather than restrict uterine blood flow. Therefore, nitric oxide donors appear to be the ideal cervical ripening agent for outpatient use (*Ekerhovd et al.*, 2003).